CODIMENSION ONE SUBGROUPS AND
BOUNDARIES OF HYPERBOLIC GROUPS
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Abstract. We construct hyperbolic groups with the following
properties: The boundary of the group has big dimension, it is
separated by a Cantor set and the group does not split. This
shows that Bowditch’s theorem that characterizes splittings of hy-
perbolic groups over 2-ended groups in terms of the boundary can
not be extended to splittings over more complicated subgroups.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $H$ be a subgroup of
$G$. We say that $H$ is a co-dimension 1 subgroup if $C_G/H$ has more
than 1 end, where $C_G$ is the Cayley graph of $G$. If $G$ splits over $H$
then one easily sees that $H$ is co-dimension 1. The opposite is not
true, for example any closed geodesic on a surface group gives a cyclic
codimension 1 subgroup of the fundamental group of the surface. On
the other hand only simple closed geodesics correspond to splittings.

The surface example can be generalized to $CAT(0)$ complexes to
produce examples of codimension 1 subgroups: If $X$ is a finite $CAT(0)$
complex of (say) dimension 2 and if $R$ is a locally geodesic track on $X$
then the subgroup of $G = \pi_1(X)$ corresponding to $R$ is a codimension
1 free subgroup of $G$. Wise ([11]) has exploited this idea producing
codimension 1 subgroups for small cancellation groups. In the setting
of small cancellation groups of course one needs some combinatorial
analog for the convexity property of geodesics (or tracks) and Wise
develops such a notion. Pride ([9]) has shown that there are small
cancellation groups that have property FA, so such groups have codi-
mension 1 subgroups but do not split.

Stallings showed that if a compact set separates the Cayley graph of
a finitely generated group $G$, into at least two unbounded components,
then $G$ splits over a finite group. Bowditch ([11]) showed something sim-
ilar for hyperbolic groups: if the boundary $\partial G$ of a 1-ended hyperbolic
group $G$ has a local cut point, then the group splits over a 2-ended
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group, unless it is a triangle group. There have been other generalizations of Stallings theorem similar in spirit. The general idea is that if a ‘small’ set (coarsely) separates the Cayley graph of a group then the group splits over a subgroup quasi-isometric to the ‘small set’. For a precise conjecture see [10].

The main purpose of this paper is to show the limitations of this ‘philosophy’. Given any \( n > 0 \), we produce an example of a hyperbolic group \( G \), such that \( \dim(\partial G) > n \), \( \partial G \) is separated by a set of dimension 0 (a Cantor set) and \( G \) has property \( FA \) (so it does not split over any subgroup). Our example is based on Wise’s construction which we generalize to the setting of small cancellation theory over free products.

2. Preliminaries

Definition. A diagram is a finite connected planar graph. The faces of a diagram \( D \) are the closures of the bounded components of \( \mathbb{R}^2 - D \).

In what follows we assume always that each interior vertex (i.e. not on \( \partial D \)) of a diagram has degree at least 3. We can always achieve this by erasing all interior vertices of degree 2.

We will need some small cancellation results about diagrams shown by McCammond and Wise in [2]. For the reader’s convenience and also because our setting is slightly different we include these results here. These results strengthen classical small cancellation results (see e.g. [4]).

We need some notation: If \( D \) is a diagram we denote by \( \partial D \) the boundary of the unbounded component of \( \mathbb{R}^2 - D \) (so if \( U \) is the unbounded component of \( \mathbb{R}^2 - D \), \( \partial D = \bar{U} - U \)). We say that the diagram is non singular if \( \partial D \) is homeomorphic to \( S^1 \). We say that an edge of \( D \) is interior edge if it does not lie on \( \partial D \).

If \( D \) is a diagram we denote by \( E, F, V \) respectively the total number of edges, faces and vertices of the diagram.

We denote by \( E^+, E^- \) respectively the number of edges of the diagram that lie (do not lie) on \( \partial D \). We denote by \( V^+ \) the number of vertices on \( \partial D \) that lie in exactly one face and by \( V^- \) the number of vertices on \( \partial D \) that lie on more than one face. We denote by \( V^o \) the number of vertices of \( D \) that do not lie on \( \partial D \). We say that a diagram verifies the \( C(6) \) condition if every face of the diagram has at least 6 sides. We have the following version of Greedlinger’s lemma (see [4]):

Lemma 2.1. Let \( D \) be a non singular diagram which verifies the condition \( C(6) \). Then \( V^+ \geq V^- + 6 \).

Proof. We have the following inequalities:
6F ≤ 2E° + E•

This is because each face has at least 6 edges and each interior edge lies in at most 2 faces while boundary edges lie in one face.

2E ≥ 3V° + 3V− + 2V+

This is because each edge has at most 2 vertices and each interior edge has degree at least 3.

Using Euler’s formula and the first inequality we obtain:

\[ V = E - F + 1 ≥ E - \frac{E°}{3} - \frac{E•}{6} + 1 = \frac{2E}{3} + \frac{E•}{6} + 1 \]

We remark now that

\[ E• = V− + V+ \]

Substituting \( E• \) above and using the second inequality for \( E \) we obtain

\[ V = V− + V+ + V° ≥ \frac{2}{3}(\frac{3}{2}V° + \frac{3}{2}V− + V+) + \frac{V− + V+}{6} + 1 \Rightarrow V+ ≥ V− + 6 \]

□

We recall some definitions from [2]:

**Definition**. Let \( D \) be a non singular diagram. A face \( F \) of the diagram is called an \( i \)-spur if the intersection of \( F \) with the boundary of \( D \) is connected and exactly \( i \)-edges of \( F \) are interior edges of \( D \).

**Definition**. We say that a diagram \( D \) is a ladder if there are at most two faces \( F_1, F_2 \) of \( D \) such that \( D - F_1, D - F_2 \) are connected while for every other face \( F \) of \( D \), \( D - F \) has exactly 2 components.

A ladder

We have the following corollary from lemma [2.1]:
Corollary 2.2. Let $D$ be a non singular disc diagram which is $C(6)$ and contains no 3-spurs and at most two $i$-spurs for $i \leq 2$. Then either $D$ has a single region or it contains exactly two $i$-spurs with $i \leq 2$ and it is a ladder.

Proof. We modify $D$ as follows: if a face $F$ of $D$ has more than 6 edges and it intersects the boundary we erase successively vertices of $F$ that do not lie on any other face till $F$ has 6 edges (or there are no more vertices to erase). Let’s call $D_1$ the new diagram. $D_1$ is still a $C(6)$ diagram. $D_1$ contains also no 3-spurs and at most two $i$-spurs for $i \leq 2$.

We consider now a face $F$ of $D_1$ that intersects the boundary and we see how it contributes to $V^+, V^-$. If $F$ is not an $i$-spur then 2 vertices of $F$ contribute to $V^+$ while at least 4 vertices of $F$ contribute to $V^-$. So the total contribution of all such faces to the difference $V^+ - V^-$ is at most 0 (note that the contribution is not necessarily negative as we count twice the $V^-$ vertices as they lie in at least 2 faces). The contribution of an $i$-spur to the difference $V^+ - V^-$ is $4 - i$.

Since $D$ contains no 3-spurs and at most 2 $i$-spurs for $i \leq 2$, the inequality

$$V^+ - V^- \geq 6$$

implies that if $D_1$ has more than one face then $D_1$ has exactly two 1-spurs, say $F_1, F_2$. If we erase $F_1$ we obtain a diagram $D_2$ which is again $C(6)$. We note that $F_1$ intersects exactly one face of $D_1$ so after erasing it the diagram $D_2$ has still the other 1-spur of $D_1$ and at most one new $i$-spur for some $i \leq 3$. By the inequality $V^+ \geq V^- + 6$ again we conclude as before that either $D_2$ has only one face or it has exactly two 1-spurs $F_2, F_3$. Inductively we see that $D_1$ is a ladder hence $D$ is also a ladder. \qed

We will need a more technical result. If $v$ is a vertex in a diagram we denote by $d_v$ the valency of $v$. The result below will be used to show that small cancellation products of word hyperbolic groups are word hyperbolic.

Lemma 2.3. Let $D$ be a non singular diagram that verifies the condition $C(7)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - \frac{2E^0}{7} \leq V^* + \frac{E^*}{7}$$

In particular

$$F \leq 3E^* + 3V^*$$

i.e. $D$ satisfies a linear isoperimetric inequality.
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Proof. We denote by $D^0$ the set of vertices of $D$ (the 0-skeleton).

Clearly

$$\sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} = E \quad (1)$$

We have also the following inequality:

$$7F \leq 2E^\circ + E^\bullet$$

This is because each face has at least 7 edges and each interior edge lies in at most 2 faces while boundary edges lie in one face.

Using Euler’s formula and the inequality above we obtain:

$$E + 1 = V + F \leq V^\bullet + \frac{E^\bullet}{7} + V^\circ + \frac{2E^\circ}{7} \quad (2)$$

Since $d_v \geq 3$ for every $v$ in the interior of $D$:

$$\sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - V^\circ \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2}$$

By (1) and (2) we have

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - \frac{2E^\circ}{7} \leq V^\bullet + \frac{E^\bullet}{7} \quad (3)$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} \geq \frac{E^\circ}{3}$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - \frac{2E^\circ}{7} \geq \frac{E^\circ}{42} \geq \frac{3F}{7} - \frac{E^\bullet}{84}$$

and using (3)

$$V^\bullet + \frac{2E^\bullet}{7} \geq \frac{3F}{7} - \frac{E^\bullet}{84} \Rightarrow F \leq 3E^\bullet + 3V^\bullet$$
3. Small cancellation theory over free products

Small cancellation theory can be developed over free products (see \cite{4}). We show in this section that small cancellation products have codimension 1 subgroups. This generalizes a result of Wise (\cite{11}). We recall that the free product factors embed in small cancellation products (\cite{4} cor. 9.4, p.278). Osin (\cite{5}, lemma 4.4) showed that free product factors embed quasi-isometrically in small cancellation products (this also follows from \cite{3}). For the reader's convenience we include a proof of this below.

**Definition.** Let \(< S | R >\) be a presentation of a group \(G\). We say that \(< S | R >\) is symmetrized if for any \(r = y_1...y_n \in R\) all \(n\) cyclic permutations of \(r\) are also in \(R\) and \(r^{-1}\) is in \(R\) too. We assume that all elements of \(R\) are reduced words. If \(r_1 = cb, r_2 = ca\) and the words \(cb, ca\) are reduced we call \(c\) a piece of the presentation.

Let now \(< S | R >\) be a symmetrized presentation. We have then the following small cancellation conditions:

- **Condition \(C'(\lambda)\):** If \(r \in R\) and \(r = cb\) with \(cb\) reduced word and \(c\) a piece then \(|c| < \lambda|r|\).
- **Condition \(C(p)\):** No element of \(R\) is a product of fewer than \(p\) pieces.
- **Condition \(B(2p)\):** If \(r = ab\) and \(a\) is a product of \(p\) pieces then \(|a| \leq |r|/2\).

Wise showed in \cite{11} that groups that admit a presentation in which all relators have even length and condition \(B(6)\) is satisfied, have codimension 1 subgroups. Clearly condition \(C'(1/6)\) is stronger than condition \(B(6)\) so Wise’s result holds for these groups too.

We recall now how that the small cancellation conditions can be given for free products too (\cite{4} ch V, sec. 9). Let \(G\) be the free product of the groups \(A_i\).

We say that a word \(a_1...a_n\) is reduced if each \(a_j\) represents an element of one of the \(A_i\) and \(a_j, a_{j+1}\) belong to different factors for any \(j\). Any element \(g \in G\) can be represented in a unique way as a reduced word (normal form of \(g\)). If \(g = a_1...a_n\) is the normal form of \(g\) we define \(|g| = n\). If \(u = a_1...a_n, v = b_1...b_k\) are reduced words we say that the word \(uv = a_1...a_nb_1...b_k\) is semi-reduced if \(a_nb_1 \neq e\). Note however that \(a_n, b_1\) might lie in the same factor. We say that a word \(w = a_1...a_n\) is weakly cyclically reduced if it is reduced and \(a_n^{-1}a_1 \neq e\). We say that a sequence of words \(R\) is symmetrized if whenever \(r \in R\) all weakly cyclically reduced conjugates of \(r\) and \(r^{-1}\) are in \(R\). We say that \(c\) is a
piece if there are distinct \( r_1, r_2 \in R \) such that \( r_1 = ca, r_2 = cb \) and the words \( ca, cb \) are semi-reduced. As before we have the condition \( C''(\lambda) \):

**Condition \( C''(\lambda) \):** If \( r \in R \) and \( r = cb \) with \( cb \) semi-reduced word and \( c \) a piece then \( \|c\| < \lambda\|r\| \).

Let now \( F \) be a free product \( F = \ast A_i \) and let \( R \) be a symmetrized subset of \( F \). The group \( G \) defined by the free product presentation \( \langle F | R \rangle \) is the quotient

\[
G = F/\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle
\]

where \( \langle\langle R \rangle\rangle \) is the normal closure of \( R \) in \( F \).

We show now that if a group \( G \) has a free product presentation \( \langle F | R \rangle \) that satisfies the \( C''(1/6) \) condition then \( G \) has a codimension 1 subgroup. We start first by considering van-Kampen diagrams over \( G \). We consider a usual presentation of \( G \) with a set of generators \( S \) given by the generators of \( A_i's \) and a set of relators consisting of relators of the \( A_i's \) together with a set \( R' \) such that \( R \) is obtained by taking all weak cyclic conjugates of elements in \( R' \) and their inverses. If \( R' \) is finite we say that \( G \) has a *finite free product presentation*. Let now \( w \) be a word in \( S \) representing the identity in \( G \). Let \( D \) be a reduced Van-Kampen diagram for \( w \) for the presentation given above. We remark that if \( p \) is a simple closed path in the 1-skeleton of \( D \) such that all edges of \( p \) lie in a single factor \( A_i \) then the word corresponding to \( p \) represents the identity in \( A_i \) (see [4], cor. 9.4). Call such a simple closed path maximal if there is no other such simple closed path \( q \) in the interior of \( p \). We modify now the diagram \( D \) as follows: For each maximal simple closed path \( p \) we erase all edges of \( p \) and all edges of \( D \) inside \( p \) and we introduce a new vertex \( v_p \) which we join with all vertices of \( p \). Now each edge \( e \) of \( p \) has been replaced by two edges \( e_1, e_2 \). We label \( e_1, e_2 \) by elements of \( A_i \) so that the product of their labels is equal to the label of \( e \). This is clearly possible since \( p \) corresponds to the trivial element in \( A_i \). We are allowed here to label an edge by the identity. After this operation some of the edges of \( D \) are 'subdivided'. We subdivide the rest of the edges of \( D \) so that the labels of the new edges lie in the same factor as the old ones and the product of their labels is equal to the label of the old edge. We call this diagram van-Kampen diagram over the free product.

We remark now that the \( C''(\lambda) \) condition holds for this new diagram, i.e. if \( R_1, R_2 \) are adjacent regions of the diagram then

\[
\text{length}(R_1 \cap R_2) \leq \lambda \min(\text{length}(\partial R_1), \text{length}(\partial R_2))
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated group with a finite free product \( C''(1/6) \) presentation \( \langle F | R' \rangle \). Assume further that all \( r \in R' \)
are cyclically reduced words and \( ||r|| \) has even length. Then \( G \) has a codimension 1 subgroup.

Proof. We construct a complex for \( G \) as usual. If \( F = *A_i \) and \( K_i \) are complexes with a single vertex \( x_i \) such that \( \pi_1(K_i, x_i) = A_i \) we take the wedge product of the \( K_i \)'s identifying all \( x_i \)'s. For each \( r \in R' \) we glue a 2-cell to \( \vee K_i \) in the obvious way to obtain a complex \( K \) such that \( \pi_1(K, x) = G \). We argue now in a way similar to Wise (\[11\]).

We slightly change approach and we consider bouquets of circles that go through \( x \) rather than tracks. We explain now how we construct a bouquet of circles \( \Gamma \) which will give the codimension 1 subgroup.

Let \( r = a_1...a_{2n} \) be the normal form in \( F \) of \( r \in R' \). We represent the 2-cell \( c(r) \) corresponding to \( r \) as a polygon where the \( a_i \)'s are the labels of the sides of this polygon. The bouquet of circles has a single vertex \( x \) and a set of edges corresponding to ‘diagonals’ of these polygons. We fix \( r \in R' \) as above we pick the diagonal joining the beginning of the \( a_1 \)-edge to the vertex opposite to it, i.e. the end of the \( a_n \) edge.

We remark now that since \( c(r) \) has an even number of sides each vertex has a vertex opposite to it, so we associate to this vertex the diagonal joining it with the opposite vertex. We remark that any vertex is determined by the edges adjacent to it. For example the beginning of the \( a_1 \) edge is the vertex corresponding to the consecutive edges \( a_{2n}, a_1 \). We consider now the equivalence relation on vertices of the \( c(r) \)'s generated by the following relation: The vertex \( b_i, b_{i+1} \) of \( c(r_1) \) is equivalent to the vertex \( c_j, c_{j+1} \) of \( c(r_2) \) if \( b_i, b_{i+1} \) lie in the same free factors as \( c_j, c_{j+1} \). We remark that \( r_1 \) might be equal to \( r_2 \) in this definition.

Now for each \( r \) we consider all vertices equivalent to the vertices of the chosen diagonal. We add to the bouquet of circles all diagonals corresponding to these vertices and we call the graph obtained in this way \( \Gamma \). We remark that \( \Gamma \) is a bouquet of circles if we see it as an abstract graph but if we see it as immersed in \( K \) its edges are likely to intersect each other in the middle point of the polygons.

\( \Gamma \) corresponds to a subgroup of \( G \). Indeed each diagonal gives a generator, for example the diagonal joining \( a_1, a_n \) gives the generator \( a_1a_2...a_n \). Let’s call this subgroup \( H \). We will show that \( H \) is a codimension 1 subgroup of \( G \).

Lemma 3.2. There is a tree \( \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \tilde{K} \) which has as edges diagonals of 2-cells which is invariant under \( H \). \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) separates \( \tilde{K} \) in at least 2 components.

Proof. Let \( v \in \tilde{K} \) be a vertex. We define now a connected graph in \( \tilde{K} \) as follows: We say that two vertices are related if they are opposite.
We take the equivalence relation generated by this relation and we consider the equivalence class of \( v \). Let \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) be the graph obtained by joining opposite vertices in this equivalence class by diagonals. We claim that \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is a tree. If it is not a tree then there is a path \( p \) in \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) such that both endpoints of \( p \) lie on the same 2-cell of \( R' \) and \( p \) is not a single edge (a diagonal). Let’s say \( a, b \) are the endpoints of \( p \) and they lie on a 2-cell \( \sigma \). Let \( q \) be a path on \( \partial \sigma \) joining \( a, b \). We may assume \( q \) to have minimal normal form length in the free product among the 2 possible paths. Now \( p \cup q \) is a closed loop. We change now \( p \) by replacing each diagonal by the corresponding path on the boundary on which the diagonal lie. We note that we have two choices and we replace the diagonals so that the path we obtain by replacing all of them corresponds to a reduced word of \( F \). Let \( p' \) be the path we obtain in this way. We may arrange also that \( p' \cup q \) is reduced at the vertex \( a \) (unless \( a = b \)). We consider now the van-Kampen diagram over the free product for \( p' \cup q \) and we remark that if it has an \( i \)-spur for \( i \leq 2 \) then the boundary of this \( i \)-spur contains a neighborhood of the vertex \( b \). But this contradicts Corollary 2.2. It follows that \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is a tree. By construction \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is invariant under \( H \) and separates locally (hence also globally) \( \tilde{K} \).

The first part of the next lemma follows also from work of Osin ([5], see also [3]). We include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.3.** The vertex groups \( A_i \) and \( H \) embed quasi-isometrically in \( G \). \( H \) is a codimension 1 subgroup of \( G \).

**Proof.** Let \( a \) be a geodesic word in the Cayley graph of \( A_i \). We will show that \( a \) is a quasi-geodesic in \( \tilde{K} \). Let \( S \) be the generating set of \( G \) and let \( |w| \) be the length of a word in \( S \). Let

\[
M = \max\{ |r| : r \in R' \}
\]

We define a new length function \( L \) for words of \( S \):

\[
L(w) = M||w|| + |w|
\]

It is clear that an \( L \)-geodesic is a quasi-geodesic.

Indeed let \( p \) be a geodesic in the 1-skeleton of \( \tilde{K} \) with respect to the length function \( L \) with the same endpoints as \( a \). We consider the van-Kampen diagram over the free product for \( a \cup p \). We may assume that \( a \cap p \) is equal to the endpoints of \( a, p \) since along the intersection of \( a, p, a \) is quasi-geodesic.

We remark now that this diagram has at most 2 \( i \)-spurs (for \( i \leq 2 \)), corresponding to the endpoints of \( p \) so by corollary 2.2 this diagram is
a ladder. By considering now the usual van-Kampen diagram for $a \cup p$ we have that $|a| \leq M|p|$ so $a$ is quasi-geodesic.

We prove now that $H$ is quasi-isometrically embedded. Since $H$ acts freely on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ it is enough to show that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is quasi-isometrically embedded. Let $p$ be a geodesic path in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ joining two vertices $v, u$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

We change $p$ by replacing each diagonal by the corresponding path on the boundary of the cell on which lies the diagonal. We pick this path so that the word of $F$ corresponding to the path is reduced. Let $p'$ be the path we obtain in this way. Let $q$ be an $L$-geodesic path joining $v, u$.

As before we may assume that $p', q$ intersect only at their endpoints. Again by the definition of $p', q$ if we consider the van-Kampen diagram over the free product for $p' \cup q$ we remark that it has at most 2 $i$-spurs for $i \leq 2$. Hence this diagram is a ladder. By considering the usual van-Kampen diagram we have that $\text{length}(p') \leq M \text{length}(q)$. Since $q$ is a quasi-geodesic we have that $p'$ is a quasi-geodesic, so $H$ is quasi-isometrically embedded.

Finally we show that $H$ is a codimension 1 subgroup. It suffices to show that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ separates $\tilde{K}$ in at least 2 components which are not contained in a finite neighborhood of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. By its definition $\tilde{\Gamma}$ separates locally $\tilde{K}$. Since $\tilde{K}$ is simply connected, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ separates $\tilde{K}$.

We introduce now some useful terminology. Let $r \in R'$ and let $c_1c_2...c_n$ be the normal form of $r$ in $F$. Recall that $r$ is cyclically reduced. Let $k$ be smallest such that

$$||c_1...c_k|| \geq \frac{n}{6}$$

We say then that $c_1...c_k$ is a piece of $r$. Similarly we define pieces of all cyclic permutations of $c_1c_2...c_n$.

Let $R$ be a 2-cell in $\tilde{K}$ which intersects $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on an edge $e$. Let $v, u$ be the vertices of $e$.

Let $c_1c_2...c_n$ be the label of $R$ starting from $v$ and written in free product normal form. Let $s$ be the vertex corresponding to the endpoint of a piece $p_1 = c_1...c_k$ of $R$ starting at $v$. We construct a path starting from $v$ and lying in the same component of $\tilde{X} - \tilde{\Gamma}$ as $s$. The path starts by $p_1$. At $s$ we continue $p_1$ by a piece $p_2$ of another 2-cell $R_2$ corresponding to $r_2 \in R'$. We pick $R_2 \neq R$ and so that $p_1p_2$ is reduced in $F$. We continue inductively in the same way picking each time a new 2-cell and a piece so that the word we obtain is reduced in $F$. Let $\beta = p_1...p_n$ be the path we obtain after $n$ steps. If $s_n$ is the endpoint of $p_1...p_n$ we claim that $d(s_n, \tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed let $q$ be a geodesic joining $s_n$ to a closest vertex $t \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. We consider a geodesic $\gamma$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ joining $v$ to $t$. 


We distinguish now two cases. Assume first that $u$ does not lie on $\gamma$. We change $\gamma$ by replacing each diagonal by the corresponding path on the boundary on which the diagonal lie to obtain a path $\gamma'$. We make these replacements so that the word of $F$ corresponding to the new path is reduced and $p_1^{-1}\gamma'$ corresponds also to a reduced word in $F$. Clearly this is possible since we have two choices for replacing each diagonal and the normal form of each starts from a different free factor.

We consider now the loop $\beta \cup \gamma' \cup q$

Since $q$ is geodesic the van-Kampen diagram for free products for this loop has at most 2 $i$-spurs with $i \leq 2$ which appear around the endpoints of $q$. It follows that this diagram is a ladder (see corollary 2.2) hence the lengths of $q$ and $\beta \cup \gamma'$ are comparable so the length of $q$ goes to infinity as $n \to \infty$.

We deal now with the second case, i.e. we assume that $u$ lies on $\gamma$. We modify then $p_1...p_n$ as follows. We replace $p_1$ by the path $q_1$ on the boundary of $R$ joining $s$ to $u$. We note that the new path $\beta' = q_1p_2...p_n$ might not be reduced at the endpoint of $q_1$. We replace $\gamma$ by a path $\gamma'$ in the 1-skeleton of $\tilde{K}$ as before so that $q_1^{-1}\gamma'$ is reduced in the free product $F$. We remark that the van-Kampen diagram over free products for the loop $\beta' \cup \gamma' \cup q$

is a ladder in this case too hence the length of $q$ goes to infinity as $n \to \infty$.

Similarly we construct we see that the component of $R - \tilde{\Gamma}$ that does not contain $v$ is not contained in a finite neighborhood of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. It follows that $H$ is co-dimension 1.

4. The example

**Theorem 4.1.** Given any $n > 0$ there is a one-ended hyperbolic group $G$ such that

- $\dim \partial G \geq n$
- $\partial G$ is separated by a Cantor set.
- $G$ does not split.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a torsion free 1-ended hyperbolic group with property $T$ and such that $\dim(\partial A) \geq n$ (eg a lattice in $Sp(n,1)$). Let’s say $A = \langle a_1, ..., a_k \rangle$. We may assume that $a_i^m \neq a_j^r$ for any $i \neq j$ and $m, r > 0$. We take now another copy of $A$. For notational convenience
we denote the second copy by \( B \) and its generators by \(< b_1, \ldots, b_k >\).

We consider now the free product \( A \ast B \) and we define \( G \) to be the small cancellation quotient of \( A \ast B \) given by the relations:

\[
  r_{i,j} = (a_i b_j)(a_i b_j^2)(a_i b_j^3)(a_i b_j^4) \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k
\]

By theorem 3.1 of the previous section \( G \) has a free codimension 1 subgroup \( H \). As we showed in the proof of the theorem \( H \) is quasi-isometrically embedded so a Cantor set separates \( \partial G \). We show now that \( G \) has property \( FA \) (i.e. it does not split). Clearly \( G \) is not an \( HNN \) extension since the abelianization of \( A \) is trivial, so the abelianization of \( G \) is trivial. We show now that \( G \) does not split as an amalgamated product. Let’s say \( G = X \ast_C Y \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( A \subset X \) and \( B \subset gXg^{-1} \) or \( B \subset gYg^{-1} \). Let \( g = x_1 \ldots x_n \) be the normal form of \( G \) in the free product decomposition. By replacing \( A, B \) by conjugates we may assume that either \( g = 1 \) and \( B \subset Y \) or \( x_1 \notin X \). However we see then that the word

\[
  r_{i,j} = (a_i b_j)(a_i b_j^2)(a_i b_j^3)(a_i b_j^4)
\]

is reduced in \( X \ast_C Y \) unless \( a_i \) or \( b_j \) is in \( C \). As all \( r_{i,j} \) are equal to the identity this implies that \( A = C \) and \( B \) contained in \( Y \) or \( B = C \) and \( A \) contained in \( X \) but in both cases, the splitting would be trivial.

We claim finally that \( G \) is hyperbolic. Indeed this follows by lemma 4.4 of [5], and [3]. For the reader’s convenience we sketch a proof here using lemma 2.3. It is enough to show that \( G \) satisfies a linear isoperimetric inequality. Let \( w \) be a word on the generators of \( G \) and let \( D \) be a reduced van-Kampen diagram for \( G \). As we describe in section 3 one obtains from \( D \) a new diagram, let’s say \( D_1 \), which is called the diagram for \( w \) over the free product. Since \( A, B \) are hyperbolic they satisfy some isoperimetric inequality of the form

\[
  A(p) \leq Kl(p)
\]

for any simple closed path \( p \) in the Cayley graph of \( A \) or of \( B \).

It follows that if \( p \) is a simple closed path of \( D \) such that all edges of \( p \) lie in \( A \) (or in \( B \)) and if \( v \) is the vertex of \( D_1 \) that we obtain by collapsing \( p \) to a point then

\[
  d_v = l(p) \geq \frac{1}{K} A(p)
\]

where \( d_v \) is the degree of \( v \). It follows that

\[
  A(D) \leq A(D_1) + K \sum_{v \in D_1^0} d_v
\]
From lemma 2.3 we have the following inequality for the diagram $D_1$:

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D_1^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - \frac{2E^\circ}{7} \leq V^\bullet + \frac{E^\bullet}{7}$$

We have

$$\sum_{v \in D_1^0} \frac{d_v}{2} \geq E^\circ \Rightarrow \frac{2E^\circ}{l} \leq \frac{2}{l} \sum_{v \in D_1^0} \frac{d_v}{2}$$

so

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in D_1^0} \frac{d_v}{2} - \frac{2E^\circ}{7} \geq \frac{1}{42} \sum_{v \in D_1^0} \frac{d_v}{2}$$

We have also $l(\partial D_1) \leq l(\partial D)$ and $V^\bullet, E^\bullet \leq l(\partial D)$. So from lemma 2.3 we have

$$A(D_1) \leq 6l(\partial D)$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in D_1^0} d_v \leq 42V^\bullet + 7E^\bullet$$

so

$$A(D) \leq (6 + 49K)l(\partial D)$$

In other words $G$ verifies a linear isoperimetric inequality, so it is hyperbolic.

Remark 1. The above example shows also that for any $n$ there is a finitely presented group $G$ with $\text{asdim } G > n$ which is separated coarsely by a uniformly embedded set $H$ of $\text{asdim } H = 1$ and which does not split. This answers a question in [10].
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