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ABSTRACT. The generating function for words by a multivariable statistic involving decrease, increase, descent and rise values is explicitly calculated by using the MacMahon Master Theorem and the properties of the first fundamental transformation on words. Applications to statistical study of the symmetric group are also given.

1. Introduction

In our recent papers [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] that involve the calculations of factorial generating functions for the symmetric and hyperoctahedral groups, we have obtained several results on statistical distributions on words. In this paper we take up again the study of those word statistics in a more general context. They do not necessarily have counterparts on permutations, but are essential in this word calculus. The MacMahon Master Theorem [14, p. 97-98] and the first fundamental transformation on words [13, chap. 10] will be our basic tools.

The number of decreases is a crucial statistic; as such it is at the origin of our word studies. The definition of decrease slightly differs from the definition of the classical descent. Let $w = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ be an arbitrary word, whose letters are nonnegative integers. Recall that a positive integer $i$ is said to be a descent (or descent place) of $w$ if $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $x_i > x_{i+1}$. We say that $i$ is a decrease of $w$ if $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $x_i = x_{i+1} = \cdots = x_j > x_{j+1}$ for some $j$ such that $i \leq j \leq n - 1$. The letter $x_i$ is said to be a decrease value (resp. descent value) of $w$. The set of all decreases (resp. descents) is denoted by $\text{DEC}(w)$ (resp. $\text{DES}(w)$). Each descent is a decrease, but not conversely. This means that $\text{DES}(w) \subset \text{DEC}(w)$. However, $\text{DES}(w) = \text{DEC}(w)$ when $w$ is a word without repetitions.

In the present paper our intention is to go back to the study of the number of decreases, this time associated with several other word statistics, and derive the Ur-result that should have been at the origin of several of our statistical distribution studies. This Ur-result is stated in Theorem 1.1, but has two equivalent forms, as written in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

In parallel with the notion of decrease, we say that a positive integer $i$ is an increase (resp. a rise) of $w$ if $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x_i = x_{i+1}$ =
\[ \cdots = x_j < x_{j+1} \text{ for some } j \text{ such that } i \leq j \leq n \text{ (resp. if } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } x_i < x_{i+1} \text{). By convention, } x_{n+1} = +\infty. \text{ The letter } x_i \text{ is said to be an increase value (resp. a rise value) of } w. \text{ Thus, the rightmost letter } x_n \text{ is always a rise value. Again, the set of all increases (resp. rises) is denoted by } \text{INC}(w) \text{ (resp. RISE}(w)). \text{ Each rise is an increase, but not conversely. This means that } \text{RISE}(w) \subset \text{INC}(w). \]

Furthermore, a position \( i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) is said to be a record if \( x_j \leq x_i \) for all \( j \) such that \( 1 \leq j \leq i - 1 \). The letter \( x_i \) is said to be a record value.

The set of all records of \( w \) is denoted by \( \text{REC}(w) \).

Introduce six sequences of commuting variables \( (X_i), (Y_i), (Z_i), (T_i), (Y'_i), (T'_i) \ (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \) and for each word \( w = x_1x_2 \ldots x_n \) from \( [0, r]^* \) define the weight \( \psi(w) \) of \( w = x_1x_2 \ldots x_n \) to be

\[
(1.1) \quad \psi(w) := \prod_{i \in \text{DES}} X_{x_i} \prod_{i \in \text{RISE} \setminus \text{REC}} Y_{x_i} \prod_{i \in \text{DEC} \setminus \text{DES}} Z_{x_i} \times \prod_{i \in (\text{INC} \setminus \text{RISE}) \setminus \text{REC}} T_{x_i} \prod_{i \in \text{RISE} \setminus \text{REC}} Y'_{x_i} \prod_{i \in (\text{INC} \setminus \text{RISE}) \setminus \text{REC}} T'_{x_i},
\]

where the argument “\((w)\)" has not been written for typographic reasons. For example, \( i \in \text{RISE}\setminus\text{REC} \) stands for \( i \in \text{RISE}(w) \setminus \text{REC}(w) \).

**Example.** For the word \( w = 32445553114135 \) the sets DES, DEC, INC, RISE, REC of \( w \) are indicated by bullets.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
  w & = & 3 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
  \text{DES} & = & \bullet & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
  \text{DEC} & = & & & & & & & & & & & & \bullet & & & \\
  \text{RISE} & = & & & & & & & & \bullet & & & & & & \bullet & & \\
  \text{INC} & = & & & \bullet & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
  \text{REC} & = & & & & & & \bullet & & & & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

We have \( \psi(w) = X_3Y_2T'_4Y'_4Z_5Z_6X_5X_3T_1Y_1X_4Y_1Y_3Y'_5. \)

Now let \( C \) be the \((r + 1) \times (r + 1)\) matrix

\[
(1.2) \quad C = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_{r-1} & X_r \\
Y_0 & \frac{1}{1-Z_1} & \frac{1}{1-Z_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{1-Z_{r-1}} & \frac{1}{1-Z_r} \\
1-T_0 & Y_0 & Y_1 & \cdots & Y_{r-1} & Y_r \\
1-T_0 & Y_0 & \frac{1}{1-T_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{1-T_{r-1}} & \frac{1}{1-T_r} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_0 & \frac{1}{1-T_1} & \frac{1}{1-T_2} & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{1-Z_r} \\
Y_0 & \frac{1}{1-T_1} & \frac{1}{1-T_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{1-T_{r-1}} & 0 \\
1-T_0 & 1-T_0 & 1-T_2 & \cdots & 1-T_{r-1} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
**Theorem 1.1.** The generating function for the set \([0, r]^*\) by the weight \(\psi\) is given by

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 + \frac{Y'_j}{1-T'_j}\right)}{\det(I - C)},
\]

where \(I\) is the identity matrix of order \((r + 1)\).

Of course, the expression \(1/\det(I - C)\) is too redolent of the MacMahon Master Theorem [14, p. 97-98] for not having it play a crucial role in the proof of (1.3). It does indeed. However we further need the properties of the first fundamental transformation, as it is developed in Cartier-Foata [1] and also in Lothaire [13, chap. 10]. As mentioned earlier, Theorem 1.1 must be regarded as our Ur-result. Its proof is given in Section 2. Its two equivalent forms come next.

**Theorem 1.2.** We also have:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - Z_j\right)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - Z_j + X_j\right)} \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - T'_j\right)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - T'_j + Y'_j\right)} \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \left(1 - Z_j\right)} \frac{1}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \left(1 - Z_j + X_j\right)} \frac{X_l}{1 - Z_l + X_l}.
\]

By definition each letter equal to 0 cannot be a decrease value. Consequently, the weight \(\psi(w)\) of each word \(w\) must not contain the variables \(X_0, Z_0\). There is then another expression for the right-hand side of (1.4) which does not involve the variables \(X_0, Z_0\). To obtain it we factor out \((1 - Z_0)/(1 - Z_0 + X_0)\) from both numerator and denominator of the right-hand side, as done in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** We also have:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - Z_j\right)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - Z_j + X_j\right)} \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - T'_j\right)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 - T'_j + Y'_j\right)} \frac{X_l}{1 - Z_l + X_l} \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{1 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \left(1 - Z_j\right)} \frac{1}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \left(1 - Z_j + X_j\right)} \frac{1}{1 - Z_l + X_l}.
\]
Theorem 1.2 (and therefore Theorem 1.3) is proved in Section 3 by using a determinantal manipulation and summing the weights \( \psi(w) \) according to their so-called keys. An alternate proof of Theorem 1.2, which is not reproduced in this paper, is based on the word-analog of the Kim-Zeng transformation [11] and follows the pattern developed in our previous paper [9]. Specializations of those two theorems for deriving generating functions on words only appear in Section 6. There is however a specialization of (1.5) that deserves a special development and is now presented.

Let \( \gamma \) be the homomorphism defined by the following substitutions of variables:

\[
\gamma := \{ X_j \leftarrow sY_{j-1}, \quad Z_j \leftarrow sY_{j-1}, \quad T_j \leftarrow Y_j, \quad T'_j \leftarrow Y'_j \}. \]

For each word \( w = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \in [0,r]^* \) we then have:

\[
\gamma \psi(w) = \prod_{x_i \in \text{INC} \cap \text{REC}} Y'_{x_i} \times \prod_{x_i \in \text{DEC}} sY_{x_i-1} \times \prod_{x_i \in \text{INC} \setminus \text{REC}} Y_{x_i}. \tag{1.6}
\]

Applying \( \gamma \) to (1.5) we get:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} \gamma \psi(w) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (1 - sY_{j-1})}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y'_j)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq r-1} (1 - sY_{l-1})}{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq r-1} (1 - Y'_l)} \cdot \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - sY_j) \cdot \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j). \tag{1.7}
\]

The above right-hand side can be further simplified as stated in the following theorem, whose proof is given in Section 4.

**Theorem 1.4.** We have:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} \gamma \psi(w) = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y'_j) \left( \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j) - s \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - sY_j) \right). \tag{1.8}
\]

For each word \( w = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \) let \( \text{inrec} w \) denote the number of letters of \( w \), which are increase and record values. Also let \( \text{dec} w \) be the number...
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of decreases in \( w \) and \(\text{tot } w = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n\) be the \textit{sum} of the letters of \( w \). Also make the substitution \( Y'_j \leftarrow R Y_j \), where \( R \) is a new variable and let \( \psi_R := \gamma \psi |_{Y'_j \leftarrow R Y_j} \), so that (1.6) becomes:

\[
(1.9) \quad \psi_R(w) = R^{\text{inrec } w} s^{\text{dec } w} \prod_{x_i \in \text{DEC}} Y_{x_i-1} \times \prod_{x_i \in \text{INC}} Y_{x_i}.
\]

On the other hand, let

\[
(1.10) \quad H(Y) := \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - Y_i)^{-1};
\]

\[
(1.11) \quad H_r(Y) := \prod_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} (1 - Y_i)^{-1} \quad (r \geq 0).
\]

Using the homomorphism \( \psi_R \) identity (1.8) may be rewritten as:

\[
(1.12) \quad \sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} \psi_R(w) = \frac{(1 - s)H_{r+1}(RY)}{H_r(sY) - sH_r(Y)}.
\]

The left-hand side of (1.12) can be further expressed as a series over the symmetric groups \( S_n \) \((n = 0, 1, \ldots)\). If \( \sigma = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)\cdots\sigma(n) \) is a permutation of \( 12 \cdots n \), let \( z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \) be the word defined by:

\[
(1.13) \quad z_i := \#\{j : i \leq j \leq n - 1, \sigma(j) > \sigma(j+1)\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),
\]

so that \( z_1 \) is the \textit{number of descents}, \( \text{des } \sigma \), and \( \text{tot } z = z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n \) is the \textit{major index}, \( \text{maj } \sigma \), of \( \sigma \). Also, let \( \text{exc } \sigma := \{i : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \sigma(i) > i\} \) be the \textit{number of excedances} and \( \text{fix } \sigma \) be the \textit{number of fixed points} of \( \sigma \). Finally, let \( \text{NIW}_n \) (resp. \( \text{NIW}_n(k) \)) denote the set of words \( c = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n \), of length \( n \), whose letters are integers satisfying \( c_1 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_n \geq 0 \) (resp. \( k \geq c_1 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_n \geq 0 \)). With each pair \( (\sigma, c) \in S_n \times \text{NIW}_n \) we associate the monomial

\[
(1.14) \quad Y_{(\sigma, c)} := \prod_{j < \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j+z_j-1} \times \prod_{j \geq \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j+z_j}.
\]

**Theorem 1.5.** We have:

\[
(1.15) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R^{\text{fix } \sigma} s^{\text{exc } \sigma} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(r-\text{des } \sigma)} Y_{(\sigma, c)} = \frac{(1 - s)H_{r+1}(RY)}{H_r(sY) - sH_r(Y)} \quad (r \geq 0).
\]

5
When $r$ tends to infinity in (1.15), we get the identity

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R^\text{fix} \sigma \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n} Y(\sigma, c) = \frac{(1 - s)H(RY)}{H(sY) - sH(Y)},
\]

derived by Shareshian and Wachs [15, Theorem 2.1] using a quasi-symmetric function approach. However, starting from (1.15), we can obtain a graded form of (1.16) as follows. Let

\[
Y(\sigma; t) := \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(k)} Y(\sigma, c).
\]

**Theorem 1.6.** The graded form of (1.16) reads:

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R^\text{fix} \sigma \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n} t^{\text{des}} \sigma Y(\sigma; t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \frac{(1 - s)H_{r+1}(RY)}{H_{r}(sY) - sH_{r}(Y)}.
\]

Section 5 starts with redescribing the Gessel-Reutenauer standardization [10] and showing how it is used to prove Theorem 1.5. The graded form (1.18) is deduced from Theorem 1.5 by a standard series manipulation. We end the paper by giving some specializations of our Ur-theorem and also by showing that the distribution of $(\text{fix, exc, des, maj})$ over the symmetric groups that was found earlier in [9] using the word-analog of the Kim-Zeng transformation [11], can also be deduced from our Ur-result in two different manners.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A word $w = y_1y_2 \cdots y_n \in [0, r]^*$ having no equal letters in succession is called an $h$-derangement (horizontal derangement). The set of all $h$-derangement words in $[0, r]^*$ is denoted by $[0, r]^*_h$. Let $\alpha$ be the substitution of variables defined by

\[
\alpha := \{Z_i \leftarrow 0, \ T_i \leftarrow 0, \ T'_i \leftarrow 0\}.
\]

Then

\[
\alpha \psi(w) = \psi(w) = \prod_{i \in \text{DES}} X_{x_i} \prod_{i \in \text{RISE} \setminus \text{REC}} Y_{x_i} \prod_{i \in \text{RISE} \cap \text{REC}} Y'_{x_i},
\]

if $w$ is an $h$-derangement and $\alpha \psi(w) = 0$ otherwise. The following specialization of Theorem 1.1 is obtained by taking the image of identity (1.3) under $\alpha$. 
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Theorem 2.1. We have

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*_h} \psi(w) = \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 + Y'_j\right)}{\det(I - \alpha C)}.
\]

Even though Theorem 2.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.1, it can still be used as a lemma to prove Theorem 1.1. We proceed as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \( w \) be a word from \([0, r]^*\). The key of \( w \) is defined to be the \( h \)-derangement \( k \) derived from \( w \) by erasing all letters \( x_i \) such that \( x_i = x_{i+1} \). For instance, the key of \( w = 324455531114135 \) is the \( h \)-derangement \( k = 324531435 \).

Let \( \beta \) be the substitution of variables defined by

\[
\beta := \{ X_i \leftarrow X_i / (1 - Z_i), \ Y_i \leftarrow Y_i / (1 - T_i), \ Y'_i \leftarrow Y'_i / (1 - T'_i) \}.
\]

Then, the generating function for the set of all \( w \) whose key is \( k \) by the weight \( \psi \) is given by

\[
\sum_{w, \text{key}(w) = k} \psi(w) = \beta \psi(k).
\]

Since \( \beta \alpha C = C \) we have

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*_h} \sum_{\text{key}(w) = k} \psi(w) = \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*_h} \beta \psi(k)
\]

\[
= \beta \left( \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*_h} \psi(k) \right)
\]

\[
= \beta \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*_h} \psi(k) = \beta \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 + Y'_j\right)}{\det(I - \alpha C)} \quad \text{[by (2.1)]}
\]

\[
= \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 + \beta Y'_j\right)}{\det(I - \beta \alpha C)} = \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \left(1 + \frac{Y'_j}{1 - T'_j}\right)}{\det(I - C)}.
\]

Proof of Theorem 2.1. A letter \( x_i \) which is a record and also a rise value is called an riserec value. For each \( h \)-derangement \( k = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \) let \( w_0 \) be the nondecreasing word composed of all the riserec values of \( k \) and let \( k_0 \) be the word obtained from \( k \) by erasing all the riserec values of \( k \). Since the letters of \( w_0 \) can be uniquely inserted into the word \( k_0 \) for reconstructing \( k \), the map

\[
k \mapsto (w_0, k_0)
\]
is a bijection of the set of all $h$-derangements onto the set of all pairs $(w_0, k_0)$ such that $w_0$ is a nondecreasing word and $k_0$ is an $h$-derangement without any riserec value. Moreover, if a letter $x_j$ of $k$ is a record value and therefore becomes a letter, say, $x_{0,i}$ of $w_0$, then $x_{0,i}$ is a rise of $w_0$ if and only if $x_j$ is a rise of $k$. Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(k) = \psi(w_0)\psi(k_0).$$

Next apply the first fundamental transformation to $k_0$ (see [13, § 10.5]), say, $u = F_1(k_0)$. Let us recall how $F_1$ is defined by means of an example. Start with the word $k_0 = 53 | 65324612431 =: w_1 \ | \ w_2 \ | \ w_3$. In each compartment move the leftmost letter to the end to obtain the cyclic shifts $\delta w_1 = 35$, $\delta w_2 = 53246$, $\delta w_3 = 124316$ and form the two-row matrix $\left(\begin{array}{c} \delta w_1 \\ \delta w_2 \\ \delta w_3 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 353246124316 \\ 53653246124316 \end{array}\right)$. Finally, rearrange the vertical biletters of that two-row matrix in such a way that the entries on the top row are in nondecreasing order, assuming that two biletters $(^a_i)$, $(^b_i)$ can commute only when $a \neq b$. We then obtain the two-row matrix $F_1(k_0) :=\left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{u} \\ u \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1122333445566 \\ 6331554223641 \end{array}\right)$.

We can characterize the word $u = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_m$ when we start with an $h$-derangement $k_0$. Let $\overline{u} = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_m$ be the nondecreasing rearrangement of $u$ (and of $k_0$). By construction $y_i \neq z_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Such a word $u$ is called a $v$-derangement (vertical derangement). Denote the set of all $v$-derangements in $[0, r]^*$ by $[0, r]^*_v$. Then $F_1$ provides a bijection of the set of all $h$-derangements onto the set of all pairs $(w_0, u)$ such that $w_0$ is a nondecreasing word and $u$ is a $v$-derangement:

$k \mapsto (w_0, k_0) \mapsto (w_0, u) \quad \text{where} \quad F_1(k_0) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{u} \\ u \end{array}\right).$

Example. $k = 2535653246124316 \mapsto (w_0 = 256, k_0 = 5365324612431)$

$\mapsto (w_0 = 256, u = 6331554223641),$ since

$F_1(k_0) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{u} \\ u \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1122333445566 \\ 6331554223641 \end{array}\right).$

Let $\Phi$ be the homomorphism generated by

$$\Phi\left(\begin{array}{c} X_j \\ Y_j \end{array}\right) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_j, & \text{if } j > i; \\ Y_j, & \text{if } j < i. \end{array} \right.$$
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By the property [13, chap. 10] of the first fundamental transformation we have

\[(2.4) \quad \psi(k) = \psi(w_0)\psi(k_0) = \psi(w_0)\Phi\left(\frac{u}{u}\right).\]

Let \(\text{ND}(r)\) be the set of all non-decreasing words from \([0, r]^*\). It follows from the properties of the above bijections that

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \sum_{w_0 \in \text{ND}(r)} \sum_{u \in [0, r]^*_v} \Phi\left(\frac{u}{u}\right);
\]

\[
\sum_{w_0 \in \text{ND}(r)} \psi(w_0) = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} (1 + Y_j').
\]

There remains to prove the identity:

\[(2.5) \quad \sum_{u \in [0, r]^*_v} \Phi\left(\frac{u}{u}\right) = \frac{1}{\det(I - \alpha C)}.\]

The proof is based on the celebrated MacMahon Master Theorem, using the noncommutative version developed in ([1], chap. 4). Also see ([13], chap. 10). Consider the matrix

\[
C'' = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & r-1 & r \\
0 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & r-2 & r \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As shown in the references just mentioned, there holds the identity

\[(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{\det(I - C'')} = \sum_{u \in [0, r]^*_v} \left(\frac{\pi}{u}\right).\]

Applying \(\Phi\) to both sides of (2.6) yields (2.5).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 given in the previous section. First we prove the following specialization of Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 3.1. We have:

\[ (3.1) \sum_{w \in [0,r]_n^*} \psi(w) = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \frac{1 + Y'_j}{1 + X_j} \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \frac{1 + Y_j}{1 + X_j} \cdot \frac{X_l}{1 + X_l} \right). \]

**Proof.** Denote the left-hand side of (3.1) by LHS. From Theorem 2.1 we have

\[ \text{LHS} = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \frac{1 + Y'_j}{D}, \]

where

\[ D = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -X_1 & -X_2 & \cdots & -X_{r-1} & -X_r \\ -Y_0 & 1 & -X_2 & \cdots & -X_{r-1} & -X_r \\ -Y_0 & -Y_1 & 1 & \cdots & -X_{r-1} & -X_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -Y_0 & -Y_1 & -Y_2 & \cdots & 1 & -X_r \\ -Y_0 & -Y_1 & -Y_2 & \cdots & -Y_{r-1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \]

In the above determinant subtract the \( r \)-th row from the \((r+1)\)-st one; then the \((r-1)\)-st from the \( r \)-th row; \ldots, the first row from the second. We obtain:

\[ D = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -Y_0 & -X_1 & -X_2 & \cdots & -X_{r-1} & -X_r \\ 1 + Y_0 & 0 & -Y_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + X_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + X_{r-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 - Y_{r-1} & 1 + X_r \end{vmatrix}. \]

Now expand the determinant by the cofactors of the first row. We get:

\[ D = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (1 + X_j) - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 + Y_j) \prod_{l+1 \leq j \leq r} (1 + X_j) \right) X_l. \]

We further have:

\[ D = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (1 + X_j) + X_0 \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (1 + X_j) \]

\[ - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \left( \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 + Y_j) \prod_{l \leq j \leq r} (1 + X_j) \right) \frac{X_l}{1 + X_l}. \]
Hence,

\[
\text{LHS} = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} \frac{(1 + Y'_j)}{(1 + X'_j)} - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} \frac{(1 + Y_j)}{(1 + X_j)} \frac{X_l}{1 + X_l}.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we may write:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} \psi(w) = \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*} \sum_{\text{key}(w) = k} \psi(w) = \sum_{k \in [0, r]^*} \beta \psi(k) = \beta(\text{LHS}).
\]

Using (3.2) it is immediate to verify that \(\beta(\text{LHS})\) is equal to the right-hand side of (1.4).

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.4**

First, we may check that

\[
\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} (1 - sY_j) - \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y_j)
= \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l} (1 - sY_j) \prod_{l+1 \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y_j) - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 - sY_j) \prod_{l \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y_j)
= (1 - s) \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} Y_l \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 - sY_j) \prod_{l+1 \leq j \leq r} (1 - Y_j).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Using (1.7) we have:

\[
1 - s \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r-1} Y_l \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 - sY_j) / \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l} (1 - Y_j)
= \frac{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j) - s \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r-1} Y_l \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (1 - sY_j) \prod_{l+1 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j) - s \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - sY_j) / (1 - s)}
= \frac{1}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - Y_j) - s \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - sY_j) / (1 - s)}
\]
5. Proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6

An updated version of the Gessel-Reutenauer standardization [10] is fully described in our previous paper [9], Section 5. The standardization consists of mapping each word \( w \) from \([0, r]^*\) of length \( n \) onto a pair \((\sigma, c)\), where \( \sigma \in S_n \) and \( c = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n \) is a word of length \( n \), whose letters are nonnegative integers having the property: \( r - \text{des} \sigma \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_n \geq 0 \), the symbol \( \text{des} \sigma \) being the number of descents of \( \sigma \). We recall the construction of the inverse \((\sigma, c) \mapsto w\) by means of an example.

| Id  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  | 19  | 20  | 21  | 22  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( \rightarrow \sigma \) | 1   | 5   | 6   | 8   | 13  | 14  | 17  | 4   | 10  | 15  | 18  | 19  | 2    | 9   | **16** | 20 | 22 | 3   | 11  | 12  | 21  |  |
| \( \rightarrow z \) | 4   | 4   | 4   | 4   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| \( \rightarrow c \) | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| \( \bar{\tau} \) | 6   | 6   | 6   | 6   | 6   | 6   | 5   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| \( \sigma \) | (16) | (12 18 22 21) | (10) | (7) | (4 8 17 20 11 15 9) | (2 5 13 19 3 6 14) | (1) |
| \( \bar{\sigma} \) | 16  | 12  | 18  | 22  | 21  | **10** | 7   | 4   | 8   | 17  | 20  | 11  | 15  | 9   | 2   | 5   | 13  | 19  | 3   | 6   | 14  | 1   |
| \( \rightarrow w \) | 2   | 3   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 3   | 5   | **6** | **4** | **2** | 1   | 2   | 3   | **6** | **5** | **3** | 1   | **6** | **5** | 2   | 6   |  |
DECREASES AND DESCENTS IN WORDS

is a word satisfying \( r - \text{des} \sigma \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_n \geq 0 \). Furthermore, \( x_i \) is a decrease value of \( w \) if and only if \( \tilde{\sigma}(i) < \tilde{\sigma}(i + 1) \), if and only if \( \tilde{\sigma}(i) < \sigma(\tilde{\sigma}(i)) \). Also \( x_i \) is an increase and record value of \( w \) if and only if \( \tilde{\sigma}(i) \) is a fixed point of \( \sigma \). Hence,

\[
\psi_R(w) = R_{\text{inrec}} w_s^{\text{dec}} \prod_{x_i \in \text{DEC}} Y_{x_i-1} \prod_{x_i \in \text{INC}} Y_{x_i} \\
= R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \prod_{\tilde{\sigma}(i) < \sigma(\tilde{\sigma}(i))} Y_{\tilde{\sigma}(i)-1} \prod_{\tilde{\sigma}(i) \geq \sigma(\tilde{\sigma}(i))} Y_{\tilde{\sigma}(i)} \\
= R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \prod_{j < \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j-1} \prod_{j \geq \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j} \\
= R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \prod_{j < \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j+z_j-1} \prod_{j \geq \sigma(j)} Y_{c_j+z_j} \\
= R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} Y_{(\sigma,c)}.
\]

Consequently,

\[
(5.1) \quad \sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} \psi_R(w) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\text{des} \sigma \leq r} R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(r - \text{des} \sigma)} Y_{(\sigma,c)}.
\]

This achieves the proof of Theorem 1.5 by taking identity (1.12) into account. 

For the proof of Theorem 1.6 we multiply both sides of (1.15) by \( t^r \) and sum over \( r \geq 0 \). We obtain:

\[
\sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \frac{(1 - s)H_{r+1}(RY)}{H_r(sY) - sH_r(Y)} = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\text{des} \sigma \leq r} R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(r - \text{des} \sigma)} Y_{(\sigma,c)} \\
= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} t^j \sum_{r \geq j} t^{r-j} \sum_{\text{des} \sigma = r-j} R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(j)} Y_{(\sigma,c)} \\
= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} t^j \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\text{des} \sigma = k} R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(j)} Y_{(\sigma,c)} \\
= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} t^j \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\text{des} \sigma = k} R_{\text{fix}} \sigma_s^{\text{exc}} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(j)} Y_{(\sigma,c)}
\]
\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R_{\text{fix}}^{\sigma} s^{\text{exc}} \sigma t^{\text{des}} \sigma \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(j)} Y(\sigma,c)
= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R_{\text{fix}}^{\sigma} s^{\text{exc}} \sigma t^{\text{des}} \sigma \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n(j)} Y(\sigma,t).
\]

6. Specializations and \(q\)-Calculus

In Theorem 1.4, replace \(Y_j, Y'_j \ (j \geq 0)\) by \(u\). We get the identity

\[
\sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} s^{\text{dec}} \omega u^{\lambda w} = \frac{1 - s}{(1 - u)^{r+1}(1 - us)^{-r} - s(1 - u)},
\]

where \(\lambda w\) denotes the length of \(w\).

Now replace \(X_j \ (j \geq 0)\) by \(us\) and the other variables by \(u\) in Theorem 1.2. We then recover the classical generating function for words by number of descents:

\[
\sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} s^{\text{des}} \omega u^{\lambda w} = \frac{1 - s}{(1 - u + us)^{r+1} - s}.
\]

As was proved in our paper [9] (formula (1.15)), when multiplying (6.1) (and not (6.2)) by \(t^r\) and summing over \(r \geq 0\) we get the generating function for the pair \((\text{exc}, \text{des})\) over the symmetric groups:

\[
\sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \sum_{w \in [0,r]^*} s^{\text{dec}} \omega u^{\lambda w} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{u^n}{(1 - t)^{n+1}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} s^{\text{exc}} \sigma t^{\text{des}} \sigma.
\]

Now, recall the traditional notation of the \(q\)-ascending factorial

\[
(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\
(1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Theorem 6.1. The factorial generating function for the distributions of the vector \((\text{fix}, \text{exc}, \text{des}, \text{maj})\) over the symmetric groups \(S_n\) is given by

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{u^n}{(t; q)_{n+1}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} R_{\text{fix}}^{\sigma} s^{\text{exc}} \sigma t^{\text{des}} \sigma \frac{q^{\text{maj}} \sigma}{q^{\text{maj}} \sigma} = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \frac{1}{(uR; q)_{r+1}} \frac{(1 - sq)(u; q)_r (usq; q)_r}{((u; q)_r - sq(usq; q)_r)}.
\]

The theorem was proved in our previous paper [9] by means of the word-analog of the Kim-Zeng transformation [11] and the Gessel-Reutenauer
standardization. Here, it is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.6 by applying the homomorphism \( \phi \) generated by \( \phi(Y_j) := uq^j \) \((j \geq 0)\) and \( \phi(s) := sq \) to both sides of (1.18).

We proceed as follows. First, \( \phi H_r(Y) = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} (1 - uq^j)^{-1} = 1/(u; q)_r \) and
\[
\phi(1 - s)H_{r+1}(RY) = \frac{(1 - sq)/(uR; q)_{r+1}}{1/(usq; q)_r - sq/(u; q)_r}.
\]

Then, for \((\sigma, c) \in \mathfrak{S}_n \times \text{NIW}_n\)
\[
\phi Y(\sigma, c) = u^n q^{\text{tot } c + \text{tot } z - \text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{maj } \sigma - \text{exc } \sigma} u^n q^{\text{tot } c};
\]
so that
\[
\phi(R \text{ fix}^\sigma s^{\text{exc } \sigma} t^{\text{des } \sigma} Y(\sigma; t)) = R^{\text{fix}^\sigma (sq)} s^{\text{exc } \sigma} t^{\text{des } \sigma} q^{\text{maj } \sigma - \text{exc } \sigma} u^n (t; q)_n^{n+1};
\]
Hence, the image of identity (1.18) under \( \phi \) gives back (6.4).

There is still another proof, which we now describe as follows. In identity (1.4) make the substitutions \( X_j \leftarrow usq^j \), \( Y_j \leftarrow uq^j \), \( Z_j \leftarrow usq^j \), \( T_j \leftarrow uq^j \), \( Y'_j \leftarrow uRq^j \), \( T'_j \leftarrow uRq^j \). The weight \( \psi(w) \) becomes \( s^{\text{dec } w} R^{\text{inrec } w} u^{\lambda w} q^{\text{tot } w} \) and (1.4) yields the identity
\[
(6.5) \quad \sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} s^{\text{dec } w} R^{\text{inrec } w} u^{\lambda w} q^{\text{tot } w} = \frac{(us; q)_{r+1}}{(uR; q)_{r+1}} \frac{(us; q)_l}{(u; q)_l} (1 - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r} \frac{(us; q)_l}{(u; q)_l} usq^l).
\]

Now, use the \( q \)-telescoping argument provided by Krattenthaler [12]:
\[
\frac{(us; q)_l}{(u; q)_l} usq^l = \frac{sq}{1 - sq} \left( \frac{(us; q)_{l+1}}{(u; q)_{l+1}} - \frac{(us; q)_l}{(u; q)_{l-1}} \right) \quad (1 \leq l \leq r).
\]

We obtain:
\[
(6.6) \quad \sum_{w \in [0, r]^*} s^{\text{dec } w} R^{\text{inrec } w} u^{\lambda w} q^{\text{tot } w} = \frac{1}{(uR; q)_{r+1}} \frac{(1 - sq)(u; q)_r (usq; q)_r}{((u; q)_r - sq(usq; q)_r)}.
\]

The summation can also be made over the symmetric groups by using the Gessel-Reutenauer standardization \( w \mapsto (\sigma, c) \). This time only the following properties are needed:
\[
\text{dec } w = \text{exc } \sigma; \quad \text{tot } w = \text{maj } w + \text{tot } c; \quad \text{inrec } w = \text{fix } \sigma.
\]
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Multiply (6.6) by $t^r$ and sum over $r \geq 0$. We get:

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \text{des} \sigma \leq r} \sum_{c \in \text{NIW}_n, (r - \text{des} \sigma)} s^{\text{exc} \sigma} R^{\text{fix} \sigma} u^n q^{\text{maj} \sigma + \text{tot} c}$$

$$= \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \frac{1}{(uR; q)_{r+1}} \frac{(1 - sq)(u; q)_r (usq; q)_r}{((u; q)_r - sq(usq; q)_r)}.$$

Following the same pattern as in the proof of Theorem 1.6 we again derive identity (6.4). \[ \square \]
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