

A WREATH PRODUCT MULTIVARIABLE EXTENSION

Dominique Foata and Guo-Niu Han

This Note is a complement to paper [6], especially Theorem 1.3, when the total ordering imposed on the wreath product $C_l \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ is defined as follows: the set $\{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ is split into two subsets I, J such that $0 \in I$ and the order has the properties:

- (a) $(j, i) < (j', i')$ when $i > i'$ for all j, j' ;
- (b) $(1, i) < (2, i) < \dots < (n, i)$ when $i \in I$;
- (c) $(n, i) < \dots < (2, i) < (1, i)$ when $i \in J$.

When $J = \emptyset$, we recover the total order used in [6]. For $l = 2$, $I = \{0\}$, $J = \{1\}$, we get the natural order defined on the hyperoctahedral group B_n , namely, $-n < \dots < -1 < 1 < \dots < n$ with the convention: $-j \equiv (j, 1)$, $j \equiv (j, 0)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We keep the notations used in [6]. When using the above new order, determined by the pair (I, J) , the polynomial defined in [6], (1.12) will be redefined as

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(s, t, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) \\ := \sum_{(w, \epsilon) \in C_l \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} t^{\text{fdes}(w, \epsilon)} q^{\text{fmaj}(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

to emphasize the presence of the partition (I, J) . The computation made in [6], Section 3 can be reproduced verbatim. Instead of (3.3) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (1 + t + \dots + t^{l-1}) W_n(s, t, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) \frac{u^n}{(t^l; q^l)_{n+1}} \\ = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) := \sum_{(c, w, \epsilon) \in \text{WP}(r, I, J)} u^{\lambda w} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} q^{\text{tot } c} \prod_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i}.$$

The only difference is the following. The last sum is over the set $\text{WP}(r, I, J)$ of all wreathed permutations (c, w, ϵ) that can be expressed as juxtaposition products

$$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ w \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{(1)} \\ w^{(1)} \\ \epsilon^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c^{(2)} \\ w^{(2)} \\ \epsilon^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} c^{(k)} \\ w^{(k)} \\ \epsilon^{(k)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where each $c^{(j)}$ is of the form $a_j^{m_j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$), $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_k = n$ and $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_k$. Also, $\epsilon^{(j)} = \bar{a}_j^{m_j}$, where \bar{a}_j is the residue of a_j mod l . Then, each word $w^{(j)}$ is *increasing* (resp. *decreasing*) with respect of natural order of the integers, if and only if $j \in I$ (resp. $j \in J$).

Example. Let $l = 3$, $I = \{0, 2\}$, $J = \{1\}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} \\ c \\ w \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc|cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & \mathbf{8} & 9 & 10 & \mathbf{11} & 12 & 13 \\ 12 & 12 & 11 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 13 & 5 & 7 & 12 & 10 & \mathbf{8} & 4 & 3 & \mathbf{11} & 9 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right),$$

is such a wreathed permutation. The factors of w above the factors 1, 1, 1, 1 and 1, 1 of ϵ are *decreasing*.

The important property on such wreathed permutations (which appears to be instrumental in the construction of the next bijection) is the fact each *decreasing* factor $w^{(j)}$ such that $\epsilon^{(j)} = \bar{a}_j^{m_j}$ and $\bar{a}_j \in J$ has *at most one* fixed point of (w, ϵ) . In the previous example there is one fixed point in each of the two factors $w^{(j)}$ such that $\bar{a}_j = 1$, namely **8** and **11**.

Let $\text{WP}'_n(r, I, J)$ denote the subset of $\text{WP}_n(r, I, J)$ of all wreathed permutations having *no i-fixed points* when $i \in J$ and let $\text{WP}'(r, I, J)$ be the union of all $\text{WP}'_n(r, I, J)$. Also, let $\text{DW}_n^{(i)}(r)$ be the subset of $\text{NIW}_n(r)$ of all *strictly decreasing* words, whose letters are congruent to i mod l and $\text{DW}^{(i)}(r)$ be the union of such $\text{DW}_n^{(i)}(r)$.

Start with a wreathed permutation (c, w, ϵ) from $\text{WP}(r, I, J)$ and for each $i \in J$ let $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{h(i)}$ be the increasing sequence of all integers j such that j is an i -fixed point: $x_j = j$ and $\epsilon_j = i$; define $v^{(i)} := c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_{h(i)}}$. As the word c is monotonic non-increasing and since there is at most one i -fixed point in each factor $w^{(j)}$ of w when $i \in J$, the word $v^{(i)}$ is strictly decreasing. More essentially,

$$v^{(i)} \in \text{DW}^{(i)}(r) \quad \text{if } (c, w, \epsilon) \in \text{WP}(r, I, J).$$

For all $i \in J$ remove all the columns $\binom{c_j}{x_j}$ such that j is an i -fixed point and form the family $(v^{(i)})_{i \in J}$. After removal the remaining

columns form a wreathed permutation on a subset K of $[1, n]$. Using the unique increasing bijection ϕ of K onto the interval $[1, |K|]$, next obtain a wreathed permutation of order $|K|$. Each factor $w^{(j)}$ of the original wreathed permutation (c, w, ϵ) is then transformed into a word $\phi(w^{(j)})$. The final step consists of replacing each $\phi(w^{(j)})$ into its mirror-image, whenever $i \in J$. Let (c', w', ϵ') be the wreathed permutation ultimately obtained. Then

$$(c', w', \epsilon') \in \text{WP}'(r, I, J).$$

In the previous example the removals of columns 8 and 11 yield

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|ccc|cc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & 10 & 12 & 13 \\ 12 & 12 & 11 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 13 & 5 & 7 & 12 & 10 & 4 & 3 & 9 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{and } v^{(1)} := c_8 c_{11} = 7, 4.$$

After reduction we obtain

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|ccc|cc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 12 & 12 & 11 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 11 & 5 & 7 & 10 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 8 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right).$$

and, finally,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} \\ c' \\ w' \\ \epsilon' \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|ccc|cc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 12 & 12 & 11 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 11 & 5 & 7 & 10 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 8 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right).$$

Proposition. *The mapping $(c, w, \epsilon) \mapsto ((c', w', \epsilon'), (v^{(i)})_{i \in J})$ is a bijection of $\text{WP}(r, I, J)$ onto $\text{WP}'(r, I, J) \times \prod_{i \in J} \text{DW}^{(i)}(r)$ having the properties:*

- (1) $\lambda w = \lambda w' + \sum_{i \in J} \lambda v^{(i)}$;
- (2) $\text{tot } c = \text{tot } c' + \sum_{i \in J} \text{tot } v^{(i)}$;
- (3) $|\epsilon|_i = |\epsilon'|_i$ for $i \in I$; $|\epsilon|_i = |\epsilon'|_i + \lambda v^{(i)}$ for $i \in J$;
- (4) $\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon) = \text{fix}_i(w', \epsilon')$ for $i \in I$;
- (5) $\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon) = \lambda v^{(i)}$ for $i \in J$;
- (6) $\text{exc}(w, \epsilon) = \text{exc}(w', \epsilon')$;
- (7) $\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon) = \text{fexc}(w', \epsilon') + \sum_{i \in J} i \cdot \lambda v^{(i)}$.

The proof of the Proposition requires no further techniques. \square

Now, by using the identity

$$(-u; q)_{N+1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{v \in \text{DW}_n(r)} q^{\text{tot } v},$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} u^n \sum_{v \in \text{DW}_n^{(i)}(r)} q^{\text{tot } v} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (uq^i)^n \sum_{v' \in \text{DW}_n(\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor)} q^{l \text{ tot } v'} \\ &= (-uq^i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A(r, J)$ be the set of all sets $(w^{(i)})_{i \in J}$, where $w^{(i)} \in \text{DW}^{(i)}(r)$ ($i \in J$). Summing over all pairs $((w^{(i)})_{i \in J}, (c, w, \epsilon))$ from $A(r, J) \times \text{WP}(r, I, J)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(w^{(i)})_{i \in J}, (c, w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in J} (us^i Z_i)^{\lambda w^{(i)}} q^{\text{tot } w^{(i)}} \\ &\quad \times u^{\lambda(c)} q^{\text{tot } c} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in J} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(c, w, \epsilon) \in \text{WP}(r, I, J)} u^{\lambda(c)} q^{\text{tot } c} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in J} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1} \times G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J). \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon) = \text{fexc}(w', \epsilon') + \sum_{i \in J} i \lambda v^{(i)}$, the initial expression can also be summed over triples $((w^{(i)})_{i \in J}, (c', w', \epsilon'), (v^{(i)})_{i \in J})$ from $A(r, J) \times \text{WP}'(r, I, J) \times A(r, J)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(w^{(i)})_{i \in J}, (c', w', \epsilon'), (v^{(i)})_{i \in J}} u^{\lambda c'} q^{\text{tot } c'} s^{\text{fexc}(w', \epsilon')} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon'|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w', \epsilon')} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i \in J} (us^i Y_i Z_i)^{\lambda v^{(i)}} q^{\text{tot } v^{(i)}} \times \prod_{i \in J} (us^i Z_i)^{\lambda w^{(i)}} q^{\text{tot } w^{(i)}} \\ &= \sum_{(v^{(i)})_{i \in J}} \prod_{i \in J} (us^i Y_i Z_i)^{\lambda v^{(i)}} q^{\text{tot } v^{(i)}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(c', w', \epsilon'), (w^{(i)})_{i \in J}} u^{\lambda c'} q^{\text{tot } c'} s^{\text{fexc}(w', \epsilon')} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon'|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w', \epsilon')} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i \in J} (us^i Z_i)^{\lambda w^{(i)}} q^{\text{tot } w^{(i)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{(c, w, \epsilon) \in \text{WP}(r, I, J)} u^{\lambda c} q^{\text{tot } c} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

But the last sum is also equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{(c, w, \epsilon) \in \text{WP}(r)} u^{\lambda c} q^{\text{tot } c} s^{\text{fexc}(w, \epsilon)} \prod_{i \in I+J} Z_i^{|\epsilon|_i} \prod_{i \in I} Y_i^{\text{fix}_i(w, \epsilon)} \\
 &= G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i)) |_{Y_i=1 \text{ for all } i \in J},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i))$, itself expressed in [6] (3.2), has been proved to be equal to

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq l} (uq^i s^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}}{\prod_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} (uq^i s^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}} H_r(u; q, s, (Z_i)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_r(u; q, s, (Z_i)) &= Z_0 (1 - q^l s^l) \\
 &\times \left(Z_0 - Z_0 q^l s^l + \sum_{i=1}^l q^i s^i Z_i - \sum_{i=1}^l q^i s^i Z_i \frac{(uq^l s^l Z_0; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}}{(uZ_0; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}} \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i)) |_{Y_i=1 \text{ for all } i \in J} \\
 = \frac{\prod_{i \in I} (uq^i s^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}}{\prod_{i \in I} (uq^i s^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}} H_r(u; q, s, (Z_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1} \times G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) \\
 &= \prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1} \times G_r((u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i)) |_{Y_i=1 \text{ for all } i \in J})
 \end{aligned}$$

we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) \\
 &= \frac{\prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}}{\prod_{i \in J} (-us^i q^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}} \frac{\prod_{i \in I} (uq^i s^i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}}{\prod_{i \in I} (uq^i s^i Y_i Z_i; q^l)_{\lfloor (r-i)/l \rfloor + 1}} \\
 &\quad \times H_r(u; q, s, (Z_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the factorial generating function for the polynomials $W_n(s, t, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J)$ can be expressed in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{l-1}) W_n(s, t, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J) \frac{u^n}{(t^l; q^l)_{n+1}} \\ = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r G_r(u; s, q, (Y_i), (Z_i), I, J). \end{aligned}$$

When $l = 2$, $I = \{0\}$, $J = \{1\}$, $Z_0 = 1$, $Z_1 = Z$, with the convention $-j \equiv (j, 1)$, $j \equiv (j, 0)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we recover the following identity derived in [1] for the hyperoctahedral group:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} (1 + t) W_n(s, t, q, Y_0, Y_1, Z) \frac{u^n}{(t^2; q^2)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \frac{(u; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 1}}{(uY_0; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 1}} \frac{(-usqY_1Z; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}}{(-usqZ; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(us^2q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (1 - s^2q^2) (u; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor} (u; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}}{(u; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 1} ((u; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor} ((u; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} - s^2q^2 (us^2q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}) \\ & \quad + sqZ (u; q^2)_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} ((u; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor} - (us^2q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor})). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Dominique Foata, Guo-Niu Han. Signed words and permutations, V; a sextuple distribution, *Ramanujan J.*, vol. **19**, 2009, p. 29–52.
- [6] Dominique Foata; Guo-Niu Han. The decrease value theorem with an application to permutation statistics, 19 p.