ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS (MA2316, ELEVENTH WEEK)

VLADIMIR DOTSENKO

Theorem 1. We have

$$M_{\tau}(n) = \ln n + O(1).$$

Proof. Note that

$$nM_{\tau}(n) = \sum_{k \le n} \tau(k) = \sum_{k \le n} \sum_{ab=n} 1 = \sum_{a,b \le n: ab \le n} 1 =$$

$$= \sum_{a \le \sqrt{n}} \sum_{b \le n/a} 1 + \sum_{a \le \sqrt{n}} \sum_{b \le n/a} 1 - \sum_{a \le \sqrt{n}, b \le \sqrt{n}} 1 = 2 \sum_{a \le \sqrt{n}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \right\rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor^2 =$$

$$= 2 \sum_{a \le \sqrt{n}} \frac{n}{a} + O(\sqrt{n}) + O(n) = 2(n \ln \sqrt{n} + O(n)) + O(n) = n \ln n + O(n)$$

Here, we use two estimates for the sum of inverse integers:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \le 1 + \int_{1}^{n} \frac{dx}{x} = 1 + \ln n$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \ge \int_{1}^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln(n+1),$$

which together imply that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} = \ln n + O(1).$$

Dividing $nM_{\tau}(n) = n \ln n + O(n)$ by n, we get the required statement.

Theorem 2. We have

$$M_{\phi}(n) = \frac{3n}{\pi^2} + O(\ln n).$$

Proof. Let us examine the function $\Phi(n) = nM_{\phi}(n) = \sum_{k \leq n} \phi(k)$. Extend it to all nonnegative real numbers, putting $\Phi(x) = \Phi(\lfloor x \rfloor)$ when x is not an integer. Let us first show that

$$\sum_{d \ge 1} \Phi(x/d) = \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor x + 1 \rfloor}{2}$$

Indeed, the right hand side is equal to the number of pairs (m, n) with $0 \le m < n \le x$. The number of such pairs with gcd(m, n) = d is equal to $\Phi(x/d)$, since factoring out d reduces counting these pairs to counting pairs $0 \le m' \le n' \le x/d$, and for each $n' \le x/d$ the number of allowed m' is $\phi(n')$.

To make use of the formula we just proved, we shall invoke the following generalisation of Möbius inversion which you will prove in the next tutorial:

Suppose f, g are two functions with complex values defined on $[0, +\infty)$, and assume in addition that $\sum_{k,d\geq 1} |f(x/(kd))| < +\infty$ (for instance, that happens when f(x) =0 for x < 1). Show that if

$$g(x) = \sum_{d \ge 1} f(x/d),$$

then we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{d \ge 1} \mu(d)g(x/d).$$

Thanks to this statement, we have

$$nM_{\phi}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \ge 1} \mu(k) \lfloor n/k \rfloor \lfloor 1 + n/k \rfloor.$$

Let us play around with this formula a little bit. Clearly,

$$n/k \rfloor \lfloor 1 + n/k \rfloor = (n/k + O(1))(n/k + O(1)) = (n^2/k^2 + O(n/k)),$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$nM_{\phi}(n) = \Phi(n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k)(n^2/k^2 + O(n/k)) =$$

= $\frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{k>n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} + n \sum_{k=1}^{n} (O(1/k)) =$
= $\frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} + O(n) + O(n\ln n) = \frac{3n^2}{\pi^2} + O(n\ln n).$

Here we use obvious estimates

$$\left|\sum_{k>n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2}\right| \le \sum_{k>n} \frac{1}{k^2} < \sum_{k>n} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{n}$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k} = O(\ln n)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1} \overline{k} = O(\ln n)$$

which we proved above already, as well as the formula

$$\sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right)^{-1}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{1}{m^2}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\pi^2}{6}} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

Dividing by n, we get

$$M_{\phi}(n) = \frac{3n}{\pi^2} + O(\ln n),$$

as required.

This theorem easily implies that the "probability" for two randomly chosen numbers to be coprime is $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$. (If we agree that the probability in question is the (limit as $N \to \infty$ of the) proportion of pairs (m, n) with coprime m, n among all pairs m, n with $0 \le m, n \le N$).