Annals of Combinatorics **4** (1997) 313-327

Annals of Combinatorics
© Springer-Verlag 1997

A combinatorial interpretation of the Seidel generation of q-derangement numbers

Robert J. Clarke

Pure Mathematics Department, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005
rclarke@maths.adelaide.edu.au

Guo-Niu Han

I.R.M.A., Université Louis-Pasteur et C.N.R.S., 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France
guoniu@math.u-strasbg.fr

Jiang Zeng

Institut Girard Desargues, Université Claude Bernard (Lyon I), F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex
zeng@desargues.univ-lyon1.fr

Received November 5, 1997

AMS Subject Classification: 05A15, 05A30

Abstract. In [8] Dumont and Randrianarivony have given several combinatorial interpretations for the coefficients of the Euler-Seidel matrix associated to $n!$. In this paper we consider a q-analogue of their results, which leads to the discovery of a new mahonian statistic "maf" on the symmetric group. We then give new proofs and generalizations of some results of Gessel and Reutenauer [12] and Wachs [17].

Keywords: mahonian statistics, permutations, q-derangement numbers, Seidel matrices

1. Introduction

Euler (see [8]) considered the *difference table* $(d_n^k)_{0\le k\le n}$, where the generic coefficients d_n^k are defined by

$$d_n^n = n! \quad \text{and} \quad d_n^k = d_n^{k+1} - d_{n-1}^k \qquad (1 \le k \le n-1). \tag{1.1}$$

Let $a_n^k = d_{n+k}^k$ $(n, k \ge 0)$. Then the above relations can be written as

$$a_0^k = k! \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^k = a_n^{k-1} + a_{n+1}^{k-1} \qquad (n, k \ge 0).$$

The matrix $(a_n^k)_{n,k\geq 0}$ is also called the *Seidel matrix* associated to the sequence a_n^0 in the literature (see [7,9]). The first terms of these matrices are as follows:

$n\backslash k$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1					
1	0	1				
2	1	1	2			
3	2	3	4	6		
4	9	11	14	18	24	
5	44	53	64	78	96	120

(d_n^k)

$\longrightarrow$

$k\backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	0	1	2	9	44
1	1	1	3	11	53	
2	2	4	14	64		
3	6	18	78			
4	24	96				
5	120					

(a_n^k)

Iterating the difference equation (1.1) we derive

$$a_n^0 = d_n^0 = n!\left(1-\frac{1}{1!}+\frac{1}{2!}-\cdots+(-1)^n\frac{1}{n!}\right), \tag{1.2}$$

which is the *classical derangement number* d_n, that is, the number of derangements on $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ (*cf.* [16, p. 67]).

In several recent papers [4, 6, 12, 17], the q-maj counting of the derangements on $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ has been studied. Consider the *q-derangement numbers $d_n(q)$* defined by

$$d_n(q) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{D}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}\,\sigma}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_n$ is the set of all derangements on $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$. Then the following q-analogue of equation (1.2) has been obtained:

$$d_n(q) = [n]_q!\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\frac{q^{\binom{i}{2}}}{[i]_q!} \qquad (n\geq 1). \tag{1.4}$$

Here, $[n]_q = 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$ is the q-analogue of the nonnegative integer n and $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q\cdots[n]_q$ is the q-analogue of $n!$.

In this paper, we shall put the q-derangement numbers in the context of a Seidel matrix as Dumont and Randrianarivony [8] did for the ordinary derangement numbers. To this end, in section 2 we introduce the notion of q-Seidel matrix. In section 3 we define a new statistic "maf" on permutations and then prove bijectively that this is a mahonian statistic. In section 4 we consider the q-Seidel matrix associated to the q-derangement numbers and give combinatorial interpretations for all of the coefficients in this matrix in terms of the new statistic "maf". As a consequence we get a new proof of a formula of Gessel and Reutenauer [12] and of Wachs [17]. Finally we close this paper with some remarks and open questions.

We will need the following notations and results of q-calculus (see [11]). The q-binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!} \qquad (n\geq k\geq 0).$$

Define also $(t;q)_n = (1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^{n-1}t)$ and $(t;q)_\infty = \lim_{n\to\infty}(t;q)_n$. Then the two q-analogues of the exponential series $e^t = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n/n!$ are defined by

$$e_q(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{((1-q)t;q)_\infty}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$E_q(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{[n]_q!} = (-(1-q)t;q)_\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Notice that $e_q(t)\cdot E_q(-t) = 1$.

2. q-Seidel matrices

Let us introduce the following generalization of Seidel matrix.

Definition 1. *Given a sequence $(a_n(x,q))$ $(n\geq 0)$ of elements in a commutative ring, we call the q-Seidel matrix asssociated to $(a_n(x,q))$ the double sequence $(a_n^k(x,q))$ $(n\geq 0, k\geq 0)$ given by the recurrence*

$$\begin{cases} a_n^0(x,q) = a_n(x,q), & (n\geq 0) \\ a_n^k(x,q) = xq^n a_n^{k-1}(x,q) + a_{n+1}^{k-1}(x,q). & (k\geq 1, n\geq 0) \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover $(a_n^0(x,q))$ is called the initial sequence and $(a_0^n(x,q))$ the final sequence of the q-Seidel matrix.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$a_n^k(x,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (xq^n)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i}_q a_{n+i}^0(x,q). \tag{2.8}$$

Proof: Recall that

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = q^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + \binom{n-1}{k}_q.$$

We proceed by recurrence on k. Clearly (2.8) is valid for $k=1$. Suppose (2.8) is true for $k-1$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^k(x,q) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i}_q \Big((xq^n)^{k-i} a_{n+i}^0(x,q) + (xq^{n+1})^{k-1-i} a_{n+1+i}^0(x,q) \Big) \\ &= (xq^n)^k a_n^0(x,q) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (xq^n)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i}_q a_{n+i}^0(x,q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (xq^{n+1})^{k-1-i} \binom{k-1}{i}_q a_{n+1+i}^0(x,q) + a_{n+k}^0(x,q) \\ &= (xq^n)^k a_n^0(x,q) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (xq^n)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i}_q a_{n+i}^0(x,q) + a_{n+k}^0(x,q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus completes the proof. □

In particular we pass from the initial sequence to the final sequence and conversely by the *Gauss inversion formula* [2, p. 96]:

$$a_0^n(x,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}_q a_i^0(x,q), \tag{2.9}$$

$$a_n^0(x,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-x)^{n-i} q^{\binom{n-i}{2}} \binom{n}{i}_q a_0^i(x,q). \tag{2.10}$$

Define the generating functions as follows:

$$a(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n^0(x,q)t^n, \qquad \bar{a}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_0^n(x,q)t^n,$$

and

$$A(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n^0(x,q)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad \bar{A}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_0^n(x,q)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}.$$

Proposition 2. *The generating functions of the initial and final sequences are related by the following equations:*

$$\bar{a}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n^0(x,q)\frac{t^n}{(xt;q)_{n+1}}; \tag{2.11}$$

$$\bar{A}(t) = e_q(xt)A(t). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof: Note that

$$\frac{1}{(t;q)_{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k}_q t^k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n^0(x,q)\frac{t^n}{(xt;q)_{n+1}} &= \sum_{n,k\geq 0} \binom{n+k}{k}_q a_n^0(x,q)x^k t^{n+k} \\
&= \sum_{m\geq 0} t^m \sum_{n=0}^{m} \binom{m}{n}_q x^{m-n} a_n^0(x,q) \\
&= \sum_{m\geq 0} a_0^m(x,q)t^m.
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_q(xt)A(t) &= \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \frac{a_i^0(x,q)t^i}{[i]_q!}\cdot\frac{x^j t^j}{[j]_q!} \\
&= \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \binom{i+j}{i}_q a_i^0(x,q)x^j \frac{t^{i+j}}{[i+j]_q!} \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}_q a_i^0(x,q)\Big)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.12) in view of (2.9). $\square$

Remark: If $x = q = 1$ we get the classical formulas [7,9]:

$$\bar{a}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} a\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}(t) = e^t A(t).$$

If $x = 0$ we have $\bar{A}(t) = A(t)$.

3. A new mahonian statistic "maf"

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the set of permutations on $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Recall that $i \in [n]$ is a *fixed point* of $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ if $\sigma(i) = i$. Let $\operatorname{fix}\sigma$ denote the number of fixed points of σ. The permutation σ has a *descent* at $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ and we call i the *descent place* of σ. The *major index* of σ, denoted $\operatorname{maj}\sigma$, is the sum of all the descent places of σ. Let $\mathrm{FIX}(\sigma) = \{i \mid \sigma(i) = i\}$ be the set of all fixed points of σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ the *restriction* of σ to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathrm{FIX}(\sigma)$.

Definition 2. *If $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $\mathrm{FIX}(\sigma) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l\}$, then the statistic "maf" is defined by*

$$\operatorname{maf}\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{l} (i_j - j) + \operatorname{maj}\tilde{\sigma}.$$

Example 1. *Let $\sigma = 321659487$. Then $\mathrm{FIX}(\sigma) = \{2, 5, 8\}$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 316947$. Hence $\operatorname{fix}\sigma = 3$, $\operatorname{maj}\sigma = 1+2+4+6+8 = 21$ and $\operatorname{maf}\sigma = (2-1)+(5-2)+(8-3)+(1+4) = 14$.*

We now show that the bistatistics $(\operatorname{fix}, \operatorname{maf})$ and $(\operatorname{fix}, \operatorname{maj})$ are equidistributed on the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ (Corollary 7). In particular, this shows that maf is a Mahonian statistic.

Let $\sigma = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$. For convenience we put $x_0 = -\infty$ and $x_{n+1} = +\infty$. For $0 \le i \le n$, a pair $(i, i+1)$ of positions is the *j-th slot* of σ *provided that $x_i \neq i$*, i.e., i is not a fixed point of σ and that σ has $i - j$ fixed points f such that $f < i$. Clearly we can insert a fixed point into the j-th slot to obtain the permutation

$$(\sigma, j) = x'_1 x'_2 \dots x'_i \ (i+1)\ x'_{i+1} \dots x'_n, \tag{3.13}$$

where $x' = x$ if $x \le i$ and $x' = x+1$ if $x > i$.

More generally, if σ is a derangement in $\mathcal{S}_n$ and $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$ a sequence of integers such that $0 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_m \le n$, we can insert m fixed points into the derangement σ successively, finally obtaining

$$(\sigma, i_1, \dots, i_m) = ((\sigma, i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}), i_m).$$

Note that the fixed points of this last permutation are $i_1 + 1, i_2 + 2, \dots, i_m + m$.

Example 2. *Let $\sigma = 2143$ and $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) = (0, 1, 1, 4)$. Then we have $(\sigma, 0) = 13254$, $(\sigma, 0, 1) = 143265$, $(\sigma, 0, 1, 1) = 1534276$ and $(\sigma, 0, 1, 1, 4) = 15342768$.*

We can of course undertake the reverse operation. That is, if a permutation σ in $\mathcal{S}_{m+n}$ has m fixed points we can find a unique derangement $\mathrm{dp}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_n$, called (following Wachs [17]) the *derangement part* of σ, and a unique sequence of integers $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_m$, which we call the *fixed point sequence* of σ, such that

$$\sigma = (\mathrm{dp}(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_m).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{maf}\,\sigma = \mathrm{maj}\,\mathrm{dp}(\sigma) + i_1 + \cdots + i_m. \tag{3.14}$$

Consider a permutation σ with n slots. The j-th slot $(i, i+1)$ of σ is said to be *green* if $\mathrm{des}(\sigma, j) = \mathrm{des}\,\sigma$, *red* if $\mathrm{des}(\sigma, j) = \mathrm{des}\,\sigma + 1$. We assign *values* to the green slots of σ from right to left, from 0 to g, and to the red slots from left to right, from $g+1$ to n. Denote the value of the j-th slot by g_j. (When we refer to the "largest" slot, we will mean largest in terms of j.)

Example 3. *Let* $\sigma = 2143$. *Then* $(\sigma,0) = 13254$, $(\sigma,1) = 32154$, $(\sigma,2) = 21354$, $(\sigma,3) = 21543$, $(\sigma,4) = 21435$. *Hence slots 0, 2 and 4 are green, while 1 and 3 are red. Therefore*

$$(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (2, 3, 1, 4, 0). \tag{3.15}$$

It is easy to see that every slot is either green or red. In fact, one can see that $(i, i+1)$ is green if either $x_{i+1} < x_i \le i$, or $i < x_{i+1} < x_i$, or $x_i \le i < x_{i+1}$. So $(i, i+1)$ is red if either $x_{i+1} \le i < x_i$, or $i < x_i < x_{i+1}$ or $x_i < x_{i+1} \le i$. (Expressed in terms of cyclic intervals (*cf.* [13]), slot $(i, i+1)$ is green if $i+1 \in \rrbracket x_i, x_{i+1} \rrbracket$.)

Denote by d_j the number of descents of (σ, j) that lie to the right of x'_i in (3.13).

Lemma 3. *Let* σ *be a permutation in* $\mathcal{S}_n$. *If the* j*-th slot* $(i, i+1)$ *is green then* $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j) - \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma = d_j$, *if* $(i, i+1)$ *is red then* $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j) - \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma = d_j + i$.

Proof: Let $(i, i+1)$ be a green slot. Since no new descents are formed by inserting a fixed point into the j-th slot of σ, $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j) - \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma$ equals the number of descents of σ that are displaced to the right when this fixed point is inserted. This number equals d_j. The case in which $(i, i+1)$ is red is dealt with similarly. $\square$

Remark: If σ is a derangement in $\mathcal{S}_n$, the j-th slot of σ is just $(j, j+1)$ for $0 \le j \le n$.

Lemma 4. *If* σ *is a derangement in* $\mathcal{S}_n$, *then*

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j) = \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma + g_j \quad \textit{for} \quad 0 \le j \le n.$$

Proof: Let i and j be slots. It follows from Lemma 3 that if i and j are both green and $i < j$ then $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma,i) \ge \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j)$, while if i and j are both red and $i < j$ then $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma,i) \le \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j)$. Therefore 0 is the green slot of σ of highest value, and if i is red and j is green we have $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma,i) \ge \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j)$. This is because for any red slot i we have $\mathrm{maj}(\sigma,i) \ge \mathrm{maj}(\sigma,0)$ by Lemma 3. Hence, if m is the largest red slot of σ, i.e., $g_m = n$, for any two slots i and j with $g_i < g_j$ we have

$$\mathrm{maj}\,\sigma \le \mathrm{maj}(\sigma,i) \le \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j) \le \mathrm{maj}(\sigma,m).$$

It therefore suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, m) = \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma + n.$$

Now, consider a green slot $(i, i+1)$. If $i+1$ is a non-excedance place, i.e., $x_{i+1} \le i+1$, then, as σ is a derangment, $x_{i+1} \le i$. Hence $x_{i+1} < x_i \le i$. Thus i is a non-excedance place. Since n is a non-excedance place and $m+1$, $m+2$, ..., n are green slots, we have

$$m+1 > x_{m+1} > \cdots > x_n.$$

As the slot m is red, either m is a non-excedance place and m is a non-descent or m is an excedance place and m is a descent. In each case, inserting a fixed point into the m-th slot introduces a new descent for $i = m+1$ and moves $n-(m+1)$ descents one place further to the right. Hence

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, m) = \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma + (m+1) + (n-m-1) = \mathrm{maj}\,\sigma + n,$$

as required. $\square$

Remark: Suppose that σ is a derangement in $\mathcal{S}_n$ and $0 \le i \le n$. It follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that $d_i = g_i$ if i is green and $d_i = g_i - i$ if i is red. If i is green then

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i, i) = \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i) + g_i.$$

Hence, if $j \le i$, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j, i) = \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i) + g_j.$$

On the other hand, if i is red, then

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i, i) = \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i) + g_i - i.$$

Now one can easily see that, if k is the largest green slot to the left of slot i, $g_k = g_i - i$. Hence, if $j < i$, it follows again from Lemma 4 that

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, j, i) = \mathrm{maj}(\sigma, i) + g_j + 1.$$

We are now ready to state the key result of this section. Let $S(\sigma, m)$ denote the set of permutations in $\mathcal{S}_{n+m}$ with derangement part $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_n$. Note that

$$S(\sigma, m) = \{(\sigma, \mathbf{i}) \,|\, \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \text{ and } 0 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_m \le n\}.$$

Theorem 5. *There is a bijection Ψ on $S(\sigma, m)$ such that if $\Psi(\sigma, \mathbf{i}) = (\sigma, \mathbf{j})$ then*

$$\mathrm{maj}(\sigma, \mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{maf}(\sigma, \mathbf{j}). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof: We divide the proof into two parts.

The definition of Ψ. We will define such a bijection Ψ by induction on $m \ge 0$.

First, Ψ is the identity mapping on $S(\sigma,0)$. Next, we define Ψ on $S(\sigma,1)$ by

$$\Psi(\sigma,i)=(\sigma,g_i).$$

Then using equation (3.14) and Lemma 4 we see that Ψ satisfies equation (3.16).

Let $m>1$ and suppose that Ψ has been defined on $S(\sigma,k)$ for $0\le k\le m-1$. Consider $\tau=(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_m)$. Suppose that the i_m-th slot of $(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-1})$ is green. Then, if

$$\Psi(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-1})=(\sigma,j_2,\dots,j_m),$$

we define

$$\Psi(\tau)=(\sigma,g_{i_m},j_2,\dots,j_m).$$

Suppose that the i_m-th slot of $(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-1})$ is red. Then the slots $i_1,\dots,i_m$ cannot be all the same. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $i_{m-k}<i_m$. Thus $i_{m-k}<i_{m-k+1}=\cdots=i_m$. Then, if

$$\Psi(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-k})=(\sigma,j_1,\dots,j_{m-k}),$$

we define

$$\Psi(\tau)=(\sigma,\underbrace{g_{i_m}-i_m,\dots,g_{i_m}-i_m}_{k-1\text{ terms}},j_1+1,\dots,j_{m-k}+1,g_{i_m}).$$

The following lemma is easily proved by induction.

Lemma 6. *Let $\tau=(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_m)$ and $\Psi(\tau)=(\sigma,j_1,\dots,j_m)$.*

Suppose that at least one of the slots $i_1,\dots,i_m$ is either green or is repeated. Let i_l be the largest such slot. If i_l is green then $j_1=g_{i_l}$. If i_l is red and is repeated then $j_1=g_{i_l}-i_l$.

If on the other hand all of the slots $i_1,\dots,i_m$ are red and are distinct, then $j_1=g_{i_1}$.

Suppose that at least one of the slots $i_1,\dots,i_m$ is red. If i_l is the largest red slot then $j_m=g_{i_l}$.

If on the other hand all of these slots are green then $j_m=g_{i_1}$.

It follows from this lemma that $j_1,\dots,j_m$ as defined above are in ascending order.

We now show by induction on m that Ψ satisfies equation (3.16).

If i_m is green, then using Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{maj}(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_m) &= \operatorname{maj}(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-1})+g_{i_m}\\ &= \operatorname{maf}(\sigma,j_2,\dots,j_m)+g_{i_m}\\ &= \operatorname{maf}(\sigma,g_{i_m},j_2,\dots,j_m).\end{aligned}$$

If i_m is red, let k be the smallest positive integer such that $i_{m-k}<i_m$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{maj}(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_m)\\ &= \operatorname{maj}(\sigma,i_1,\dots,i_{m-k})+(m-k)+(k-1)(g_{i_m}-i_m)+g_{i_m}\\ &= \operatorname{maf}(\sigma,j_1,\dots,j_{m-k})+(m-k)+(k-1)(g_{i_m}-i_m)+g_{i_m}\\ &= \operatorname{maf}(\sigma,\underbrace{g_{i_m}-i_m,\dots,g_{i_m}-i_m}_{k-1\text{ terms}},j_1+1,\dots,j_{m-k}+1,g_{i_m}).\end{aligned}$$

This is because inserting the first fixed point i_m into $(\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_{m-k})$ adds a descent and increases maj by $g_{i_m} + (m-k)$. Inserting each of the remaining fixed points i_m has the same affect as inserting a fixed point into a green slot of value $g_{i_m} - i_m$.

Ψ is a bijection. It remains to show that Ψ is a bijection on $S(\sigma, m)$. It suffices to show that Ψ is an injection.

We use induction on m. The result is clearly true for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$.

Let $\tau = (\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ and $\Psi(\tau) = (\sigma, j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. Suppose that $\Psi(\tau) = \Psi(\tau')$, where $\tau' = (\sigma, i'_1, \ldots, i'_m)$.

If both i_m and i'_m are green or red then it is easy to show using the induction hypothesis that $\tau = \tau'$. So suppose that i_m is green and i'_m is red. Thus $j_1 = g_{i_m}$, $j_m = g_{i'_m}$.

Suppose that $i_1, \ldots, i_m$ are all green. Then $j_m = g_{i_1}$. Hence $i_1 = i'_m$, contradiction.

Let i_u be the largest red slot amongst $i_1, \ldots, i_m$. Then $j_m = g_{i_u}$. Hence $i'_m = i_u < i_m$.

Case 1: Suppose that one of the slots $i'_1, \ldots, i'_m$ is either green or is repeated. Let i'_v be the largest such slot. If i'_v is green, then

$$\Psi(\tau') = (\sigma, g_{i'_v} + (m-v), \ldots, g_{i'_m}).$$

Hence $j_1 = g_{i_m} = g_{i'_v} + (m-r)$. Since i_m and i'_v are both green, this means that $i_m \leq i'_v < i'_m$, contradiction.

If i'_v is red, then

$$\Psi(\tau') = (\sigma, g_{i'_v} - i'_v + (m-v), \ldots, g_{i'_m}).$$

Hence $j_1 = g_{i_m} = g_{i'_v} - i'_v + (m-r)$. But $g_{i'_v} - i'_v$ is the value of the largest green slot i_w less than i'_v. As i_m is green this means that $i_m \leq i_w < i'_v \leq i'_m$, contradiction.

Case 2: Suppose that all of the slots $i'_1, \ldots, i'_m$ are red and distinct. Then

$$\Psi(\tau') = (\sigma, g_{i'_1} + (m-1), \ldots, i'_m).$$

Hence $j_1 = g_{i_m} = g'_{i_1} + (m-1) > g'_{i-1}$. This is a contradiction, since i_m is green and i'_1 is red. □

Example 4. *Let* $\sigma = 2\,1\,4\,3$ *and consider* $(\sigma, 0, 1, 1, 4) \in S(\sigma, 4)$. *Then the values of the slots of* σ *have been calculated in (3.15). The bijection* Ψ *goes as follows:*
since slot 0 is green in σ *we have*

$$\Psi(\sigma, 0) = (\sigma, g_0) = (\sigma, 2);$$

since slot 1 is red we have

$$\Psi(\sigma, 0, 1) = (\sigma, 2+1, g_1) = (\sigma, 3, 3);$$

again, since slot 1 is red we have

$$\Psi(\sigma, 0, 1, 1) = (\sigma, g_1 - 1, 2+1, g_1) = (\sigma, 2, 3, 3);$$

Finally, since slot 4 is green we obtain

$$\Psi(\sigma, 0, 1, 1, 4) = (\sigma, g_4, 2, 3, 3) = (\sigma, 0, 2, 3, 3) \in S(\sigma, 4).$$

Let $\tau = (\sigma, 0, 1, 1, 4)$ *and* $\tau' = (\sigma, 0, 2, 3, 3)$. *Then* $\tau = 1\,5\,3\,4\,2\,7\,6\,8$ *and* $\tau' = 1\,3\,2\,4\,8\,6\,7\,5$. *It is easy to see that* $\operatorname{maj}\tau = 12$ *and* $\operatorname{maf}\tau' = 12$. *Hence we have checked equation (3.16).*

Using theorem 5, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 7. *(a) There is a bijection $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n \to \mathcal{S}_n$ such that for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ we have*

$$(\text{fix}, \text{maf})\sigma = (\text{fix}, \text{maj})\phi(\sigma).$$

(b) The bi-statistic (fix, maf) is equidistributed with the bi-statistic (fix, maj) on the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$.

The following result was first proved by Wachs [17, corollary 3].

Corollary 8. *Let σ be a derangement in $\mathcal{S}_n$ and $m \geq 0$. We have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(\sigma, m)} q^{\text{maj}\,\pi} = q^{\text{maj}\,\sigma} \binom{m+n}{n}_q.$$

Proof: By theorem 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\pi \in S(\sigma, m)} q^{\text{maj}\,\pi} &= \sum_{\pi \in S(\sigma, m)} q^{\text{maf}\,\pi} \\
&= q^{\text{maj}\,\sigma} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_m \leq n} q^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots i_m} \\
&= q^{\text{maj}\,\sigma} \binom{m+n}{n}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from a well-known result [1, p. 33]. □

4. q-derangement matrices

We first prove the following result.

Proposition 9. *Let $(a_n^k(x,q))$ be a q-Seidel matrix. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

$$a_n^0(x,q) = [n]_q! \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \frac{q^{\binom{i}{2}}}{[i]_q!}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$a_0^n(x,q) = [n]_q! \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x-1)(x-q)\cdots(x-q^{i-1})}{[i]_q!} \right), \tag{4.18}$$

$$a_0^n(1,q) = [n]_q! \quad \textit{and} \quad a_n^0(x,q) \quad \textit{is independent of} \quad x. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof: By the q-binomial formula [11, p.7]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} t^n = \frac{(at;q)_\infty}{(t;q)_\infty},$$

we have in view of (1.5) and (1.6),

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x-1)(x-q)\cdots(x-q^{n-1})}{[n]_q!} t^n = e_q(xt) E_q(-t).$$

Therefore the generating functions of (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) are respectively the following:

$$A(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n^0(x,q)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{E_q(-t)}{1-t}, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\overline{A}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_0^n(x,q)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{e_q(xt)E_q(-t)}{1-t}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\overline{A}(t)|_{x=1} = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_0^n(1,q)\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{1-t}. \tag{4.22}$$

So, it suffices to prove that the equivalence of (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22). Indeed,

(4.20) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (4.21): this follows from proposition 2;

(4.21) $\Longrightarrow$ (4.22): this is obvious;

(4.22) $\Longrightarrow$ (4.20): since $A(t)$ is independent of x, equation (4.20) follows then from (2.12) by setting $x=1$. $\square$

Definition 3. *A q-derangement matrix is the q-Seidel matrix satisfying any of the three conditions of proposition 8.*

If $x=1$, then $a_0^n(x,q)=[n]_q!$ and the q-derangement matrix is as follows :

$k\backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	0	q	$q+q^2$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} q+2q^2+2q^3 \\ +2q^4+q^5+q^6 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$
1	1	q	$q+q^2+q^3$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} q+2q^2+2q^3 \\ +3q^4+2q^5+q^6 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$	
2	$1+q$	$q+2q^2+q^3$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} q+2q^2+3q^3 \\ +4q^4+3q^5+q^6 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$		
3	$[3]_q!$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} q+3q^2+5q^3 \\ +5q^4+3q^5+q^6 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$			
4	$[4]_q!$				

$(a_n^k(1,q))$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}_n^k$ the set of permutations on $[n+k]$ of which all the fixed points are included in $\{n+1,n+2,\ldots,n+k\}$. In particular $\mathcal{S}_n^0$ is the set of permutations without fixed points on $[n]$ and $\mathcal{S}_0^k$ the set of all permutations on $[k]$. The following result generalizes a result of Dumont and Randrianarivony [8].

Theorem 10. *The coefficients $a_n^k(x,q)$ $(n,k\geq 0)$ in a q-derangement matrix have the following combinatorial interpretation:*

$$a_n^k(x,q) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n^k} x^{\mathrm{fix}\,\sigma} q^{\mathrm{maf}\,\sigma}. \tag{4.23}$$

Proof: Notice that $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{k-1}\subset\mathcal{S}_n^k$. Set

$$\Delta_n^k = \mathcal{S}_n^k\setminus\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{k-1} = \{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n^k \mid \sigma(n+1)=n+1\}.$$

We construct a bijection $\varphi : \Delta_n^k \to \mathcal{S}_n^{k-1}$ such that for all $\sigma \in \Delta_n^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{maf}\sigma &= n + \operatorname{maf}(\varphi(\sigma)), \\ \operatorname{fix}\sigma &= 1 + \operatorname{fix}(\varphi(\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if $\sigma \in \Delta_n^k$ we define $\varphi(\sigma)$ as the word obtained from σ by deleting $n+1$ and reduce all the values strictly bigger than $n+1$. It is readily verified that φ is the desired bijection. Therefore

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n^k} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma} q^{\operatorname{maf}\sigma} = xq^n \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n^{k-1}} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma} q^{\operatorname{maf}\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{k-1}} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma} q^{\operatorname{maf}\sigma}, \tag{4.24}$$

which is the recurrence (2.7). So it remains to check the initial condition. Now $\mathcal{S}_0^n = \mathcal{S}_n$ and it is well-known [14] that $\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} q^{\operatorname{maj}\sigma} = [n]_q!$, so it follows from corollary 7 that

$$a_0^n(1,q) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} q^{\operatorname{maf}\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} q^{\operatorname{maj}\sigma} = [n]_q!.$$

The theorem follows then from proposition 9, since $a_n^0(x,q)$ is clearly independent of x. $\square$

Remark: Since (fix, maf) and (fix, maj) are not equidistributed on S_1^2 we cannot replace maf by maj in the above theorem.

From Corollary 7, proposition 9 and theorem 10 we derive the following result.

Corollary 11. *The final sequence of the q-derangement matrix has the following interpretation:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^n(x,q) &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma} q^{\operatorname{maf}\sigma} && (4.25) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma} q^{\operatorname{maj}\sigma} && (4.26) \\ &= [n]_q! \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x-1)(x-q)\cdots(x-q^{i-1})}{[i]_q!}\right). && (4.27) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equation has been obtained by Gessel and Reutenauer [12] and by Wachs [17] in the special $x=0$ case using different methods.

5. An open problem about q-succession numbers

Let σ be a permutation in $\mathcal{S}_n$. For convenience put $\sigma(0)=0$. We say that an element p (with $1 \le p \le n$) is a *succession* of σ if $\sigma(p) = \sigma(p-1)+1$. The p is called the *succession position*, while $\sigma(p)$ is called the *succession value*. Let $\operatorname{SUC}(\sigma)$ be the set of succesion values of σ and let $\operatorname{suc}\sigma$ be the number of successions of σ. For example, if

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\mathrm{SUC}(\sigma) = \{1,9,6,7\}$ and $\operatorname{suc}\sigma = 4$.

We use a variant of Foata's first fundamental transformation [10] to show that the statistics fix and suc are equidistributed on $\mathcal{S}_n$.

Given a permutation $\sigma = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)\cdots\sigma(n) \in S_n$ we set $\sigma^d = \sigma(2)\cdots\sigma(n)\sigma(1)$. We call the *standard form* of the factorization into cycles of σ the unique writing $\overline{\sigma}$ such that in each cycle $(a, \sigma(a), \ldots, \sigma^l(a))$ the maximum $\sigma^l(a)$ is in the last position and the cycles of σ are decreasingly ordered according to their maxima. (Note that this is *not* the usual definition of standard form.) We define $\varphi(\sigma)$ as the permutation obtained by erasing the parentheses in the standard form of $\overline{\sigma}^d$.

The following lemma is easy to verify.

Lemma 12. *The mapping* φ *is a bijection on* $\mathcal{S}_n$ *such that for all* $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, $FIX(\sigma) = \mathrm{SUC}(\varphi(\sigma))$ *and* $\operatorname{fix}\sigma = \operatorname{suc}\varphi(\sigma)$. *Hence the statistics* fix *and* suc *are equidistributed on* $\mathcal{S}_n$.

For example, if $\sigma = 1\,4\,2\,8\,3\,6\,7\,5\,9 \in \mathcal{S}_9$, then

$$\sigma^d = 4\,2\,8\,3\,6\,7\,5\,9\,1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\sigma}^d = (1\,4\,3\,8\,9)(5\,6\,7)(2).$$

Erasing the parentheses we obtain the permutation $\varphi(\sigma) = 1\,4\,3\,8\,9\,5\,6\,7\,2$. We have

$$\mathrm{FIX}(\sigma) = \mathrm{SUC}(\varphi(\sigma)) = \{1,6,7,9\}.$$

Define the statistic

$$\operatorname{suc}'\sigma = \begin{cases} \operatorname{suc}\sigma, & \text{if } \sigma(1) \neq 1, \\ \operatorname{suc}\sigma - 1, & \text{if } \sigma(1) = 1; \end{cases}$$

and let

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{fix}\sigma}, \quad S_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{suc}'\sigma}.$$

Then, using lemma 12, we obtain a bijective proof of the following known results (See [3, 15]).

Proposition 13. *We have*

$$S_{n+1}(x) = F_{n+1}(x) + (1-x)F_n(x), \tag{5.28}$$

and in particular

$$S_{n+1}(0) = d_{n+1} + d_n. \tag{5.29}$$

Setting $q = 1$ in (4.20) we see that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)\frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{1-t}. \tag{5.30}$$

Hence, from equation (5.28), we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} S_n(x)\frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{1-t} + (1-x)\sum_{n\geq 1} F_{n-1}(x)\frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{5.31}$$

in which by convention $S_0(x) = F_0(x) = 1$. Thus

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} S_n(x)\frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{1-t} + (1-x)\int_0^t \frac{e^{(x-1)z}}{1-z}dz. \tag{5.32}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the formal Laplace transformation on the ring of formal power series, that is, $\mathcal{L}(\sum a_n x^n/n!) = \sum a_n x^n$. Then

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)t^n = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{1-t}\right) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{n!t^n}{[1-(x-1)t]^{n+1}}. \tag{5.33}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 0} S_n(x)t^n &= \sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)t^n + (1-x)\sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)t^{n+1}\\ &= [1-(x-1)t]\sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)t^n\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{n!t^n}{[1-(x-1)t]^n}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

In the case of $q = 1$, using lemma 11, we can restate theorem 9 in terms of successions. Unfortunately, since the mapping φ does not keep track of the maj statistic, we do not have a full interpretation in the last model.

The distribution of our statistics on $\mathcal{S}_3$ is as follows:

$\sigma\backslash$stat	maf	maj	suc	fix
1 2 3	0	0	3	3
1 3 2	1	2	1	1
2 1 3	3	1	0	1
2 3 1	2	2	1	0
3 1 2	1	1	1	0
3 2 1	2	3	0	1

Statistic distributions on $\mathcal{S}_3$

Finally we record two open problems related to our work.

1) Find a mahonian statistic "mag" such that $(\mathrm{suc},\mathrm{mag})$ is equidistributed with $(\mathrm{fix},\mathrm{maj})$ on the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$.

2) Generalize the statistic "maf" on permutations to *words* as in [5, 13] for other mahonian statistics.

References

1. ANDREWS (G.). *The Theory of Partitions,* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1976.

2. AIGNER (M.). *Combinatorial Theory,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

3. CHEN (W.). *The skew, relative, and classical derangements*, Disc. Math., **160** (1996), 235-239.

4. CHEN (W. Y. C.) and ROTA (G.-C.). *q-Analogs of the inclusion-exclusion principle and permutations with restricted position*, Disc. Math., **104** (1992), 7-22

5. CLARKE (R.) and FOATA (D.). *Eulerian Calculus, II: An Extension of Han's Fundamental Transformation*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **16** (1995), 221-252.

6. DÉSARMÉNIEN (J.) and WACHS (M. L.). *Descent classes of permutations with a Given Number of Fixed Points*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A. **64** (1993), 311-328.

7. DUMONT (D.). *Matrices d'Euler-Seidel*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, **B05c** (1981), `http://cartan.u-strasbg.fr/∼slc`.

8. DUMONT (D.) et RANDRIANARIVONY (A.). *Dérangements et nombres de Genocchi*, Disc. Math., **132** (1994), 37-49.

9. DUMONT (D.) and VIENNOT (G.). *A combinatorial interpretation of the Seidel generation of Genocchi numbers*, Ann. Disc. Math., **6** (1980), 77-87.

10. FOATA (D.). *Rearrangemets of words*, in: Combinatorics on words, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Vol. 17, ed., M. Lothaire, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981, pp. 184-212.

11. GASPER (G.) and RAHMAN (M.). *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

12. GESSEL (I.) and REUTENAUER (C.). *Counting Permutations with Given Cycle Structure and Descent Set*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **64** (1993), 189-215.

13. HAN (G-N.). *Une transformation fondamentale sur les rérrangements de mots*, Advances in Math., **105** (1994), 26-41.

14. MACMAHON (P. A.). *The indices of permutations and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable associated with the permutations of any assemblage of objects*, Amer. J. Math., **35** (1913), 314-321.

15. ROSELLE (D. P.). *Permutations by number of rises and successions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **19** (1968), 8-16.

16. STANLEY (R.). *Enumerative Combinatorics I*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

17. WACHS (M.). *On q-derangement numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **106** (1989), 273-278.