

# Invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras

Christian Kassel

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée  
CNRS - Université de Strasbourg  
Strasbourg, France

International Workshop “Groups and Hopf Algebras”  
Memorial University of Newfoundland  
5 June 2009

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are neither cocommutative, nor pointed such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of functions on finite non-abelian groups
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of invariant Drinfeld twists and obtain a method to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the abelian normal subgroups of central type of  $G$  as well as the group of class-preserving outer automorphisms of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from quantum group theory, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are neither cocommutative, nor pointed such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of functions on finite non-abelian groups
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of invariant Drinfeld twists and obtain a method to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the abelian normal subgroups of central type of  $G$  as well as the group of class-preserving outer automorphisms of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from quantum group theory, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of invariant Drinfeld twists and obtain a method to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the abelian normal subgroups of central type of  $G$  as well as the group of class-preserving outer automorphisms of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of invariant Drinfeld twists and obtain a method to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the abelian normal subgroups of central type of  $G$  as well as the group of class-preserving outer automorphisms of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of **invariant Drinfeld twists** and obtain a **method to compute**  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the **abelian normal subgroups of central type** of  $G$  as well as the group of **class-preserving outer automorphisms** of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  **$R$ -matrices**
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several **examples**

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of **invariant Drinfeld twists** and obtain a **method to compute**  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the **abelian normal subgroups of central type** of  $G$  as well as the group of **class-preserving outer automorphisms** of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  **$R$ -matrices**
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several **examples**

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of **invariant Drinfeld twists** and obtain a **method to compute**  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the **abelian normal subgroups of central type** of  $G$  as well as the group of **class-preserving outer automorphisms** of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of **invariant Drinfeld twists** and obtain a **method to compute**  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the **abelian normal subgroups of central type** of  $G$  as well as the group of **class-preserving outer automorphisms** of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several examples

# Introduction

- ▶ Report on joint work with **Pierre Guillot** (Strasbourg):  
*Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*  
arXiv:0903.2807
- ▶ Our original motivation was to compute the second **lazy cohomology** group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  of Hopf algebras that are **neither cocommutative, nor pointed** such as  
the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of **functions on finite non-abelian groups**
- ▶ We reformulate the problem in terms of **invariant Drinfeld twists** and obtain a **method to compute**  $H_\ell^2(H)$  when  $H = \mathcal{O}_k(G)$
- ▶ The answer involves the **abelian normal subgroups of central type** of  $G$  as well as the group of **class-preserving outer automorphisms** of  $G$   
The proof uses tools from **quantum group theory**, mainly  $R$ -matrices
- ▶ I shall illustrate all this with several **examples**

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# Drinfeld twists

- ▶ Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra over some fixed field  $k$

**Definition.** A Drinfeld twist on  $H$  is an invertible element  $F$  of  $H \otimes H$  satisfying the condition

$$(F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_H)(F) = (1 \otimes F)(\text{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(F) \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  is the coproduct of  $H$

Twists were introduced by Drinfeld in his work on quasi-Hopf algebras, in order to “twist” the coproduct of  $H$  without changing its product. They have become an important tool in the classification of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. There is now an abundant literature on twists

- ▶ “Trivial” solutions of (1) :  $F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$   
where  $a$  is an invertible element of  $H$

**Problem.** Find more (all?) solutions of (1)

We shall look for special solutions of (1) as follows...

# Drinfeld twists

- ▶ Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra over some fixed field  $k$

**Definition.** A Drinfeld twist on  $H$  is an invertible element  $F$  of  $H \otimes H$  satisfying the condition

$$(F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_H)(F) = (1 \otimes F)(\text{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(F) \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  is the coproduct of  $H$

Twists were introduced by Drinfeld in his work on quasi-Hopf algebras, in order to “twist” the coproduct of  $H$  without changing its product. They have become an important tool in the classification of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. There is now an abundant literature on twists

- ▶ “Trivial” solutions of (1) :  $F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$   
where  $a$  is an invertible element of  $H$

**Problem.** Find more (all?) solutions of (1)

We shall look for special solutions of (1) as follows...

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a group under multiplication  
(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a central subgroup the group of trivial twists, where a twist  $F$  is called trivial if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some central invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a group under multiplication  
(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a central subgroup the group of trivial twists, where a twist  $F$  is called trivial if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some central invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a *group* under multiplication

(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a *central subgroup* the group of *trivial twists*, where a twist  $F$  is called *trivial* if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some *central* invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\}/\{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a *group* under multiplication

(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a *central subgroup* the group of *trivial twists*, where a twist  $F$  is called *trivial* if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some *central* invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\}/\{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a *group* under multiplication  
(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a *central subgroup* the group of *trivial twists*, where a twist  $F$  is called *trivial* if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some *central* invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\}/\{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# Invariant twists

- ▶ **Definition.** A twist  $F$  is *invariant*

$$\Delta(a)F = F\Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in H$$

In general the product of two twists is not a twist, but...

- ▶ **Proposition.** (a) Invariant twists form a *group* under multiplication  
(b) The group of invariant twists contains as a *central subgroup* the group of *trivial twists*, where a twist  $F$  is called *trivial* if

$$F = (a \otimes a)\Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some *central* invertible element  $a \in H$

We can thus consider the quotient group

$$\{\text{invariant twists on } H\}/\{\text{trivial twists}\} \dots$$

# The group $H_\ell^2(G)$

- ▶ ... which we consider in the special case when  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$

**Definition.** Given a **group**  $G$ , set

$$H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$$

**Our aim.** Determine the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  for any **finite** group  $G$

Why consider  $H_\ell^2(G)$ ?

- ▶ Because it is a first step in finding all invariant twists on  $k[G]$  and...  
... the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is isomorphic to the second lazy cohomology group of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of  **$k$ -valued functions on**  $G$ :

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G))$$

# The group $H_\ell^2(G)$

- ▶ ... which we consider in the special case when  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$

**Definition.** Given a **group**  $G$ , set

$$H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$$

**Our aim.** Determine the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  for any **finite** group  $G$

Why consider  $H_\ell^2(G)$ ?

- ▶ Because it is a first step in finding all invariant twists on  $k[G]$  and...  
... the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is isomorphic to the second lazy cohomology group of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of  **$k$ -valued functions on**  $G$ :

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G))$$

# The group $H_\ell^2(G)$

- ▶ ... which we consider in the special case when  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$

**Definition.** Given a **group**  $G$ , set

$$H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$$

**Our aim.** Determine the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  for any **finite** group  $G$

Why consider  $H_\ell^2(G)$ ?

- ▶ **Because** it is a first step in **finding all invariant twists** on  $k[G]$  and...

... the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is isomorphic to the **second lazy cohomology group** of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of  **$k$ -valued functions** on  $G$ :

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G))$$

# The group $H_\ell^2(G)$

- ▶ ... which we consider in the special case when  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$

**Definition.** Given a **group**  $G$ , set

$$H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$$

**Our aim.** Determine the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  for any **finite** group  $G$

Why consider  $H_\ell^2(G)$ ?

- ▶ **Because** it is a first step in **finding all invariant twists** on  $k[G]$  and...

... the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is isomorphic to the **second lazy cohomology group** of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of  **$k$ -valued functions** on  $G$ :

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G))$$

# The group $H_\ell^2(G)$

- ▶ ... which we consider in the special case when  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$

**Definition.** Given a **group**  $G$ , set

$$H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$$

**Our aim.** Determine the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  for any **finite** group  $G$

Why consider  $H_\ell^2(G)$ ?

- ▶ **Because** it is a first step in **finding all invariant twists** on  $k[G]$  and...  
... the group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is isomorphic to the **second lazy cohomology group** of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  of  **$k$ -valued functions on**  $G$ :

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G))$$

# Lazy cohomology

- ▶ In the last decade Schauenburg, Bichon, Carnovale *et al.* defined cohomology groups  $H_\ell^1(H)$  and  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for an **arbitrary** Hopf algebra  $H$ . These groups are called the **lazy cohomology** groups of  $H$ 
  - On the class of **cocommutative** Hopf algebras the lazy cohomology groups **coincide** with the cohomology groups introduced by **Sweedler** (1968)
- ▶ In particular, if  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$ , then lazy cohomology coincides with **group cohomology**

$$H_\ell^i(H) \cong H^i(G, k^\times) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where  $G$  acts trivially on  $k^\times = k - \{0\}$

- ▶ The **first** lazy cohomology group for a **general** Hopf algebra is given by

$$H_\ell^1(H) = \{\mu \in \text{Alg}(H, k) \mid \mu * \text{id}_H = \text{id}_H * \mu\}$$

# Lazy cohomology

- ▶ In the last decade Schauenburg, Bichon, Carnovale *et al.* defined cohomology groups  $H_\ell^1(H)$  and  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for an **arbitrary** Hopf algebra  $H$ . These groups are called the **lazy cohomology** groups of  $H$ 
  - On the class of **cocommutative** Hopf algebras the lazy cohomology groups **coincide** with the cohomology groups introduced by **Sweedler** (1968)
- ▶ In particular, if  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$ , then lazy cohomology coincides with **group cohomology**

$$H_\ell^i(H) \cong H^i(G, k^\times) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where  $G$  acts trivially on  $k^\times = k - \{0\}$

- ▶ The **first** lazy cohomology group for a **general** Hopf algebra is given by

$$H_\ell^1(H) = \{\mu \in \text{Alg}(H, k) \mid \mu * \text{id}_H = \text{id}_H * \mu\}$$

# Lazy cohomology

- ▶ In the last decade Schauenburg, Bichon, Carnovale *et al.* defined cohomology groups  $H_\ell^1(H)$  and  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for an **arbitrary** Hopf algebra  $H$ . These groups are called the **lazy cohomology** groups of  $H$ 
  - On the class of **cocommutative** Hopf algebras the lazy cohomology groups **coincide** with the cohomology groups introduced by **Sweedler** (1968)
- ▶ In particular, if  $H = k[G]$  is the **algebra of a group**  $G$ , then lazy cohomology coincides with **group cohomology**

$$H_\ell^i(H) \cong H^i(G, k^\times) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where  $G$  acts trivially on  $k^\times = k - \{0\}$

- ▶ The **first** lazy cohomology group for a **general** Hopf algebra is given by

$$H_\ell^1(H) = \{\mu \in \text{Alg}(H, k) \mid \mu * \text{id}_H = \text{id}_H * \mu\}$$

# The second lazy cohomology group

- For the **second** lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  only **few computations** (for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras) had been done

**Our original motivation.** Compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for **more** Hopf algebras

We decided to try to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$

- Proposition.** For any finite group  $G$ ,

$$H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G)) \cong H_\ell^2(G)$$

where  $H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$

- Proof.** The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is dual of the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$

For a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra  $H$  with dual Hopf algebra  $H^*$ , we have the identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{twist on } H^* \\ \text{lazy two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{invariant twist on } H^* \\ \text{cohomologically trivial two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{trivial twist on } H^* \end{array}$$

# The second lazy cohomology group

- For the **second** lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  only **few computations** (for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras) had been done

**Our original motivation.** Compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for **more** Hopf algebras

We decided to try to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$

- Proposition.** For any finite group  $G$ ,

$$H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G)) \cong H_\ell^2(G)$$

where  $H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$

- Proof.** The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is dual of the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$

For a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra  $H$  with dual Hopf algebra  $H^*$ , we have the identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{twist on } H^* \\ \text{lazy two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{invariant twist on } H^* \\ \text{cohomologically trivial two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{trivial twist on } H^* \end{array}$$

# The second lazy cohomology group

- ▶ For the **second** lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  only **few computations** (for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras) had been done

**Our original motivation.** Compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for **more** Hopf algebras

We decided to try to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$

- ▶ **Proposition.** For any **finite group**  $G$ ,

$$H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G)) \cong H_\ell^2(G)$$

where  $H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$

- ▶ **Proof.** The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is dual of the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$

For a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra  $H$  with dual Hopf algebra  $H^*$ , we have the identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{twist on } H^* \\ \text{lazy two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{invariant twist on } H^* \\ \text{cohomologically trivial two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{trivial twist on } H^* \end{array}$$

# The second lazy cohomology group

- ▶ For the **second** lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  only **few computations** (for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras) had been done

**Our original motivation.** Compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for **more** Hopf algebras

We decided to try to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$

- ▶ **Proposition.** For any **finite group**  $G$ ,

$$H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G)) \cong H_\ell^2(G)$$

where  $H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$

- ▶ **Proof.** The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is dual of the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$

For a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra  $H$  with dual Hopf algebra  $H^*$ , we have the identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{twist on } H^* \\ \text{lazy two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{invariant twist on } H^* \\ \text{cohomologically trivial two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{trivial twist on } H^* \end{array}$$

# The second lazy cohomology group

- ▶ For the **second** lazy cohomology group  $H_\ell^2(H)$  only **few computations** (for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras) had been done

**Our original motivation.** Compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for **more** Hopf algebras

We decided to try to compute  $H_\ell^2(H)$  for the Hopf algebras  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$

- ▶ **Proposition.** For any **finite group**  $G$ ,

$$H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(G)) \cong H_\ell^2(G)$$

where  $H_\ell^2(G) = \{\text{invariant twists on } k[G]\} / \{\text{trivial twists}\}$

- ▶ **Proof.** The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is dual of the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$

For a **finite-dimensional** Hopf algebra  $H$  with **dual** Hopf algebra  $H^*$ , we have the **identifications**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{twist on } H^* \\ \text{lazy two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{invariant twist on } H^* \\ \text{cohomologically trivial two-cocycle on } H & \longleftrightarrow & \text{trivial twist on } H^* \end{array}$$

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any **finite group  $G$**

let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of **pairs**  $(A, b)$  where

(i)  $A$  is an **abelian normal subgroup** of  $G$  and

(ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  **$G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form** on the **character group**  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$

- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is **finite** and can be “computed in finite time”

It is **non-empty** since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$

- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :  
Such a group is what is called a **group of central type**

In particular, it is a **square group**:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$

- ▶ **Examples.**  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any finite group  $G$

let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of pairs  $(A, b)$  where

(i)  $A$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  and

(ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  $G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form on the character group  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$

- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is finite and can be “computed in finite time”

It is non-empty since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$

- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :

Such a group is what is called a group of central type

In particular, it is a square group:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$

- ▶ Examples.  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any finite group  $G$   
let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of pairs  $(A, b)$  where
  - (i)  $A$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  and
  - (ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  $G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form on the character group  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$
- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is finite and can be “computed in finite time”  
It is non-empty since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$
- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :  
Such a group is what is called a group of central type  
In particular, it is a square group:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$
- ▶ Examples.  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any **finite group**  $G$

let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of **pairs**  $(A, b)$  where

(i)  $A$  is an **abelian normal subgroup** of  $G$  and

(ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  **$G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form** on the **character group**  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$

- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is **finite** and can be “computed in finite time”

It is **non-empty** since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$

- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :

Such a group is what is called a **group of central type**

In particular, it is a **square group**:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$

- ▶ Examples.  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any **finite group**  $G$

let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of **pairs**  $(A, b)$  where

(i)  $A$  is an **abelian normal subgroup** of  $G$  and

(ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  **$G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form** on the **character group**  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$

- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is **finite** and can be “computed in finite time”

It is **non-empty** since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$

- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :

Such a group is what is called a **group of central type**

In particular, it is a **square group**:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$

- ▶ Examples.  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

# First ingredient: the set $\mathcal{B}(G)$

- ▶ For any finite group  $G$   
let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the set of pairs  $(A, b)$  where
  - (i)  $A$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  and
  - (ii)  $b : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  is a  $k^\times$ -valued  $G$ -invariant non-degenerate alternating biadditive form on the character group  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$
- ▶ The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is finite and can be “computed in finite time”  
It is non-empty since it always contains the trivial pair  $(\{1\}, b \equiv 1)$
- ▶ There is a further restriction on the groups  $A$  such that  $(A, b) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ :  
Such a group is what is called a group of central type  
In particular, it is a square group:  $A \cong A' \times A'$  for some subgroup  $A'$
- ▶ **Examples.**  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial if  $G$  is simple, or  $G = S_n$  (symmetric groups), or  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

## Second ingredient: class-preserving automorphisms

- Let  $\text{Int}_k(G)$  be the group of automorphisms of  $G$  induced by the conjugation

$$\text{ad}(a) : g \mapsto aga^{-1} \quad (g \in G)$$

by some invertible element  $a$  of the group algebra  $k[G]$ :

$$\text{Int}_k(G) = \text{Aut}(G) \cap \text{ad}(k[G]^\times)$$

- Remarks.** (i) If  $\text{ad}(a) \in \text{Int}_k(G)$ , then the unit  $a$  belongs to the normalizer  $N$  of  $G$  in  $k[G]^\times$

(ii) By character theory, if  $k$  is of characteristic prime to  $|G|$  and is big enough (e.g., algebraically closed), then  $\text{Int}_k(G)$  consists of all automorphisms preserving each conjugacy class of  $G$

- The group  $\text{Int}_k(G)$  contains the group  $\text{Inn}(G)$  of inner automorphisms as a normal subgroup and we may consider the quotient group

$$\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is **algebraically closed of characteristic zero**

► Theorem (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H^2_c(G) \rightarrow B(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and  
which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

► Consequences (a) The group  $H^2_c(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H^2_c(G) = 1$  if  $B(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and*

*which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and*

*which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and  
which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and  
which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and  
which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# The main theorem

Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero

- ▶ **Theorem** (with P. Guillot) *There is a (set-theoretic) map*

$$\Theta : H_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

*whose fibers are in bijection with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  and  
which is surjective if  $|G|$  is odd*

- ▶ **Consequences** (a) The group  $H_{\ell}^2(G)$  is finite

(b) We have  $H_{\ell}^2(G) = 1$  if  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is trivial and  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

There are many groups with  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and having no normal abelian square subgroups:

e.g.,  $G$  simple,  $G = S_n$ , and  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

For such groups all invariant twists are trivial

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# The abelian case

- ▶ Before explaining the construction of the map  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  for an arbitrary group, we compute the lazy cohomology of abelian groups
- ▶ Let  $A$  be an **abelian group** and  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$  its group of **characters**

The following **isomorphisms** hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\ell^2(A) &\cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(A)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\cong H_\ell^2(k[\widehat{A}]) && \text{(discrete Fourier transform)} \\ &\cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_2(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}), k^\times) && \text{(universal coefficient theorem)} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\widehat{A}), k^\times) \\ &= \{ \text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A} \} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let us give a **direct expression** for the composite isomorphism

$$H_\ell^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{ \text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A} \}$$

# The abelian case

- ▶ Before explaining the construction of the map  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  for an arbitrary group, we compute the lazy cohomology of abelian groups
- ▶ Let  $A$  be an **abelian group** and  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$  its group of **characters**

The following **isomorphisms** hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\ell^2(A) &\cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(A)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\cong H_\ell^2(k[\widehat{A}]) && \text{(discrete Fourier transform)} \\ &\cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_2(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}), k^\times) && \text{(universal coefficient theorem)} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\widehat{A}), k^\times) \\ &= \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let us give a **direct expression** for the composite isomorphism

$$H_\ell^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\}$$

# The abelian case

- ▶ Before explaining the construction of the map  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  for an arbitrary group, we compute the lazy cohomology of abelian groups
- ▶ Let  $A$  be an **abelian group** and  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$  its group of **characters**

The following **isomorphisms** hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\ell^2(A) &\cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(A)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\cong H_\ell^2(k[\widehat{A}]) && \text{(discrete Fourier transform)} \\ &\cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_2(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}), k^\times) && \text{(universal coefficient theorem)} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\widehat{A}), k^\times) \\ &= \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let us give a **direct expression** for the composite isomorphism

$$H_\ell^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\}$$

# The abelian case

- ▶ Before explaining the construction of the map  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  for an arbitrary group, we compute the lazy cohomology of abelian groups
- ▶ Let  $A$  be an **abelian group** and  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$  its group of **characters**

The following **isomorphisms** hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\ell^2(A) &\cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(A)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\cong H_\ell^2(k[\widehat{A}]) && \text{(discrete Fourier transform)} \\ &\cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_2(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}), k^\times) && \text{(universal coefficient theorem)} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\widehat{A}), k^\times) \\ &= \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let us give a **direct expression** for the composite isomorphism

$$H_\ell^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\}$$

# The abelian case

- ▶ Before explaining the construction of the map  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  for an arbitrary group, we compute the lazy cohomology of abelian groups
- ▶ Let  $A$  be an **abelian group** and  $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$  its group of **characters**

The following **isomorphisms** hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\ell^2(A) &\cong H_\ell^2(\mathcal{O}_k(A)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\cong H_\ell^2(k[\widehat{A}]) && \text{(discrete Fourier transform)} \\ &\cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(H_2(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}), k^\times) && \text{(universal coefficient theorem)} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\widehat{A}), k^\times) \\ &= \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let us give a **direct expression** for the composite isomorphism

$$H_\ell^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{alternating bicharacters on } \widehat{A}\}$$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$

Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a bicharacter on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is alternating because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$

Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a bicharacter on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is alternating because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$

Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a **bicharacter** on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is **alternating** because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$

Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a **bicharacter** on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is **alternating** because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$   
Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a **bicharacter** on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is **alternating** because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# Explicit isomorphism

- ▶ To any **twist**  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$  we attach an **alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$  as follows:
- ▶ Each character  $\rho \in \widehat{A}$  defines an **idempotent** of the algebra  $k[A]$  by

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{g \in A} \rho(g) g$$

The set  $\{e_\rho\}_{\rho \in \widehat{A}}$  is a basis of  $k[A]$

- ▶ Let  $F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  be a **twist** representing an element of  $H_\ell^2(A)$

Consider  $R_F = F_{21} F^{-1}$  and express it in the basis  $\{e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma\}_{\rho, \sigma \in \widehat{A}}$

$$R_F = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{A}} b_F(\rho, \sigma) e_\rho \otimes e_\sigma \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$$

Then  $b_F$  is a **bicharacter** on  $\widehat{A}$ ; it is **alternating** because  $(R_F)^{-1} = (R_F)_{21}$

# The universal $R$ -matrix attached to a twist

- ▶ Let us now explain how to construct the map

$$\Theta : \mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

for an arbitrary finite group  $G$

Start with an element of  $\mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G)$  and  
represent it by an invariant twist  $F \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$

- ▶ As in the abelian case, consider

$$R_F = F_{21} F^{-1} \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

It is a universal  $R$ -matrix for the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$ , i.e., an invertible element such that

$$\Delta(a) R_F = R_F \Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in k[G]$$

and

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_H)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{12}$$

# The universal $R$ -matrix attached to a twist

- ▶ Let us now explain how to construct the map

$$\Theta : \mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

for an **arbitrary finite group**  $G$

Start with an element of  $\mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G)$  and  
represent it by an **invariant twist**  $F \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$

- ▶ As in the abelian case, consider

$$R_F = F_{21} F^{-1} \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

It is a **universal  $R$ -matrix** for the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$ , i.e., an invertible element such that

$$\Delta(a) R_F = R_F \Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in k[G]$$

and

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_H)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{12}$$

# The universal $R$ -matrix attached to a twist

- ▶ Let us now explain how to construct the map

$$\Theta : \mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

for an **arbitrary finite group**  $G$

Start with an element of  $\mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G)$  and  
represent it by an **invariant twist**  $F \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$

- ▶ As in the abelian case, consider

$$R_F = F_{21} F^{-1} \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

It is a **universal  $R$ -matrix** for the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$ , i.e., an invertible element such that

$$\Delta(a) R_F = R_F \Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in k[G]$$

and

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_H)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{12}$$

# The universal $R$ -matrix attached to a twist

- ▶ Let us now explain how to construct the map

$$\Theta : \mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$$

for an arbitrary finite group  $G$

Start with an element of  $\mathrm{H}_{\ell}^2(G)$  and  
represent it by an invariant twist  $F \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$

- ▶ As in the abelian case, consider

$$R_F = F_{21} F^{-1} \in k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

It is a universal  $R$ -matrix for the Hopf algebra  $k[G]$ , i.e., an invertible element such that

$$\Delta(a) R_F = R_F \Delta(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in k[G]$$

and

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_H)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \Delta)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{12}$$

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  $G$  invariant alternating bicharacter  $b_F : \hat{A} \times \hat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$
- The bicharacter  $b_F$  is non-degenerate by the minimality of  $A$ , one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in B(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H^2_c(G)$**

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  $G$  invariant alternating bicharacter  $b_F : \hat{A} \times \hat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$
- The bicharacter  $b_F$  is non-degenerate by the minimality of  $A$ , one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in B(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H^2_c(G)$**

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ .  
Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$

- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  $G$  invariant alternating bicharacter  $b_F : \hat{A} \times \hat{A} \rightarrow k^*$ 
  - The bicharacter  $b_F$  is non-degenerate by the minimality of  $A$ , one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in B(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H^2_c(G)$

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  **$G$ -invariant alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$ 
  - The bicharacter  $b_F$  is **non-degenerate** by the minimality of  $A$ ; one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H_\ell^2(G)$**

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  **$G$ -invariant alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$ 
  - The bicharacter  $b_F$  is **non-degenerate** by the minimality of  $A$ ; one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H_\ell^2(G)$**

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  **$G$ -invariant alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$
- The bicharacter  $b_F$  is **non-degenerate** by the minimality of  $A$ ; one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of  $F$  in  $H_\ell^2(G)$**

# The map $\Theta$

- ▶ By work of Radford, there is a **minimal** Hopf subalgebra  $H' \subset k[G]$  such that

$$R_F \in H' \otimes H'$$

Moreover, since  $k[G]$  is cocommutative,  $H'$  is **bicommutative**

- One deduces that  $H' = k[A]$  where  $A$  is an **abelian subgroup** of  $G$ . Since  $F$  is invariant, so is  $R_F$ , and  $A$  is **normal** in  $G$
- ▶ By duality, the universal  $R$ -matrix  $R_F \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$  corresponds to a  **$G$ -invariant alternating bicharacter**  $b_F : \widehat{A} \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$
- The bicharacter  $b_F$  is **non-degenerate** by the minimality of  $A$ ; one sets

$$\Theta(F) = (A, b_F) \in \mathcal{B}(G)$$

- It is easy to check that  $\Theta(F)$  **depends only on the class of**  $F$  in  $H_\ell^2(G)$

# On the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ **Fibers of  $\Theta$ :** To determine them, we use the following fact:

*(Etingof and Gelaki, 2000)* If  $F$  is a twist such that  $R_F = 1 \otimes 1$ , equivalently  $F$  is **symmetric**:  $F = F_{21}$ , then

$$F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some invertible element  $a \in k[G]$

**Observation.** The element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an **invariant** twist if and only if  $a$  belongs to the **normalizer**  $N$ , which is equivalent to  $\text{ad}(a)$  belonging to  $\text{Int}_k(G)$

- ▶ Etingof and Gelaki's result follows from classical **Tannakian theory**:

*(Deligne and Milne, 1982)* Any exact and fully faithful symmetric tensor functor from the category of  $k[G]$ -modules to the category of  $k$ -vector spaces is isomorphic to the forgetful functor

A symmetric twist gives rise to a symmetric tensor functor to which Etingof and Gelaki apply this result

# On the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ **Fibers of  $\Theta$ :** To determine them, we use the following fact:

*(Etingof and Gelaki, 2000)* If  $F$  is a twist such that  $R_F = 1 \otimes 1$ , equivalently  $F$  is **symmetric**:  $F = F_{21}$ , then

$$F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some invertible element  $a \in k[G]$

**Observation.** The element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an **invariant** twist if and only if  $a$  belongs to the **normalizer**  $N$ , which is equivalent to  $\text{ad}(a)$  belonging to  $\text{Int}_k(G)$

- ▶ Etingof and Gelaki's result follows from classical **Tannakian theory**:

*(Deligne and Milne, 1982)* Any exact and fully faithful symmetric tensor functor from the category of  $k[G]$ -modules to the category of  $k$ -vector spaces is isomorphic to the forgetful functor

A symmetric twist gives rise to a symmetric tensor functor to which Etingof and Gelaki apply this result

# On the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ **Fibers of  $\Theta$ :** To determine them, we use the following fact:

*(Etingof and Gelaki, 2000)* If  $F$  is a twist such that  $R_F = 1 \otimes 1$ , equivalently  $F$  is **symmetric**:  $F = F_{21}$ , then

$$F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some invertible element  $a \in k[G]$

**Observation.** The element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an **invariant** twist if and only if  $a$  belongs to the **normalizer**  $N$ , which is equivalent to  $\text{ad}(a)$  belonging to  $\text{Int}_k(G)$

- ▶ Etingof and Gelaki's result follows from classical **Tannakian theory**:

*(Deligne and Milne, 1982)* Any exact and fully faithful symmetric tensor functor from the category of  $k[G]$ -modules to the category of  $k$ -vector spaces is isomorphic to the forgetful functor

A symmetric twist gives rise to a symmetric tensor functor to which Etingof and Gelaki apply this result

# On the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ **Fibers of  $\Theta$ :** To determine them, we use the following fact:

(Etingof and Gelaki, 2000) If  $F$  is a twist such that  $R_F = 1 \otimes 1$ , equivalently  $F$  is **symmetric**:  $F = F_{21}$ , then

$$F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$$

for some invertible element  $a \in k[G]$

**Observation.** The element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an **invariant** twist if and only if  $a$  belongs to the **normalizer**  $N$ , which is equivalent to  $\text{ad}(a)$  belonging to  $\text{Int}_k(G)$

- ▶ Etingof and Gelaki's result follows from classical **Tannakian theory**:

(Deligne and Milne, 1982) Any exact and fully faithful symmetric tensor functor from the category of  $k[G]$ -modules to the category of  $k$ -vector spaces is **isomorphic to the forgetful functor**

A symmetric twist gives rise to a symmetric tensor functor to which Etingof and Gelaki apply this result

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# Example of groups with non-trivial $H_\ell^2(G)$

- Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $G$  be the **wreath product**

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/p \wr \mathbb{Z}/p = A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p \quad \text{with } A = \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/p] \quad (= (\mathbb{Z}/p)^p)$$

We have  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$

- Proposition.** *We have*

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{(p-1)/2}$$

- Proof.** The second isomorphism follows from a standard **cohomological calculation**

The first isomorphism is a consequence of the main theorem and the following **interpretation** of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$

# The set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as a colimit

- ▶ **Theorem.** *There is a **bijection***

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigcup_A H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

where the RHS is the **colimit** in the category whose objects are the **abelian normal subgroups**  $A$  of  $G$  and whose arrows are the inclusions

- ▶ **Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a group of odd order such that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and has a unique maximal abelian normal subgroup  $A$ , then*

$$H^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

# The set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as a colimit

- ▶ **Theorem.** *There is a **bijection***

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigcup_A H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

where the RHS is the **colimit** in the category whose objects are the **abelian normal subgroups**  $A$  of  $G$  and whose arrows are the inclusions

- ▶ **Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a group of odd order such that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and has a unique maximal abelian normal subgroup  $A$ , then*

$$H^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

# The set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as a colimit

- **Theorem.** *There is a **bijection***

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigcup_A H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

where the RHS is the **colimit** in the category whose objects are the **abelian normal subgroups**  $A$  of  $G$  and whose arrows are the inclusions

- **Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a group of **odd order** such that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and has a **unique maximal abelian normal subgroup**  $A$ , then*

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

# The set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as a colimit

- **Theorem.** *There is a **bijection***

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigcup_A H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

where the RHS is the **colimit** in the category whose objects are the **abelian normal subgroups**  $A$  of  $G$  and whose arrows are the inclusions

- **Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a group of **odd order** such that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and has a **unique maximal abelian normal subgroup**  $A$ , then*

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

# The set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as a colimit

- **Theorem.** *There is a **bijection***

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigcup_A H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

where the RHS is the **colimit** in the category whose objects are the **abelian normal subgroups**  $A$  of  $G$  and whose arrows are the inclusions

- **Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a group of **odd order** such that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) = 1$  and has a **unique maximal abelian normal subgroup**  $A$ , then*

$$H_\ell^2(G) \cong H^2(\widehat{A}, k^\times)^G$$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist  $F$**  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist  $F$**  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist  $F$**  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist**  $F$  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist**  $F$  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# The alternating group $A_4$

- We now consider a group of **even** order, namely the **alternating group  $A_4$**

We have  $A_4 = V_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3$  with  $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Klein's *Vierergruppe*)

The set  $\mathcal{B}(A_4)$  has **two elements**: ( $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\det$ ) and the trivial one

We have  $\text{Int}_k(A_4) = \text{Inn}(A_4)$ . Thus  $\Theta : H_\ell^2(A_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A_4)$  is injective; since the order of the group is **even**, we cannot use the main theorem to conclude that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Nevertheless,...

- **Theorem.**  $H_\ell^2(A_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Theta$ , we exhibit an **invariant twist**  $F$  such that  $\Theta(F) = (V_4, \det)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} F = & 1 \otimes 1 - (e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_3 \otimes e_3) \\ & + (1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes 1) \\ & + (e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 - e_1 \otimes e_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the three non-zero elements of  $V_4$

# Addendum to the main theorem

- ▶ In the previous examples the group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  was trivial  
**What if it is not?**

We have the following addition to the main theorem

**Proposition.** *The group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is a **subgroup** of  $H_\ell^2(G)$*

- ▶ This result is a consequence of the following facts:
  - (a) For any  $a \in N$  the element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an invariant twist on  $k[G]$  and thus defines an element  $\delta(a) \in H_\ell^2(G)$
  - (b) The kernel of  $\delta : N \rightarrow H_\ell^2(G)$  is the subgroup  $G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)$  and

$$N/[G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)] \cong \text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

The proposition has an interesting application (see next slide)

# Addendum to the main theorem

- ▶ In the previous examples the group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  was trivial  
**What if it is not?**

We have the following addition to the main theorem

**Proposition.** *The group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is a **subgroup** of  $H_\ell^2(G)$*

- ▶ This result is a consequence of the following facts:
  - (a) For any  $a \in N$  the element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an invariant twist on  $k[G]$  and thus defines an element  $\delta(a) \in H_\ell^2(G)$
  - (b) The kernel of  $\delta : N \rightarrow H_\ell^2(G)$  is the subgroup  $G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)$  and

$$N/[G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)] \cong \text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

The proposition has an interesting application (see next slide)

# Addendum to the main theorem

- ▶ In the previous examples the group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  was trivial  
**What if it is not?**

We have the following addition to the main theorem

**Proposition.** *The group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is a **subgroup** of  $H_\ell^2(G)$*

- ▶ This result is a consequence of the following facts:
  - (a) For any  $a \in N$  the element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an invariant twist on  $k[G]$  and thus defines an element  $\delta(a) \in H_\ell^2(G)$
  - (b) The kernel of  $\delta : N \rightarrow H_\ell^2(G)$  is the subgroup  $G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G])^\times$  and

$$N/[G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G])^\times] \cong \text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

The proposition has an interesting application (see next slide)

## Addendum to the main theorem

- ▶ In the previous examples the group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  was trivial  
**What if it is not?**

We have the following addition to the main theorem

**Proposition.** *The group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is a **subgroup** of  $\text{H}_\ell^2(G)$*

- ▶ This result is a consequence of the following facts:
  - (a) For any  $a \in N$  the element  $F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$  is an **invariant twist** on  $k[G]$  and thus defines an element  $\delta(a) \in \text{H}_\ell^2(G)$
  - (b) The **kernel** of  $\delta : N \rightarrow \text{H}_\ell^2(G)$  is the subgroup  $G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)$  and

$$N/[G \cdot \text{Center}(k[G]^\times)] \cong \text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

The proposition has an **interesting application** (see next slide)

# Lazy cohomology is not always abelian

- ▶ (Burnside, 1912) There are groups with non-trivial  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $729 = 3^6$ )

Burnside stated that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is always abelian, but...

- ▶ (Sah, 1968) There are groups with non-abelian  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $32768 = 2^{15}$ )
- ▶ Since  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \subset H^2_c(G)$  we obtain

*Corollary. There are finite groups  $G$  for which  $H^2_c(G)$  is non-abelian*

For such a group  $G$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is the first known example of a Hopf algebra for which the lazy cohomology is non-abelian

# Lazy cohomology is not always abelian

- ▶ (Burnside, 1912) There are groups with non-trivial  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $729 = 3^6$ )

Burnside stated that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is always abelian, but...

- ▶ (Sah, 1968) There are groups with non-abelian  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $32768 = 2^{15}$ )
- ▶ Since  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \subset H^2_c(G)$  we obtain

*Corollary. There are finite groups  $G$  for which  $H^2_c(G)$  is non-abelian*

For such a group  $G$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is the first known example of a Hopf algebra for which the lazy cohomology is non-abelian

# Lazy cohomology is not always abelian

- ▶ (Burnside, 1912) There are groups with **non-trivial**  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of **order**  $729 = 3^6$ )

Burnside stated that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is always abelian, but...

- ▶ (Sah, 1968) There are groups with **non-abelian**  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of **order**  $32768 = 2^{15}$ )

- ▶ Since  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \subset H^2_c(G)$  we obtain

*Corollary. There are finite groups  $G$  for which  $H^2_c(G)$  is non-abelian*

For such a group  $G$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is the first known example of a Hopf algebra for which the **lazy cohomology** is non-abelian

# Lazy cohomology is not always abelian

- ▶ (Burnside, 1912) There are groups with **non-trivial**  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of **order**  $729 = 3^6$ )

Burnside stated that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is always abelian, but...

- ▶ (Sah, 1968) There are groups with **non-abelian**  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of **order**  $32768 = 2^{15}$ )

- ▶ Since  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \subset H^2_c(G)$  we obtain

*Corollary. There are finite groups  $G$  for which  $H^2_c(G)$  is non-abelian*

For such a group  $G$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is the first known example of a Hopf algebra for which the **lazy cohomology** is non-abelian

# Lazy cohomology is not always abelian

- ▶ (Burnside, 1912) There are groups with non-trivial  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $729 = 3^6$ )

Burnside stated that  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is always abelian, but...

- ▶ (Sah, 1968) There are groups with non-abelian  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  (his smallest example is of order  $32768 = 2^{15}$ )
- ▶ Since  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \subset H_\ell^2(G)$  we obtain

**Corollary.** *There are finite groups  $G$  for which  $H_\ell^2(G)$  is non-abelian*

For such a group  $G$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_k(G)$  is the first known example of a Hopf algebra for which the **lazy cohomology** is non-abelian

# Wall's group

- G. E. Wall (1947) improved Burnside's result by showing that for

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/8 \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/8)$$

(of order 32) the group  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  is **not trivial**:

$$\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$$

- Wall's group has the following **presentation**:

$$G = \langle s, t, u \mid s^2 = t^2 = u^8 = 1, st = ts, sus^{-1} = u^3, tut^{-1} = u^5 \rangle$$

- The set  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  has **two elements**:  $(\langle t, u^4 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \det)$  and the trivial one
- Therefore,  $H_\ell^2(G)$  has order 4 or 2 according as  $\Theta$  is surjective or not  
We were **not able to conclude**

# An invariant twist on Wall's group

- The non-trivial element of  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$  may be represented by the **non-inner automorphism**  $\alpha$  given by

$$\alpha(s) = u^4 s, \quad \alpha(t) = u^4 t, \quad \alpha(u) = u$$

- A computer search gave us the following invertible  $a \in k[G]$  such that  $\alpha = \text{ad}(a)$ :

$$a = \frac{1}{2} (1 + u^4) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} u (1 - u^2 - u^4 + u^6)$$

- Then

$$F = (a \otimes a) \Delta(a^{-1})$$

is a **symmetric invariant twist** representing the **non-zero element** of  $H_\ell^2(G)$  **in the subgroup**  $\text{Int}_k(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$ ; the twist  $F$  is a sum of 52 pure tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} 8F = & 2(u_{00} + u_{44}) + (u_{11} + u_{33} + u_{55} + u_{77}) \\ & + u_{01} + u_{03} + u_{04} + u_{05} + u_{07} + u_{12} + u_{17} + u_{25} + u_{35} + u_{36} + u_{67} \\ & + u_{10} + u_{30} + u_{40} + u_{50} + u_{70} + u_{21} + u_{71} + u_{52} + u_{53} + u_{63} + u_{76} \\ & - (u_{13} + u_{14} + u_{15} + u_{16} + u_{23} + u_{27} + u_{34} + u_{37} + u_{45} + u_{47} + u_{56} + u_{57}) \\ & - (u_{31} + u_{41} + u_{51} + u_{61} + u_{32} + u_{72} + u_{43} + u_{73} + u_{54} + u_{74} + u_{65} + u_{75}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_{ij} = u^i \otimes u^j$  ( $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ )

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# Rationality

- ▶ A word about the case when the ground field  $k$  is **not algebraically closed** (but still of characteristic zero)

Let  $\bar{k}$  be the **algebraic closure** of  $k$

- ▶ **Theorem.** *If all  $\bar{k}$ -representations of  $G$  can be realized over  $k$ , then there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(k, Z(G)) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/k) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $H^1(k, Z(G))$  is the first **Galois cohomology** group of  $k$  with coefficients in the **center** of  $G$

In particular, if  $G$  is **centerless**, then  $H_\ell^2(G/k) \cong H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k})$

- ▶ **Ingredients of the proof**

- view the groups defining lazy cohomology as **algebraic groups**
- compute their tangent **Lie algebras**
- use **Hilbert's Theorem 90**

# Rationality

- ▶ A word about the case when the ground field  $k$  is **not algebraically closed** (but still of characteristic zero)

Let  $\bar{k}$  be the **algebraic closure** of  $k$

- ▶ **Theorem.** *If all  $\bar{k}$ -representations of  $G$  can be realized over  $k$ , then there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(k, Z(G)) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/k) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $H^1(k, Z(G))$  is the first **Galois cohomology** group of  $k$  with coefficients in the **center** of  $G$

In particular, if  $G$  is **centerless**, then  $H_\ell^2(G/k) \cong H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k})$

- ▶ **Ingredients of the proof**

- view the groups defining lazy cohomology as **algebraic groups**
- compute their tangent **Lie algebras**
- use **Hilbert's Theorem 90**

# Rationality

- ▶ A word about the case when the ground field  $k$  is **not algebraically closed** (but still of characteristic zero)

Let  $\bar{k}$  be the **algebraic closure** of  $k$

- ▶ **Theorem.** *If all  $\bar{k}$ -representations of  $G$  can be realized over  $k$ , then there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(k, Z(G)) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/k) \longrightarrow H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $H^1(k, Z(G))$  is the first **Galois cohomology** group of  $k$  with coefficients in the **center** of  $G$

In particular, if  $G$  is **centerless**, then  $H_\ell^2(G/k) \cong H_\ell^2(G/\bar{k})$

- ▶ **Ingredients of the proof**

- view the groups defining lazy cohomology as **algebraic groups**
- compute their tangent **Lie algebras**
- use **Hilbert's Theorem 90**

## A final motivation for computing $H_\ell^2(G)$ : torsors

- The group  $H_\ell^2(G)$  classifies analogues of **torsors** in algebraic geometry
- As in algebraic geometry such torsors can be used to **twist  $G$ -algebras** (commutative or not)

Unlike what happens in the classical case, we obtain **new algebras** even over an algebraically closed field

- See **forthcoming joint paper** with Guillot where we “twist”  $G$ -algebras using explicit **invariant twists** on some group algebras (such as  $A_4$ )

# Plan

Drinfeld twists and cohomology

The main theorem

On the proof

Examples

Rationality issues

References

# References on Hopf algebras

J. Bichon, G. Carnovale, *Lazy cohomology: an analogue of the Schur multiplier for arbitrary Hopf algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 204 (2006), 627–665.

V. G. Drinfeld, *Quasi-Hopf algebras*, Algebra i Analiz 1 (1989), 114–148; English translation: Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), 1419–1457.

P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, *The classification of triangular semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2000, no. 5, 223–234.

P. Guillot, C. Kassel, *Cohomology of invariant Drinfeld twists on group algebras*, arXiv:0903.2807.

M. Movshev, *Twisting in group algebras of finite groups*, Funktsional. Anal. Prilozhen. 27 (1993), 17–23; English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. 27 (1993), 240–244.

D. E. Radford, *Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras* J. Algebra 157 (1993), no. 2, 285–315.

P. Schauenburg, *Hopf bimodules, coquasibialgebras, and an exact sequence of Kac*, Adv. Math. 165 (2002), 194–263.

M. E. Sweedler, *Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968), 205–239.

## References on groups

W. Burnside, *On the outer automorphisms of a group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 11 (1912), 225–245.

W. Feit, G. M. Seitz, *On finite rational groups and related topics*, Illinois J. Math. 33 (1989), no. 1, 103–131.

S. Jackowski, Z. Marciniak, *Group automorphisms inducing the identity map on cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 44 (1987), 241–250.

K. W. Roggenkamp, A. Zimmermann, *A counterexample for the isomorphism-problem of polycyclic groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 103 (1995), no. 1, 101–103.

C.-H. Sah, *Automorphisms of finite groups*, J. Algebra 10 (1968), 47–68.

G. E. Wall, *Finite groups with class-preserving outer automorphisms*, J. London Math. Soc. 22 (1947), 315–320.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION