

## Numerical methods for hyperbolic systems

### Exercise sheet 3: Linear hyperbolic systems

#### Exercise 1

We consider the Maxwell equation

$$\begin{cases} \mu \partial_t B + c \partial_x E = -c \sigma^* B, \\ \varepsilon \partial_t E + c \partial_x B = -\sigma E, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with periodic boundary condition on  $\Omega = [0, L]$  and  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma^* > 0$ .

1. Prove the following energy inequality and the uniqueness of the solutions.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |E(t, x)|^2 + \mu |B(t, x)|^2 dx \right) = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma |E(t, x)|^2 + \sigma^* \mu |B(t, x)|^2 dx. \quad (2)$$

We multiply the first equation of (1) by  $B$ , the second equation by  $E$  and after we integrate the equations.

$$\begin{cases} \mu \int_{\Omega} B \partial_t B + c \int_{\Omega} B \partial_x E = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma^* B^2, \\ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} E \partial_t E + \int_{\Omega} E \partial_x B = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma E^2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \mu |B|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} B \partial_x E = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma^* |B|^2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |E|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} E \partial_x B = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma |E|^2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Summing the equations of (4), we obtain

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\mu |B|^2 + \varepsilon |E|^2) + c \int_{\Omega} \partial_x (EB) = - \int_{\Omega} (\sigma^* |B|^2 + \sigma |E|^2).$$

We remark that  $\int_{\Omega} \partial_x (EB) = [EB]_{\Omega} = 0$  because the boundary conditions are periodic. We define two solutions  $(B_1, E_1)$  and  $(B_2, E_2)$  with  $(B_1(t=0, x), E_1(t=0, x)) = (B_2(t=0, x), E_2(t=0, x))$  and the term

$$E_d(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\mu |B_1(t, x) - B_2(t, x)|^2 + \varepsilon |E_1(t, x) - E_2(t, x)|^2)$$

Since  $E_d(t) \leq 0$ ,  $E_d'(t) \leq q$  and  $E_d(t=0) \geq 0$  we have the uniqueness of the solution.

2. We introduce the plane waves (which are a good approximations of physical waves) defined by  $E(t, x) = E_0 e^{i(wt - kx)}$  and  $B(t, x) = B_0 e^{i(wt - kx)}$  with  $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k$  the wave vector and  $w$  the frequency. Give the conditions (called dispersion relation) on  $w$  and  $k$  such as the planar waves are solutions of (1) for  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\sigma^* = 0$

We plug the definition of  $E(t, x)$  and  $B(t, x)$  in (1). We obtain

$$\begin{cases} \mu B_0 i w e^{i(wt - kx)} - ck E_0 i e^{i(wt - kx)} = 0, \\ \varepsilon E_0 i w e^{i(wt - kx)} - ck B_0 i e^{i(wt - kx)} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \mu B_0 w - ck E_0 = 0, \\ \varepsilon E_0 w - ck B_0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Now use use  $E_0 = \frac{ckB_0}{\varepsilon}$ . Plugging this relation in the first equation of (6). We obtain

$$k^2 = \frac{w^2}{c^2} \mu \varepsilon.$$

In this part we assume that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\sigma^* = 0$ . Now we introduce the DG centered scheme for (1). The mesh  $\Omega_h$  is defined by  $n + 1$  points  $x_i$  and  $n$  cells  $K_i = [x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]$ . The volume of the cell  $K_i$  is  $\Delta x_i = |x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}|$ . We call a generic cell  $K$ . To finish the test function are defined by  $v \in V_h = \{v/v|_K \in \mathbb{P}^p(K)\}$ . The scheme is given by

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \sum_{l=0}^k \int_{K_i} \phi_l^i \phi_m^i \left( \frac{E_{l,i}^{n+1} - E_{l,i}^n}{\Delta t} \right) - c \sum_{l=0}^k B_{l,i}^n \int_{K_i} \phi_l^i \partial_x \phi_m^i + c \sum_{l=0}^k [B \phi_m^i]_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} = 0, & \forall 0 \leq m \leq k, \\ \mu \sum_{l=0}^k \int_{K_i} \phi_l^i \phi_m^i \left( \frac{B_{l,i}^{n+1} - B_{l,i}^n}{\Delta t} \right) - c \sum_{l=0}^k E_{l,i}^n \int_{K_i} \phi_l^i \partial_x \phi_m^i + c \sum_{l=0}^k [E \phi_m^i]_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} = 0, & \forall 0 \leq m \leq k, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } [B \phi_m^i]_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( B_{l,i+1}^n \phi_l^{i+1}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \phi_m^i(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + B_{l,i}^n \phi_l^i(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \phi_m^i(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( B_{l,i-1}^n \phi_l^{i-1}(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \phi_m^i(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) + B_{l,i}^n \phi_l^i(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \phi_m^i(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. We consider  $V_h = P^1(K)$ . We propose to use the Lagrange polynomial associated with the point  $x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ . Prove that the family is a basis of  $V_h$ . Write the scheme in a cell  $K_j$ .

We we study the family  $\left(\frac{x-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x}, \frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-x}{\Delta x}\right)$ .

$$\lambda_1 \frac{x-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} + \lambda_2 \frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-x}{\Delta x} = 0,$$

is equivalent to

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \frac{x}{\Delta x} + \frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_2 - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_1}{\Delta x} = 0.$$

This relation is true for all  $x$  if  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0$  and  $\frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_2 - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_1}{\Delta x} = 0$ . Consequently  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  and

$$\frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_1 - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_1}{\Delta x} = \lambda_1 \frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} = \lambda_1 = 0$$

Consequently the family of vectors is free. Since  $\dim P^1(K_i) = 2$  the family is a basis.

To write the scheme we begin by a remark  $\phi_0^i = \frac{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-x}{\Delta x} = \hat{\phi}_0\left(\frac{x-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x}\right)$  and  $\phi_1^i = \frac{x-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} = \hat{\phi}_1\left(\frac{x-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x}\right)$  with  $\hat{\phi}_1 = a$  and  $\hat{\phi}_0 = 1 - a$ .

Using this remark we propose to compute the different integral and terms

$$\int_{K_i} \phi_1^i \phi_1^i = \Delta x \int_0^1 \hat{\phi}_1^i \hat{\phi}_1^i = \int_0^1 a = \frac{\Delta x}{3}.$$

The same principle of computation give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_i} \phi_0^i \phi_1^i &= \int_{K_i} \phi_1^i \phi_0^i = \frac{\Delta x}{6}, & \int_{K_i} \phi_1^i \phi_1^i &= \frac{\Delta x}{3}. \\ \int_{K_i} \phi_0^i \partial_x \phi_0^i &= \int_{K_i} \phi_1^i \partial_x \phi_0^i = -\frac{\Delta x}{2}, & \int_{K_i} \phi_0^i \partial_x \phi_1^i &= \int_{K_i} \phi_0^i \partial_x \phi_0^i = \frac{\Delta x}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^i \phi_0^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) &= 0, & \phi_0^i \phi_1^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \phi_1^i \phi_0^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = \phi_1^i \phi_1^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = 1, \\ \phi_0^i \phi_0^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) &= 1, & \phi_0^i \phi_1^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) &= \phi_1^i \phi_0^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = \phi_1^i \phi_1^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \\ \phi_0^{i+1} \phi_1^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) &= 1, & \phi_0^{i+1} \phi_0^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \phi_1^{i+1} \phi_0^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = \phi_1^{i+1} \phi_1^i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \\ \phi_1^{i-1} \phi_0^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) &= 1, & \phi_0^{i-1} \phi_0^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) &= \phi_0^{i-1} \phi_1^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = \phi_1^{i-1} \phi_1^i(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute the scheme. We define  $\mathbf{E}_i^n = (E_{0,i}^n, E_{1,i}^n)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_i^n = (B_{0,i}^n, B_{1,i}^n)$ . The scheme is given by

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon M_i \left( \frac{\mathbf{E}_i^{n+1} - \mathbf{E}_i^n}{\Delta t} \right) - c D_i \mathbf{B}_i^n + c K_{i,+} \mathbf{B}_{i+1}^n + c K_i \mathbf{B}_i + c K_{i,-} \mathbf{B}_{i-1} = 0, & \forall 0 \leq m \leq k, \\ \mu M_i \left( \frac{\mathbf{B}_i^{n+1} - \mathbf{B}_i^n}{\Delta t} \right) - c D_i \mathbf{E}_i^n + c K_{i,+} \mathbf{E}_{i+1}^n + c K_i \mathbf{E}_i + c K_{i,-} \mathbf{E}_{i-1} = 0, & \forall 0 \leq m \leq k, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

with

$$M_i = \frac{\Delta x}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_i = \frac{\Delta x}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$K_{i,+} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_{i,-} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. In this exercise we propose to study the numerical dispersive relation which define the numerical wave vector  $\tilde{k}$  for  $V_h = P^0(K) = \text{Span}(1)$ . Write the scheme for this basis. Now we define  $B_i^n = B_0 e^{j(\omega n \Delta t - \tilde{k} i \Delta x)}$  and  $E_i^n = E_0 e^{j(\omega n \Delta t - \tilde{k} i \Delta x)}$  with  $j$  the complex number. Gives the relation between  $\omega$  and  $\tilde{k}$  such as the discrete plane waves are solutions of (7). Show that the numerical dispersive relation is  $\tilde{k}^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + O(\Delta x^p + \Delta t^q)$  with  $p > 2$  and  $q > 2$ .

The DG scheme for  $V_h = P^0(K) = \text{Span}(1)$  is

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \frac{E_i^{n+1} - E_i^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{B_{i+1}^n - B_{i-1}^n}{2\Delta x} = 0, \\ \mu \frac{B_i^{n+1} - B_i^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{E_{i+1}^n - E_{i-1}^n}{2\Delta x} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Plugging the definition of  $E_i^n$  and  $B_i^n$  in (9) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon E_0 (e^{jw\Delta t} - 1) = -\frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x} B_0 (e^{j\tilde{k}\Delta x} - e^{-j\tilde{k}\Delta x}), \\ \mu B_0 (e^{jw\Delta t} - 1) = -\frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x} E_0 (e^{j\tilde{k}\Delta x} - e^{-j\tilde{k}\Delta x}), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon E_0 (e^{jw\Delta t} - 1) = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} B_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \\ \mu B_0 (e^{jw\Delta t} - 1) = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} E_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon E_0 (e^{j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}} - e^{-j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}}) = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} B_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \\ \mu B_0 (e^{j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}} - e^{-j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}}) = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} E_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon E_0 \left( 2j \sin\left(\frac{w\Delta t}{2}\right) \right) e^{j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}} = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} B_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \\ \mu B_0 \left( 2j \sin\left(\frac{w\Delta t}{2}\right) \right) e^{j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}} = -\frac{jc\Delta t}{2\Delta x} E_0 (\sin(\tilde{k}\Delta x)), \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Plugging the second equation of (11) in the first equation to obtain

$$\left( \sin^2\left(\frac{w\Delta t}{2}\right) \right) e^{j\frac{w\Delta t}{2}} = \frac{c^2\Delta t^2}{4\Delta x^2} \sin^2(\tilde{k}\Delta x)$$

This relation is the numerical dispersive relation. Using limited expansion we obtain

$$\left( \frac{w\Delta t}{2} + O(\Delta t^3) \right)^2 (1 + O(\Delta t)) = \frac{c^2\Delta t^2}{4\Delta x^2} \left( \tilde{k}\Delta x + O(\Delta x^2) \right)^2$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left( \frac{w\Delta t}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{c^2\Delta t^2}{\Delta x^2} \left( \frac{\tilde{k}\Delta x}{2} \right)^2 + O(\Delta t^3) + O(\Delta x^3).$$

To finis we observe that the previous equality is equal to  $\tilde{k}^2 = \left(\frac{w}{c}\right)^2 + O(\Delta t^3) + O(\Delta x^3)$ .