# On the distance between roots of integer polynomials

Yann Bugeaud & Maurice Mignotte (Strasbourg)

**Abstract** – We study families of integer polynomials having roots very close to each other.

#### 1. Introduction

In the present note, we denote by H(P) the naïve height of an integer polynomial P(X), that is, the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients. In transcendental number theory, lower estimates for the distance between two algebraic numbers are often needed. A classical result is the so-called *Liouville's inequality* (see e.g. [3], a slightly weaker estimate being proved in [5]).

**Theorem A.** Let P(X) and Q(X) be nonconstant integer polynomials of degree n and m, respectively. Denote by  $\alpha$  a zero of P(X) and by  $\beta$  a zero of Q(X). Assuming that  $P(\beta) \neq 0$ , we have

$$|\alpha - \beta| \ge 2^{1-n} (n+1)^{1/2-m} (m+1)^{-n/2} \operatorname{H}(P)^{-m} \operatorname{H}(Q)^{-n}.$$
 (1)

Sharp lower bounds for the distance between two roots of a given integer polynomial turn out to be very useful. The first inequality of Theorem B is due to Mahler [7], while the second one is folklore (see e.g. [9]).

**Theorem B.** Let P(X) be a separable polynomial with integer coefficients of degree  $n \geq 2$ . For any two distinct zeros  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of P(X) we have

$$|\alpha - \beta| \ge \sqrt{3} (n+1)^{-(2n+1)/2} H(P)^{-n+1}.$$
 (2)

Furthermore, if  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  are distinct zeros of P(X), then there exists a positive, effective constant  $c_1(n)$  such that

$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \ge c_1(n) \operatorname{H}(P)^{-n+1}. \tag{3}$$

It is natural to ask whether the lower bounds in Theorems A and B are best possible. Up to now, it is known that the factor  $H(P)^{-n+1}$  in (2) cannot be replaced by a term

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 11C08. Key-words: Roots of a polynomial, Separating the roots.

larger than  $H(P)^{-n/4}$ . To show this, it is sufficient to observe that, for any integers a and n with  $n \ge 3$  and  $a \ge 10$ , the polynomial  $X^n - 2(aX - 1)^2$  has two roots approximately  $a^{-n/2}$  apart, that is, approximately  $H(P)^{-n/4}$  apart (see e.g. [8]).

In the present note, we prove that Theorem A is optimal and that (3) is nearly best possible. Furthermore, we show that the term  $H(P)^{-n+1}$  in (2) cannot be replaced by a factor larger than  $H(P)^{-n/2}$ .

# 2. Results

The purpose of the present note is to establish the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** Inequality (1) is best possible in terms of the heights of the polynomials P(X) and Q(X). In inequality (2), the exponent of H(P) cannot be replaced by a real number strictly greater than -n/2. In inequality (3), the exponent of H(P) cannot be replaced by a real number strictly greater than -n(k-1)/k.

To prove the last two assertions of Theorem 1, we consider the family of polynomials

$$P_{a,n,k}(X) := (X^n - aX + 1)^k - 2X^{nk-k} (aX - 1)^k,$$

where a, n, and k are positive integers with  $a \ge 10$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , and  $k \ge 2$ . Using methods of Laurent & Poulakis [6] or Theorem 4.4 of Müller [10], it is possible to prove that these polynomials are irreducible if a is large in terms of n and k. Indeed, performing the change of variables  $\alpha = 1/a$ , Y = aX in the absolutely irreducible curve

$$F_{n,k}(a,X) = X^n - aX + 1 - \sqrt[k]{2} X^{n-1} (aX - 1) = 0,$$

defined over the field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[k]{2})$ , we get the curve with equation

$$G_{n,k}(\alpha,Y) = \alpha^n Y^n - Y + 1 - \sqrt[k]{2}\alpha^{n-1} Y^{n-1} (Y-1) = 0.$$

Since  $G_{n,k}(0,1) = 0$  and  $(G_{n,k})'_Y(0,1) \neq 0$ , we apply the analogue over  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[k]{2})$  of Theorem 4 of [6] (proved only for the number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) to deduce that the polynomial  $G_{n,k}(\alpha,Y)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[k]{2})[Y]$  for any sufficiently large value of a. This implies that the polynomial  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}[X]$  if a is large enough in terms of n and k.

The family of polynomials  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$  can be used in the context of [2], to which we refer for the following notation (the reader can consult Chapter III of [3] as well). For any positive integer n, Mahler and, later, Koksma, introduced the functions  $w_n$  and  $w_n^*$ , defined on the set of real numbers, in order to measure the quality of approximation by algebraic numbers of degree at most n. Although they are very close, these functions do not coincide for any complex number, as first proved by R. C. Baker [1]. It is quite easy to establish that the inequalities (see e.g. [12])

$$w_n^*(\xi) \le w_n(\xi) \le w_n^*(\xi) + n - 1$$

hold for any transcendental real number  $\xi$ . R. C. Baker [1] showed that the range of values of the function  $w_n - w_n^*$  includes the interval [0, (n-1)/n]. This has been substantially improved by Bugeaud [2]: the function  $w_n - w_n^*$  can take any value in [0, n/4]. Using the family of polynomials  $P_{a,n,2}(X)$  in the construction of [2] instead of the polynomials  $X^n - 2(aX - 1)^2$  quoted in the Introduction, it is then quite easy to prove that, for n even, the range of values of the function  $w_n - w_n^*$  includes the interval [0, n/2).

According to computations of Collins [4], the 'true' exponent of H(P) in inequality (2) should be -n/2.

With the same ideas used to construct the polynomials  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$ , we can as well exhibit integer polynomials having two very close p-adic roots.

#### 3. Proofs

The constants  $c_2(n), \ldots, c_7(n)$  occurring below are positive, effective and depend only on n.

Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $a \geq 10$  be integers with  $a \geq n$  and set

$$Q_1(X) = aX - 1,$$
  $Q_2(X) = X^n - aX + 1,$ 

and

$$Q_3(X) = (a+1)X^n - X^{n-1} - aX + 1.$$

We notice that

$$|\mathrm{Res}(Q_1,Q_2)|=|\mathrm{Res}(Q_2,Q_3)|=1,$$

where Res denotes the resultant. Furthermore,  $Q_2(X)$  and  $Q_3(X)$  have a root  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, with

$$\alpha = a^{-1} + a^{-n-1} + O(a^{-2n}), \qquad \beta = a^{-1} + a^{-n-1} + O(a^{-2n}).$$

Hence, after some easy calculation, we get  $|\alpha - \beta| \leq 4a^{-2n}$ , while Theorem A gives the lower bound  $|\alpha - \beta| \geq c_2(n) a^{-2n}$ . Consequently, Theorem A is best possible in terms of the heights of the polynomials involved.

Another example is provided by  $|1/a - \alpha|$ , which is less than  $2a^{-n-1}$  and, by Theorem A, greater than  $c_3(n)$   $a^{-n-1}$ .

We now turn out to Theorem B. Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and set

$$P_{a,n,k}(X) := (X^n - aX + 1)^k - 2X^{nk-k} (aX - 1)^k.$$
(4)

The coefficient -2 occurs in (4) to prevent the polynomial from being obviously irreducible. If we replace it by the constant -1 we obtain a reducible polynomial: actually,  $P_{a,n,2}(X)$  (with -2 replaced by -1) is then divisible by the polynomial  $Q_3(X)$ .

We observe that the degree of  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$  is kn and that its height is equal to  $2a^k - 1$ . Furthermore, using Rouché's theorem, it is easy to check that  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$  has k roots  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  lying in the disk of center  $a^{-1} + a^{-n-1}$  and of radius  $2a^{-2n}$ .

Taking k = 2, we get

$$|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \le 4 a^{-2n} \le c_4(n) \operatorname{H}(P_{a,n,2})^{-n/2},$$

which should be compared with the lower bound (2).

Taking now k arbitrary, we get

$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \le c_5(n) \left( a^{-2n} \right)^{k(k-1)/2} \le c_6(n) \operatorname{H}(P_{a,n,k})^{-n(k-1)}.$$
 (5)

Since the degree of  $P_{a,n,k}(X)$  is nk, inequality (3) gives that

$$\prod_{1 < i < j < k} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \ge c_7(n) \operatorname{H}(P_{a,n,k})^{-nk+1},$$

which, in view of (5), is close to be best possible in terms of the height of the polynomial.

The same example allows us to prove that Proposition 10.1 of Roy and Waldschmidt [11] is nearly best possible.

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to Michel Laurent and to Peter Müller for comments on their papers [10] and [6]. We further thank the referee for his very careful reading of the text.

### References

- [1] R. C. Baker, On approximation with algebraic numbers of bounded degree, Mathematika 23 (1976), 18-31.
- [2] Y. Bugeaud, Mahler's classification of numbers compared with Koksma's, Acta Arith. 110 (2003), 89–105.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, Approximation by algebraic numbers, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. To appear.
- [4] G. E. Collins, *Polynomial Minimum Root Separation*, J. Symbol. Comp. 32 (2001), 467–473.
- [5] R. Güting, Polynomials with multiple zeros, Mathematika 14 (1967), 181–196.
- [6] M. Laurent and D. Poulakis, On the global distance between two algebraic points on a curve, J. Number Theory. To appear.
- [7] K. Mahler, An inequality for the discriminant of a polynomial, Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 257–262.
- [8] M. Mignotte, Some useful bounds. In B. Buchberger, G. E. Collins, R. Loos, eds., Computer Algebra, pp. 259–263, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

- [9] M. Mignotte, On the distance between the roots of a polynomial, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 6 (1995), 327–332.
- [10] P. Müller, Finiteness results for Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, Ann. Inst. Fourier 52 (2002), 983–1015.
- [11] D. Roy and M. Waldschmidt, Diophantine approximation by conjugate algebraic integers, Compositio Math. To appear.
- [12] E. Wirsing, Approximation mit algebraischen Zahlen beschränkten Grades, J. reine angew. Math. 206 (1961), 67–77.

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte Université Louis Pasteur U. F. R. de mathématiques 7, rue René Descartes 67084 STRASBOURG Cedex

e-mail: bugeaud, mignotte@math.u-strasbg.fr