# SOLUTIONS TO POLYNOMIAL CONGRUENCES (MA2316, FIFTH WEEK) 

VLADIMIR DOTSENKO

Last week, we figured out how to solve quadratic equations modulo primes, or at least how to figure out whether a quadratic equation has solutions. Indeed, a general quadratic congruence

$$
a x^{2}+b x+c \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

has solutions if and only if its discriminant $b^{2}-4 a c$ is a square modulo $p$, as the formula for roots of quadratic equations (which makes sense over any field) tells us. (To be completely precise, this formula is not applicable for $p=2$, since it has 2 in the denominator, but solving equations modulo 2 is easy enough by inspection, since the corresponding field consists of just two elements). We shall now address solutions to polynomial congruences modulo any integer $n$, and then discuss a topic in number theory which is naturally related to that, the $p$-adic numbers.

First of all, let us remark that it is sufficient to figure out how to solve congruences modulo prime powers. For if $n=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$ is a prime decomposition of $n$, then of course for each polynomial $f(t)$ we have (for any $x$ modulo $n$ )

$$
f(x) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod n) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f(x) \equiv 0 & \left(\bmod p_{1}^{a_{1}}\right) \\
f(x) \equiv 0 & \left(\bmod p_{2}^{a_{2}}\right) \\
\cdots & \\
f(x) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p_{k}^{a_{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, if $x_{i}$ is a solution to $f(t) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$, then the Chinese Remainder Theorem guarantees that there exists a unique $x$ modulo $n$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}x \equiv x_{1} & \left(\bmod p_{1}^{a_{1}}\right) \\ x \equiv x_{2} & \left(\bmod p_{2}^{a_{2}}\right) \\ \cdots & \\ x \equiv x_{k} & \left(\bmod p_{k}^{a_{k}}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and therefore by above congruences $f(x) \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. We just proved the following
Theorem 1. If $n=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$, and $f(t)$ is a polynomial modulo $n$, then the number of solutions to the congruence $f(t) \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ is equal to the product of numbers of solutions to congruences $f(t) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)$.

It remains to deal with the case of a prime power $p^{m}$. Of course, in order to be able to solve a congruence modulo $p^{m}$, one has to be able to solve it modulo $p$. In the case of quadratic equations, we learned how to do that; in the case of polynomials of higher degrees there are certain methods, although less powerful. We however shall assume that we can handle the case of congruences modulo $p$, and describe a process of "amelioration", or "lifting" of solutions to solutions modulo higher powers. The key ingredient is the following result, often referred to as "Hensel's Lemma":

Theorem 2. Let $f(t)$ be a polynomial modulo $p^{n+1}$. Suppose that for some $x$ modulo $p^{n}$ we have

- $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$,
- for some $k$ such that $0 \leq 2 k<n$ we have $f^{\prime}(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ but $f^{\prime}(x) \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$.

Then there exists $y$ modulo $p^{n+1}$ such that

- $f(y) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$,
- $f^{\prime}(y) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ but $f^{\prime}(y) \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$,
- $y \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{n-k}\right)$.

Proof. The proof in fact is suggested by the last requirement that $y$ must satisfy. Let us look for $y$ in the form $y=x+p^{n-k} z$. Taylor's formula for polynomials tells us that

$$
f(y)=f\left(x+p^{n-k} z\right)=f(x)+p^{n-k} z f^{\prime}(x)+p^{2 n-2 k} h \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)
$$

for some $h$. By our assumption, $f(x) \equiv p^{n} b\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$, and also for some $c$ coprime to $p$ we have $f^{\prime}(x) \equiv p^{k} c\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$, so

$$
f(y)=p^{n}(b+z c)+p^{n+(n-2 k)} h \equiv p^{n}(b+z c) \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)
$$

because of our assumption on $k$. Finally, because of our assumptions on $c$, we can find $z$ modulo $p$ for which $b+z c \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and hence

$$
f(y) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right) .
$$

Note also, that Taylor's formula applied for $f^{\prime}(t)$ gives us

$$
f^{\prime}(y)=f^{\prime}\left(x+p^{n-k} c\right) \equiv f^{\prime}(x) \quad\left(\bmod p^{n-k}\right),
$$

so since $n-k>k$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \equiv p^{k} c\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$, we conclude that $f^{\prime}(y) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ but $f^{\prime}(y) \not \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$, as required.
Remark. Note that mnemonically our formula $z=-\frac{b}{c}$ implies that we have $y=x+p^{n-k} z=$ $x-\frac{p^{n} b}{p^{k} c}=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}$, so what is happening here is precisely one iteration of the "Newton's method" for constructing approximate solutions to equations.
Remark. As with Newton's method, this result does not make claims about the uniqueness of $y$, neither does it claim anything for the case where the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ is divisible by high powers of p. However, in many cases it gives a method for solving equations which is powerful enough.

