## MA 1112: Linear Algebra II Selected answers/solutions to the assignment for March 19, 2019

**1.** (a) For  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$  we get the value  $2x_1y_1$  which also assumes negative values, so it is not a scalar product.

(b) For  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$  we get the value  $x_1^2$  which is nonnegative but vanishes for a nonzero vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , so it is not a scalar product.

(c) This formula is manifestly bilinear and symmetric, and for  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$  we get  $x_1^2 + 7y_1^2$  which implies positivity, so it is a scalar product.

(d) For  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$  we obtain  $x_1^2 + 2x_1y_1 + y_1^2 = (x_1 + y_1)^2$ , and there are nonzero vectors for which this vanishes, so it is not a scalar product.

(e) It is not symmetric, so it is not a scalar product.

2. First we make this set into a set of orthogonal vectors. We put

$$e_{1} = f_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_{2} = f_{2} - \frac{(e_{1}, f_{2})}{(e_{1}, e_{1})}e_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -4/5\\8/5\\1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_{3} = f_{3} - \frac{(e_{1}, f_{3})}{(e_{1}, e_{1})}e_{1} - \frac{(e_{2}, f_{3})}{(e_{2}, e_{2})}e_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/7\\-2/7\\4/7 \end{pmatrix}$$

To conclude, we normalise the vectors, obtaining the answer

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{105}} \begin{pmatrix} -4\\8\\5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-2\\4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**3.** We first orthogonalise these vectors, noting that  $\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) dt$  is equal to 0 if f(t) is an odd function (this shows that our computations are actually quite easy, because even powers of *t* are automatically orthogonal to odd powers):

$$e_{1} = 1,$$

$$e_{2} = t - \frac{(1, t)}{(1, 1)} 1 = t,$$

$$e_{3} = t^{2} - \frac{(1, t^{2})}{(1, 1)} 1 - \frac{(t, t^{2})}{(t, t)} t = t^{2} - \frac{1}{3},$$

$$e_{4} = t^{3} - \frac{(1, t^{3})}{(1, 1)} 1 - \frac{(t, t^{3})}{(t, t)} t - \frac{(t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}, t^{3})}{(t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}, t^{2} - \frac{1}{3})} (t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}) = t^{3} - \frac{3}{5},$$

$$e_{5} = t^{4} - \frac{(1, t^{4})}{(1, 1)} 1 - \frac{(t, t^{4})}{(t, t)} t - \frac{(t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}, t^{4})}{(t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}, t^{2} - \frac{1}{3})} (t^{2} - \frac{1}{3}) - \frac{(t^{3} - \frac{3}{5}, t^{4})}{(t^{3} - \frac{3}{5}, t^{3} - \frac{3}{5})} = t^{4} - \frac{6}{7}t^{2} + \frac{3}{35}.$$

To conclude, we normalise these vectors, obtaining

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}t}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{5}(3t^2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{7}(5t^3 - 3t)}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{3(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)}{8\sqrt{2}}$$

4. (a) The first two formulas are manifestly bilinear, the third one is not since

$$tr(A + B_1 + B_2) = tr(A) + tr(B_1) + tr(B_2) \neq tr(A) + tr(B_1) + tr(A) + tr(B_2) = tr(A + B_1) + tr(A + B_2),$$

the fourth one is not bilinear since  $det(2AB) = 4 det(AB) \neq 2 det(AB)$ .

(**b**) All of them are symmetric: the first one is because of the property tr(AB) = tr(BA) proved in the first semester, the second one because  $BA^T = (AB^T)^T$ , the third one because A + B = B + A, the fourth one because det(AB) = det(A) det(B) = det(B) det(A) = det(BA).

(c) For A = B, the first one becomes  $tr(A^2)$  which is not always nonnegative, e.g. for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , the second one is the sum of squares of entries of A, so is positive, the third one is just tr(2A) so clearly is not positive, the fourth one is  $det(A^2) = det(A)^2$  which is nonnegative but vanishes for many nonzero matrices, e.g. for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

5. We have

$$|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}|^2 = (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + 2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}),$$

which is less than

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + 2|\mathbf{v}||\mathbf{w}| + (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) = (|\mathbf{v}| + |\mathbf{w}|)^2$$

by Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, so we get the statement of the problem after extracting square roots.