## 1212: Linear Algebra II

Dr. Vladimir Dotsenko (Vlad)

## Lecture 5

In practice, it is important sometimes to determine the intersection of two subspaces, each presented as a linear span of several vectors. This question naturally splits into two different questions.

First, it makes sense to find a basis of each of these subspaces. To determine a basis for a linear span of given vectors, the easiest way is to form the matrix whose columns are the given vectors, and find its reduced column echelon form (like the reduced row echelon form, but with elementary operations on columns). Nonzero columns of the result form a basis of the linear span.

Once we know a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  for the first subspace, and a basis  $w_1, \ldots, w_l$  for the second one, the question reduces to solving the linear system  $c_1v_1 + \ldots + c_kv_k = d_1w_1 + \ldots + d_lw_l$ . For each solution to this system, the vector  $c_1v_1 + \ldots + c_kv_k$  is in the intersection, and vice versa. Computationally, the first step does a part of the job for us, because computing the reduced column echelon form produces a system of equations with many zero entries already.

**Example 1.** Let us consider two following subspaces of 
$$\mathbb{R}^5$$
: the subspace U is the span of the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0\\-4\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} -4\\1\\3\\-1\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\5\\-1\\-1\\-1\\14 \end{pmatrix}$ , and subspace W is the span of the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 2\\-1\\-2\\-3\\-1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-2\\-2\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We shall compute dim U and dim W, and describe the intersection of  $U \cap W$ .

Let us first find the bases of these subspaces. As we mentioned, we should compute reduced column echelon forms, so in order to not introduce new notation, we shall temporarily transpose matrices, and write coordinates of all vectors in rows. To find a basis of the space spanned by rows of a matrix, we bring it to its reduced row echelon form; the nonzero rows of the result give us a basis. The reduced row echelon form

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{for the matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & -4 & 2 \\ -4 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 & -1 & 14 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -5/3 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2/3 & 8/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7/3 & -2/3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and the reduced row echelon form} \\ \text{of the matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2/3 & 8/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7/3 & -2/3 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ This means that each of the subspaces} \\ \end{array}$ 

is three-dimensional. To compute the intersection, recall that by definition the intersection consists of all vectors that belong to both of the subspaces. Let us denote by  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  the basis vectors for U found above, and by  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  the basis vectors for W found above. Then the intersection consists of all vectors  $\nu$  that can be represented in the form

$$v = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3 = c_4 w_1 + c_5 w_2 + c_6 w_3$$

for some  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6$ , or, equivalently,

$$c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + c_3u_3 - c_4w_1 - c_5w_2 - c_6w_3 = 0.$$

This is a homogeneous system of linear equations with unknowns  $c_i$ . Its matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -5/3 & -2/3 & -7/3 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1/3 & 8/3 & -2/3 & 2/3 & -7/3 & 4/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bringing it to the reduced row echelon form (calculations are omitted), we get the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so the general solution is  $c_1 = -c_5 - 2c_6$ ,  $c_2 = c_5$ ,  $c_3 = c_6$ ,  $c_4 = -c_5 - 2c_6$ , and the intersection can be described as the set of all vectors of the form  $(-c_5 - 2c_6)w_1 + c_5w_2 + c_6w_3 = c_5(w_2 - w_1) + c_6(w_3 - 2w_1)$ ,

so the vectors 
$$w_2 - w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $w_3 - 2w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  form a basis of the intersection.

## Invariant subspaces

**Definition 1.** Let  $\varphi: V \to V$  be a linear transformation. A subspace U of V is said to be *invariant* under  $\varphi$  if  $\varphi(U) \subset (U)$ .

**Example 2.** All multiples of an eigenvector of  $\varphi$  form a subspace of V that is invariant under  $\varphi$ . Indeed, all multiples of any vector form a subspace, and if it is an eigenvector, then  $\varphi$  maps any vector from this subspace to its multiple.

Let us prove an important result that utilises the notion of an invariant subspace. As we mentioned before, we assume that complex numbers are used as scalars.

Two linear transformations  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are said to *commute* if  $\varphi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \varphi$ , so that the result of consecutive application of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  does not depend on the order in which they are applied.

**Theorem 1.** Any set of pairwise commuting operators  $\varphi_i : V \to V$  has a common eigenvector.

*Proof.* We shall prove it by induction on dim(V). If dim(V) = 1, then any basis vector of V is a common eigenvector for these operators. Assume the statement is proved for dim(V) = k. Let us prove it for dim(V) = k + 1. If all the operators  $\varphi_i$  are scalar multiples of the identity map, that is there exist scalars  $c_i$  such that for all  $\nu$  and all i we have  $\varphi_i(\nu) = c_i \cdot \nu$ , then every non-zero vector is a common eigenvector of these transformations. Suppose that for some i the operator  $\varphi_i$  is not a scalar multiple of the identity map. Let us consider some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\varphi_i$ , and consider the solution space to the system of equations  $\varphi_i(\nu) = \lambda \cdot \nu$ . This solution space is a subspace W with  $0 < \dim(W) < \dim(V)$ . Let us note that W is an invariant subspace of all our transformations: if  $w \in W$ , and  $w' = \varphi_k(w)$ , we have

$$\varphi_{i}(w') = \varphi_{i}(\varphi_{k}(w)) = \varphi_{k}(\varphi_{i}(w)) = \varphi_{k}(\lambda \cdot w) = \lambda \varphi_{k}(w) = \lambda \cdot w',$$

so  $w' \in W$ . By induction, there is a common eigenvector in W, as required.