## Solutions to the sample Christmas exam paper

1. First of all, let us determine the equation of the plane $\beta$. The vector connecting the first point to the third one has coordinates ( $1,0,0$ ), the vector connecting the third poind to the second one has coordinates $(2,2,1)$. Their cross product $(0,-1,2)$ is perpendicular to our plane, so its equation should be $-y+2 z=\mathrm{D}$ for some D , and substitution of either of the given points gives us $\mathrm{D}=4$. Thus, the intersection line of our planes coincides with the solution set to the system of linear equations

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
2 x+3 y+z & =-3 \\
-y+2 z & =4
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Solving this system, we see that the solution set consists of all points of the form $\left(\frac{9-7 t}{2}, 2 t-4, t\right)$, where $t$ is a parameter, which can be represented in the form

$$
\left(\frac{9}{2},-4,0\right)+t\left(-\frac{7}{2}, 2,1\right)
$$

so for a vector parallel to our line we can take $\mathbf{b}=\left(-\frac{7}{2}, 2,1\right)$. Let us denote by $\varphi$ the angle between this vector and $\mathbf{a}=(1,0,1)$. We have

$$
\cos \varphi=\frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}|}=\frac{-5 / 2}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{69 / 4}}=-\frac{5}{\sqrt{138}} .
$$

2. (a) Clearly,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & -1 \\
5 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Expanding along the first row, we get
$\operatorname{det}(A)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right)-4 \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 5 & 1\end{array}\right)+2 \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 5 & -1\end{array}\right)=0-24-12=-36$.
As a consequence, $A$ is invertible, since $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0$.
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
5 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow[(2)-(1),(3)-5(1)]{\longmapsto} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -3 & -3 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -21 & -9 & -5 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{(3)-7(2),-1 / 3(2)}{\mapsto} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 / 3 & -1 / 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 12 & 2 & -7 & 1
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow[(1)-4(2), 1 / 12(3)]{\longmapsto} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & -2 & -1 / 3 & 4 / 3 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 / 3 & -1 / 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 / 6 & -7 / 12 & 1 / 12
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{(1)+2(3),(2)-(3)}{\mapsto} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 6 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 4 & -1 / 12 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 / 6 & -7 / 12 & 1 / 12
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
A^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 6 \\
1 / 6 & 1 / 4 & -1 / 12 \\
1 / 6 & -7 / 12 & 1 / 12
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The only solution to the system $A x=b$ is $x=A^{-1} b$, which in our case gives the vector $\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 2 / 3 \\ -4 / 3\end{array}\right)$.
(c) The adjoint matrix is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -6 & -6 \\
-6 & -9 & 3 \\
-6 & 21 & -3
\end{array}\right)
$$

so using the formula $A^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A)$, we obtain the same result as in the previous question.
3. First solution: as we know from lectures, to determine whether a permutation is even or odd, one can count the total number of inversions in both rows (for a 2-row notation). In our case, there are 17 inversions in the first row, and 27 inversions in the second row, which adds up to 44 , so our permutation is even.

Second solution: if we rearrange columns in such a way that the the numbers in the first row are ordered properly, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
3 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 10 & 6 & 2 & 8 & 7 & 9
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the second row has 12 inversions, so the permutation is even.
4. First solution: If $\mathrm{Ax}=0$ has only the trivial solution, then, as we know from one of the theorems proved in class, $A$ is invertible. Then $A^{4}$ is also invertible: $\left(A^{4}\right)^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{4}$, and the same theorem (where it is proved that nonexistence of nontrivial solutions is equivalent to invertibility) guarantees that $A^{4} x=0$ has only the trivial solution. If $A x=0$ has a nontrivial solution, it will also be a nontrivial solution to $A^{4} x=0$, since $A^{4} x=A^{3} \cdot A x$.

Second solution: If $A x=0$ has a nontrivial solution, then $A^{4} x=0$ has the same nontrivial solution (see above). Assume that $A^{4} x=0$ has a nontrivial solution $u$. Since $A^{4} u=A \cdot A^{3} u$, we either have $A^{3} u=u$, or $A^{3} u$ is a nontrivial solution to $A x=0$. In the second case, we proved what we wanted to prove, in the first case we notice that $A^{3} u=A \cdot A^{2} u$, and again, either $A^{2} u=0$ or $A^{2} u$ is a nontrivial solution to $A x=0$. Finally, $A^{2} u=A \cdot A u$, so either $A u=0$ (so $u$ is a nontrivial solution to $A x=0$ ) or $A u$ is a nontrivial solution to $A x=0$.
5. Expanding the determinant of $A_{n}$ along the first row, we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n}\right)=2 \operatorname{det}\left(A_{n-1}+(-1) \cdot(-1) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

and expanding this determinant along the first column, we get the required formula

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n}\right)=2 \operatorname{det}\left(A_{n-1}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n-2}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, it is clear that $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}\right)=2, \operatorname{det}\left(A_{2}\right)=3$. Using the formula we obtained, we see that $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{3}\right)=4$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{4}\right)=5$, so it is natural to assume that $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n}\right)=n+1$. This formula is easy to prove by induction. We already have the induction basis ( $n=1,2$ ), and if we know that $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{k}\right)=k+1$, $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{k+1}\right)=k+2$, we see that $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{k+2}\right)=2(k+2)-(k+1)=k+3$, so the step of induction can be easily made (note that unlike the most frequent way of proving things by induction, that is moving from $k$ to $k+1$, we here move in a less trivial way, from $k$ and $k+1$ to $k+1$ and $k+2$; to make use of our formula, we need to use pairs of consecutive determinants.

