# 1212: Linear Algebra II

Dr. Vladimir Dotsenko (Vlad)

## Lecture 20

### One further example

**Example 1.**  $V = \mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $\varphi$  is multiplication by the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $\varphi^2 = 0$ ,  $rk(\varphi) = 2$ ,  $rk(\varphi^k) = 0$  for  $k \ge 2$ ,  $null(\varphi) = 2$ ,  $null(\varphi^k) = 4$  for  $k \ge 2$ . Moreover,  $Ker(\varphi) = \{\begin{pmatrix} -s \\ t \\ s \end{pmatrix}\}$ . We have a sequence of subspaces  $V = Ker(\varphi^2) \supset Ker(\varphi) \supset \{0\}$ . The first one relative to the second one is two-dimensional (dim  $Ker(\varphi^2) - \dim Ker(\varphi) = 2$ ). Clearly, the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (corresponding to s = 1, t = 0 and s = 0, t = 1 respectively) form a basis of the kernel of  $\varphi$ , and after computing the reduced column echelon form and looking for missing pivots, we obtain a relative basis consisting of the vectors  $f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . These vectors give rise to threads  $f_1$ ,  $\varphi(f_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $f_2$ ,  $\varphi(f_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

These two threads together contain four vectors, and we have a basis.

#### Uniqueness of the normal form

Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_d$  the number of threads of length d, where  $1 \leq d \leq k$ . In that case, we have

$$\begin{split} m_k &= \dim N_k - \dim N_{k-1}, \\ m_{k-1} + m_k &= \dim N_{k-1} - \dim N_{k-2}, \\ & \dots \\ m_2 + \dots + m_k &= \dim N_2 - \dim N_1, \\ m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k &= \dim N_1, \end{split}$$

so the numbers of threads of various lengths are uniquely determined by the characteristics of the linear transformation  $\varphi$  that do not depend on any choices (dimensions of kernels of powers).

#### Finding a direct sum decomposition

Now, suppose that  $\phi$  is an arbitrary linear transformation of V. Consider the sequence of subspaces  $N_1 = \ker(\phi), N_2 = \ker(\phi^2), \dots, N_m = \ker(\phi^m), \dots$ 

Note that this sequence is increasing:

$$N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots N_m \subset \ldots$$

Indeed, if  $\nu \in N_s$ , that is  $\varphi^s(\nu) = 0$ , then we have

$$\varphi^{s+1}(\nu) = \varphi(\varphi^s(\nu)) = 0.$$

Since we only work with finite-dimensional vector spaces, this sequence of subspaces cannot be strictly increasing; if  $N_i \neq N_{i+1}$ , then, obviously, dim  $N_{i+1} \ge 1 + \dim N_i$ . It follows that for some k we have  $N_k = N_{k+1}$ .

**Lemma 1.** In this case we have  $N_{k+l} = N_k$  for all l > 0.

*Proof.* We shall prove that  $N_{k+l} = N_{k+l-1}$  by induction on l. The induction basis (case l = 1) follows immediately from our notation. Suppose that  $N_{k+l} = N_{k+l-1}$ ; let us prove that  $N_{k+l+1} = N_{k+l}$ . Let us take a vector  $\nu \in N_{k+l+1}$ , so  $\varphi^{k+l+1}(\nu) = 0$ . We have  $\varphi^{k+l+1}(\nu) = \varphi^{k+l}(\varphi(\nu))$ , so  $\varphi(\nu) \in N_{k+l}$ . But by the induction hypothesis  $N_{k+l} = N_{k+l-1}$ , so  $\varphi^{k+l-1}(\varphi(\nu)) = 0$ , or  $\varphi^{k+l}(\nu) = 0$ , so  $\nu \in N_{k+l}$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.** Under our assumptions, we have  $\ker(\phi^k) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi^k) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose that  $v \in \ker(\phi^k) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi^k)$ . This means that  $\phi^k(v) = 0$  and that  $v = \phi^k(w)$  for some vector w. It follows that  $\phi^{2k}(w) = 0$ , so  $w \in N_{2k}$ . But from the previous lemma we know that  $N_{2k} = N_k$ , so  $w \in N_k$ . Thus,  $v = \phi^k(w) = 0$ , which completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.** Under our assumptions, we have  $V = \ker(\phi^k) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(\phi^k)$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, consider the sum of these two subspaces (which is, as we just proved in the previous lemma, direct). It is a subspace of V of dimension  $\dim \ker(\varphi^k) + \dim \operatorname{Im}(\varphi^k) = \dim(V)$ , so it has to coincide with V.