

# Polynomial identities

Christian Kassel

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée  
CNRS - Université de Strasbourg  
Strasbourg, France

Colloquium  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, USA  
30 September 2009

# Overview

- We give a short introduction to the **classical theory of polynomial identities**...
- ... followed by a description of some **recent developments** involving group gradings
- Our main objects of study are **matrix algebras**

# The classical theory of polynomial identities

# Definition of polynomial identities

- We fix a field  $k$  of **characteristic zero** (e.g.,  $k = \mathbb{C}$ )

All algebras we shall consider are **associative unital  $k$ -algebras**

- **Definition.** Let  $A$  be an algebra. A **polynomial identity** (PI) for  $A$  is a non-zero polynomial  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  in a finite number of non-commuting variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with coefficients in  $k$  such that

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ .

- We shall only consider **homogeneous** PIs

(Recall that a polynomial is **homogeneous** if it is a linear combination of monomials all having the same degree in each variable)

There is **no loss of generality** in considering only homogeneous PIs since each homogeneous summand of a PI is a PI

# PI-algebras

- An algebra for which there is a PI is called a **PI-algebra**
- Any **commutative algebra**  $A$  is a PI-algebra since

$$f(X, Y) = [X, Y] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} XY - YX$$

is a PI for  $A$ .

- As we shall see, all **finite-dimensional algebras** are PI-algebras

In particular, all matrix algebras are PI-algebras

- **Not all algebras are PI-algebras:**

E.g., **free algebras**  $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  with  $n \geq 2$  are not PI-algebras

# A polynomial identity for $2 \times 2$ -matrices

- ▶ **A degree 5 polynomial identity:** The polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f(X, Y, Z) &= [[X, Y]^2, Z] \\ &= XYXYZ - XY^2XZ - YX^2YZ + YXYXZ \\ &\quad - ZXYXY + ZXY^2X + ZYX^2Y - ZYXYX \end{aligned}$$

is a PI for the algebra  $M_2(k)$  of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices with entries in  $k$ .

Consequently,  $M_2(k)$  is a **PI-algebra**

- ▶ *Proof.* By Cayley-Hamilton any  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $M$  satisfies the identity

$$M^2 = \text{tr}(M) M - \det(M) I$$

If  $M = [X, Y]$ , then  $\text{tr}(M) = 0$ . Hence its **square**

$$M^2 = -\det(M) I$$

is a **scalar matrix**, which then commutes with any matrix  $Z$ . □

# A polynomial identity for $2 \times 2$ -matrices

- ▶ **A degree 5 polynomial identity:** The polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}f(X, Y, Z) &= [[X, Y]^2, Z] \\&= XYXYZ - XY^2XZ - YX^2YZ + YXYXZ \\&\quad - ZXYXY + ZXY^2X + ZYX^2Y - ZYXYX\end{aligned}$$

is a PI for the algebra  $M_2(k)$  of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices with entries in  $k$ .

Consequently,  $M_2(k)$  is a **PI-algebra**

- ▶ *Proof.* By **Cayley-Hamilton** any  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $M$  satisfies the identity

$$M^2 = \text{tr}(M) M - \det(M) I$$

If  $M = [X, Y]$ , then  $\text{tr}(M) = 0$ . Hence its **square**

$$M^2 = -\det(M) I$$

is a **scalar matrix**, which then commutes with any matrix  $Z$ . □

# Subalgebras of PI-algebras are PI-algebras

Any **subalgebra** of a PI-algebra is clearly a PI-algebra

Let us draw two simple interesting consequences:

- ▶ **Quaternions form a PI-algebra:** This follows from the well-known fact that the algebra  $\mathbb{H}$  of quaternions is a subalgebra of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$
- ▶ **Non-linearity of free algebras:** Since  $M_2(K)$  is a PI-algebra for any field extension  $K$  of  $k$  and a non-commutative free algebra is not a PI-algebra, one **cannot embed**  $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  (with  $n \geq 2$ ) as a subalgebra into a matrix algebra of the form  $M_2(K)$

**Remark.** By contrast, any **free group**  $F_n$  can be embedded as a subgroup into  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , hence into the **general linear group**  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$

# Subalgebras of PI-algebras are PI-algebras

Any **subalgebra** of a PI-algebra is clearly a **PI-algebra**

Let us draw two simple interesting consequences:

- ▶ **Quaternions form a PI-algebra:** This follows from the well-known fact that the algebra  $\mathbb{H}$  of quaternions is a subalgebra of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$
- ▶ **Non-linearity of free algebras:** Since  $M_2(K)$  is a PI-algebra for any field extension  $K$  of  $k$  and a non-commutative free algebra is not a PI-algebra, one **cannot embed**  $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  (with  $n \geq 2$ ) as a subalgebra into a matrix algebra of the form  $M_2(K)$

**Remark.** By contrast, any **free group**  $F_n$  can be embedded as a subgroup into  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , hence into the **general linear group**  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$

# The matrix algebra $M_3(k)$ is a PI-algebra

We construct a PI for the algebra  $M_3(k)$  of  **$3 \times 3$ -matrices** as follows:

By Cayley-Hamilton any matrix  $X \in M_3(k)$  satisfies a relation of the form

$$X^3 = \lambda_2 X^2 + \lambda_1 X + \lambda_0 I$$

where  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are scalars (depending on  $X$ ). Taking the commutator with another matrix  $Y$ , we obtain

$$[X^3, Y] = \lambda_2 [X^2, Y] + \lambda_1 [X, Y]$$

Now we take the commutator with  $[X, Y]$ , thus obtaining

$$[[X^3, Y], [X, Y]] = \lambda_2 [[X^2, Y], [X, Y]]$$

Finally, taking the commutator with  $[[X^2, Y], [X, Y]]$ , we obtain for  $M_3(k)$  the **degree 11 polynomial identity**

$$[[[X^3, Y], [X, Y]], [[X^2, Y], [X, Y]]]$$

# All matrix algebras are PI-algebras

- Proceeding in a similar fashion, we obtain a polynomial identity for any algebra for which there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that any element  $a \in A$  satisfies an identity of the form

$$a^n + \lambda_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \cdots + \lambda_1a + \lambda_0 = 0$$

where  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$  are scalars (depending on  $a$ )

- In this way we obtain for the algebra  $M_n(k)$  of  $n \times n$ -matrices a **polynomial identity of degree**  $2^{n+1} - 2^{n-1} - 1$
- Are there polynomial identities for  $M_n(k)$  of (much) **smaller degree**?

For an **answer**, see the next slides

# Standard polynomials

- ▶ The **standard polynomial**  $S_d$  of degree  $d$  is given by

$$S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_d} \varepsilon(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)} X_{\sigma(2)} \cdots X_{\sigma(d)}$$

Clearly,  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$  if  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$

**Proposition.** *If  $\dim(A) < d$ , then  $S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is a PI for  $A$*

- ▶ *Proof.* Let  $(e_i)_i$  be a basis of  $A$ . Then for any  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in A$  the element  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d)$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $S_d(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d})$ . Each of the latter must be zero since for dimension reasons there must be a repetition in each sequence  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d}$ . □
- ▶ Consequently, any **finite-dimensional algebra** is a **PI-algebra**
  - It follows from the above proposition that there is a PI of degree  $n^2 + 1$  for the **matrix algebra**  $M_n(k)$
  - We can still do **better**...

# Standard polynomials

- ▶ The **standard polynomial**  $S_d$  of degree  $d$  is given by

$$S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_d} \varepsilon(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)} X_{\sigma(2)} \cdots X_{\sigma(d)}$$

Clearly,  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$  if  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$

**Proposition.** *If  $\dim(A) < d$ , then  $S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is a PI for  $A$*

- ▶ *Proof.* Let  $(e_i)_i$  be a basis of  $A$ . Then for any  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in A$  the element  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d)$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $S_d(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d})$ . Each of the latter must be zero since for dimension reasons there must be a repetition in each sequence  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d}$ . □
- ▶ Consequently, any **finite-dimensional algebra** is a **PI-algebra**
  - It follows from the above proposition that there is a PI of degree  $n^2 + 1$  for the **matrix algebra**  $M_n(k)$
  - We can still do **better**...

# Standard polynomials

- ▶ The **standard polynomial**  $S_d$  of degree  $d$  is given by

$$S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_d} \varepsilon(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)} X_{\sigma(2)} \cdots X_{\sigma(d)}$$

Clearly,  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$  if  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$

**Proposition.** *If  $\dim(A) < d$ , then  $S_d(X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is a PI for  $A$*

- ▶ *Proof.* Let  $(e_i)_i$  be a basis of  $A$ . Then for any  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in A$  the element  $S_d(a_1, \dots, a_d)$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $S_d(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d})$ . Each of the latter must be zero since for dimension reasons there must be a repetition in each sequence  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d}$ . □
- ▶ Consequently, any **finite-dimensional algebra** is a **PI-algebra**
  - It follows from the above proposition that there is a PI of degree  $n^2 + 1$  for the **matrix algebra**  $M_n(k)$
  - We can still do **better**...

# The Amitsur-Levitzki theorem

- The following deep result is due to Amitsur and Levitzki (1950)

**Theorem.** *The standard polynomial  $S_{2n}(X_1, \dots, X_{2n})$  of degree  $2n$  is a polynomial identity for the matrix algebra  $M_n(k)$*

- The degree  $2n$  is **optimal** because there are no PIs of degree  $< 2n$  for  $M_n(k)$
- The proof of the theorem is difficult, but the latter assertion is easy to prove  
So let us give a proof... (see next slide)

# Multilinear polynomials

- A homogeneous polynomial is called **multilinear** if it is a linear combination of monomials all of degree one in each variable
- A **multilinear polynomial** of degree  $d$  is of the form

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_d} \lambda_\sigma X_{\sigma(1)} X_{\sigma(2)} \cdots X_{\sigma(d)} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda_\sigma$  are scalars

- By a standard **multilinearization** procedure, one shows that if an algebra has a homogeneous PI of some degree, then it has a multilinear PI of the same degree

# There are no PIs of degree $< 2n$ for $M_n(k)$

- Indeed, suppose that  $M_n(k)$  has a PI of degree  $d \leq 2n - 1$ , then it would have a **multilinear PI** of the form (1)
- To prove that **this is impossible**, it suffices to exhibit  $d$  matrices  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_d$  such that the product  $M_1 M_2 \cdots M_d$  is non-zero and the product

$$M_{\sigma(1)} M_{\sigma(2)} \cdots M_{\sigma(d)}$$

of any other permutation  $\sigma$  of these matrices is zero

- Consider the **elementary** matrices  $E_{i,j}$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ; they form a basis of  $M_n(k)$  and they multiply as

$$E_{i,j} E_{m,n} = \delta_{j,m} E_{i,n}$$

The product of the  $2n - 1$  matrices

$$E_{1,1}, E_{1,2}, E_{2,2}, E_{2,3}, E_{3,3}, \dots, E_{n-1,n}, E_{n,n}$$

is non-zero whereas the product of any other permutation of this sequence of matrices is zero. This shows that  **$M_n(k)$  has no PI of degree  $2n - 1$**

- The same proof can be made to work for **any degree  $d < 2n - 1$**

# T-ideals

- Let  $A$  be a PI-algebra. The set  $\text{Id}(A)$  of polynomial identities for  $A$  (together with 0) forms a two-sided ideal of the free algebra  $k\langle X_1, X_2, \dots \rangle$
- A **T-ideal** is a two-sided ideal of  $k\langle X_1, X_2, \dots \rangle$  that is preserved under all substitution of variables, equivalently, under all algebra endomorphisms of  $k\langle X_1, X_2, \dots \rangle$

The ideal  $\text{Id}(A)$  of polynomial identities is a **T-ideal**

- **Problem.** Determine the ideal  $\text{Id}(A)$  for a given PI-algebra  $A$

This is a **difficult** problem, solved only for a handful of algebras:

- If  $A$  is **commutative**, then  $\text{Id}(A)$  is generated by the **degree 2** standard polynomial  $S_2 = [X_1, X_2]$
- If  $A = M_2(k)$ , then  $\text{Id}(A)$  is generated by the **degree 4** standard polynomial  $S_4$  and the **degree 5** polynomial  $[[X, Y]^2, Z]$

# The Specht problem

- **The problem.** Is  $\text{Id}(A)$  generated by a **finite number** of polynomial identities as a T-ideal?
- In 1987 **Kemer** gave a **positive answer** to the Specht problem for any PI-algebra (whether it is finitely generated or not)

The proof of Kemer's theorem is difficult and does not yield a bound on the number of generators or their degrees

- We now turn to a class of algebras **with extra structure**...

# Graded polynomial identities

# Graded algebras

Fix a finite group  $G$

- An algebra  $A$  is  **$G$ -graded** if it has a decomposition into a family of vector subspaces indexed by the elements of the group

$$A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$$

and compatible with the product on  $A$  in the sense that for all  $g, h \in G$ ,

$$A_g \cdot A_h \subset A_{gh}$$

The subspace  $A_g$  is called the **homogeneous component** of degree  $g$

The component  $A_e$  corresponding to the unit  $e$  of  $G$  is a **subalgebra** of  $A$

- $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebras are also called **superalgebras**; they come up in physics

**Weyl algebras** and **Clifford algebras** are superalgebras

# Elementary gradings of matrices

- Fix a group  $G$
- Let us describe a special type of  $G$ -grading of  $M_n(k)$  called an **elementary grading** (a description of all gradings of  $M_n(k)$  will be given in the Appendix)
- An **elementary grading** of  $M_n(k)$  is obtained for each sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of length  $n$  of elements of  $G$ : we grade  $M_n(k)$  by specifying that each **elementary matrix**  $E_{i,j}$  belongs to the homogeneous component of degree  $g_i^{-1}g_j$
- **Example of an elementary  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading.** We have  $M_3(k) = A_0 \oplus A_1$  where  $A_0$  is the 5-dimensional vector space consisting of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $A_1$  is the 4-dimensional vector space consisting of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(This grading corresponds to the triple  $(0, 1, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$ )

# Graded polynomial identities

- To define polynomial identities for **ordinary algebras**, we used an arbitrary finite number of non-commuting variables

To define identities for  **$G$ -graded algebras**, we need a numerable set of non-commuting variables for each element of  $G$

Let  $\{X_1(g), X_2(g), \dots\}$  be the set of variables corresponding to the element  $g \in G$

- **Definition.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -graded algebra. A **graded polynomial identity** ( $G$ -PI) for  $A$  is a non-zero polynomial in the variables  $X_i(g)$  that vanishes in  $A$  whenever we replace each variable  $X_i(g)$  by an element of the homogeneous component  $A_g$  of degree  $g$

# Examples of graded polynomial identities

- It is easier to find **G-PIs** than ordinary PIs, as show the following stupid examples:
  - (a) If  $A$  is a  $G$ -graded algebra and  $f$  is an **ordinary PI for the subalgebra  $A_e$**  (where  $e$  is the unit of  $G$ ), then  $f$  is a  $G$ -PI for  $A$  (Replace each variable  $X_i$  by the variable  $X_i(e)$ )
  - (b) Suppose that  $A$  is **trivially graded**, i.e.,  $A_g = 0$  for each  $g \neq e$ . Then  $X(g)$  is a  $G$ -PI for each  $g \neq e$
- **Non-trivial examples of graded polynomial identities.** Consider the **elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading** of  $M_2(k)$  corresponding to the couple  $(0, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ . We have  $M_2(k) = A_0 \oplus A_1$ , where

$$A_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Check that  $X_1(0)X_1(1) - X_1(1)X_1(0)$  and

$$X_1(0)(X_1(1)X_2(1) + X_2(1)X_1(1)) - (X_1(1)X_2(1) + X_2(1)X_1(1))X_1(0)$$

are **G-PIs** for  $M_2(k)$

# The Specht problem in the graded case

- ▶ Let  $f$  be an algebra endomorphism of the free algebra on the variables  $X_i(g)$  where  $g \in G$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$   
We say that  $f$  is **admissible** if each  $f(X_i(g))$  is a linear combination of monomials  $X_{i_1}(g_1)X_{i_2}(g_2) \cdots X_{i_r}(g_r)$  such that  $g_1g_2 \cdots g_r = g$ 
  - A two-sided ideal of the free algebra on the variables  $X_i(g)$  is a  **$G$ -T-ideal** if it is preserved under all admissible algebra endomorphisms
  - The set  $\text{Id}_G(A)$  of  $G$ -PIs for  $A$  (together with 0) is a  $G$ -T-ideal

- ▶ In 2008 [Aljadeff and Kanel-Belov](#) proved the following

**Theorem.** *If  $A$  is a PI-algebra, then  $\text{Id}_G(A)$  is generated by a **finite number** of graded polynomial identities as a  $G$ -T-ideal*

- This provides an example of a (hard) result for ordinary polynomial identities that [extends to graded polynomial identities](#)

# The Specht problem in the graded case

- ▶ Let  $f$  be an algebra endomorphism of the free algebra on the variables  $X_i(g)$  where  $g \in G$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$   
We say that  $f$  is **admissible** if each  $f(X_i(g))$  is a linear combination of monomials  $X_{i_1}(g_1)X_{i_2}(g_2) \cdots X_{i_r}(g_r)$  such that  $g_1g_2 \cdots g_r = g$ 
  - A two-sided ideal of the free algebra on the variables  $X_i(g)$  is a  **$G$ -T-ideal** if it is preserved under all admissible algebra endomorphisms
  - The set  $\text{Id}_G(A)$  of  $G$ -PIs for  $A$  (together with 0) is a  $G$ -T-ideal
- ▶ In 2008 **Aljadeff and Kanel-Belov** proved the following  
**Theorem.** *If  $A$  is a PI-algebra, then  $\text{Id}_G(A)$  is generated by a **finite number** of graded polynomial identities as a  $G$ -T-ideal*
  - This provides an example of a (hard) result for ordinary polynomial identities that **extends to graded polynomial identities**

# A result about nilpotency

We now give an example of a result on ordinary polynomial identities that **does not extend** to graded polynomial identities

**Proposition.** *If  $f^r$  is a PI for  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  for some  $r \geq 2$ , then  $f$  is a PI*

This means that if any evaluation of  $f$  is a **nilpotent** matrix, then this matrix is zero

*Proof.* It is based on the existence of a division ring  $D$  inside  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that there is an algebra isomorphism

$$M_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong D \otimes_K \mathbb{C}$$

where  $K$  is the center of  $D$  (for instance,  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ )

Now if  $f(X_1, \dots, X_d)^r \in \text{Id}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ , then  $f(a_1, \dots, a_d)^r = 0$  for all  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , hence for all  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in D$ . Since a division ring has no non-zero nilpotent elements,  $f(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$  for all  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in D$ . This shows that  $f(X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is a PI for  $D$ , hence for  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

In this proof we have used the fact that if an algebra  $A$  is obtained from an algebra  $B$  by an **extension of scalars**, then they have the same polynomial identities:

$$\text{Id}(A) = \text{Id}(B)$$

# A different behavior in the graded case

By contrast with what happens in the ungraded case, there are examples of gradings on matrix algebras and of graded polynomials  $f$  such that  $f^r$  is a  $G$ -PI for some  $r \geq 2$ , but not  $f$

**Example.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/6 \rtimes \text{Sym}_3$ , where the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{Z}/6$  by the sign of permutations; this is a group of **order 36** with the following presentation:

$$G = \langle s, t, z \mid s^3 = t^2 = z^6 = 1, ts = s^{-1}t, sz = zs, tz = z^{-1}t \rangle$$

There is a  $G$ -graded algebra structure on  $M_6(\mathbb{C})$  (its homogeneous components are all one-dimensional) for which the following holds:

Aljadeff, Haile & Natapov: The polynomial

$$f = X(s) X(t)^2 X(z^2)^3 - \omega X(t) X(z^2) X(t) X(z^2)^2 X(s)$$

is not a  $G$ -PI for  $M_6(\mathbb{C})$ , but  $f^3$  is a  $G$ -PI for  $M_6(\mathbb{C})$   
(here  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$  is a primitive third root of unity)

When Hopf algebras come in...

# Towards more general polynomial identities

- It is possible to extend the theory of polynomial identities to a bigger class of algebras, namely to **comodule algebras** over Hopf algebras

**Graded algebras** are instances of comodule algebras

- Let  $H$  be a **Hopf algebra**; this is essentially an algebra together with an algebra map  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ , called the **coproduct**, satisfying certain properties (coassociativity, counitality) dual to the properties satisfied by the product in an associative unital algebra

Hopf algebras are essential tools in the theory of **quantum groups**

- An  **$H$ -comodule algebra** is an algebra  $A$  together with an algebra map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ , called the **coaction**, satisfying certain standard properties

# Comodule algebras

- A  **$G$ -graded algebra** is the same as an  $H$ -comodule algebra, where  $H = kG$  is the **group algebra** with coproduct given by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g \quad (g \in G)$$

- There is an important class of comodule algebras called **Hopf Galois extensions**

Classical **Galois extensions of fields** are instances of Hopf Galois extensions with  $H$  being the dual Hopf algebra of the algebra of the Galois group

Hopf Galois extensions can be viewed as analogues of **principal fiber bundles** in “**non-commutative geometry**”; now the rôle of the structural group is played by a Hopf algebra. There are numerous instances of such “quantum principal fiber bundles” in quantum group theory

# Polynomial identities for comodule algebras

- To define a theory of **polynomial identities for comodule algebras** over a given Hopf algebra  $H$ , we fix a basis  $(h_i)_i$  of  $H$ , and for each basis element  $h_i$  we pick a numerable set  $\{X_1(h_i), X_2(h_i), \dots\}$  of non-commuting variables
- Let  $T_H$  be the **free non-commutative algebra** generated by these variables. There is a structure of  $H$ -comodule algebra on  $T_H$  induced by the coproduct of  $H$

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -comodule algebra. An  **$H$ -polynomial identity** for  $A$  is an element  $f \in T_H$  such that  $\mu(f) = 0$  for every algebra map  $\mu : T_H \rightarrow A$  preserving the coactions, i.e., such that the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_H & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \\ \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\ T_H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \text{id}_H} & A \otimes H \end{array}$$

- An **ordinary polynomial identity** is an  $H$ -polynomial identity for the one-dimensional Hopf algebra  $H = k$

A **graded polynomial identity** is an  $H$ -polynomial identity for a group algebra  $H = kG$

# A universal algebra

- Given an  $H$ -comodule algebra  $A$ , let  $\text{Id}_H(A)$  be the **two-sided ideal** of  $T_H$  consisting of all  $H$ -polynomial identities for  $A$
- The **Specht problem** for  $\text{Id}_H(A)$  is **open** for a general Hopf algebra
- The quotient-algebra  $U_H(A) = T_H / \text{Id}_H(A)$  is the **universal algebra** in which all  $H$ -polynomial identities for  $A$  vanish
- The algebra  $U_H(A)$  turns out to be useful:

After a suitable **localization of the (big) center** of  $U_H(A)$  we obtain an algebra **parametrizing** an important class of Hopf Galois extensions

See details in **joint work with Eli Aljadeff** (Adv. Math., 2008)

## APPENDIX

### Gradings on matrix algebras

# Fine grading and twisted group algebras

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$  be a  $G$ -graded algebra for a finite group  $G$

- Such a grading is called **fine** if for all  $g, h \in G$ ,

$$\dim(A_g) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_g \cdot A_h = A_{gh}$$

Then necessarily  $\dim(A) = |G|$

- Pick a non-zero element  $u_g$  in each  $A_g$ . Then

$$u_g u_h = \alpha(g, h) u_{gh} \tag{2}$$

for some non-zero scalar  $\alpha(g, h)$ . Because of the associativity of the product, the scalars  $\alpha(g, h)$  satisfy the **cocycle condition**:

$$\alpha(g, h) \alpha(gh, k) = \alpha(g, hk) \alpha(h, k) \quad (g, h, k \in G) \tag{3}$$

- Conversely, given a **cocycle** on  $G$ , i.e., a map  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow k - \{0\}$  satisfying (3), we can define an **associative algebra** whose underlying vector space has a basis  $(u_g)_g$  indexed by the elements of  $G$  and whose product is given by (2)

Such an algebra is called a **twisted group algebra** and is denoted by  $k^\alpha G$

# Groups of central type and non-degenerate cocycles

- Let  $k^\alpha G$  be a twisted group algebra for some cocycle  $\alpha$

If there is an algebra isomorphism

$$k^\alpha G \cong M_n(k)$$

for some  $n \geq 1$ , then we say that  $G$  is of **central type** and  $\alpha$  is **non-degenerate**

- If  $\alpha$  is **non-degenerate**, then  $|G| = n^2$  and  $M_n(k)$  has a **fine  $G$ -grading**
- (Howlett, Isaacs, 1982) Any group of central type is **solvable**
- It is easy to check that an **abelian group**  $G$  is of central type if and only if

$$G \cong H \times H$$

for some abelian group  $H$

- The group  $\mathbb{Z}/6 \rtimes \text{Sym}_3$  considered above is of central type

# Gradings on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$

Let  $G$  be a finite group

- Suppose we are given the following **data**:

- (a) a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  of central type
- (b) a **non-degenerate** cocycle  $\alpha$  on  $H$
- (c) a sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  of elements of  $G$

Then we can **define a  $G$ -grading** on  $A = \mathbb{C}^\alpha H \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})$  by setting

$$A_g = \text{Span}\{u_h \otimes E_{i,j} \mid g^{-1}hg_j = g\}$$

- **Bahturin and Zaicev** (2002) proved that each  $G$ -grading of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is of this form

# References on classical polynomial identities

E. Formanek, *The polynomial identities and invariants of  $n \times n$  matrices*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

A. R. Kemer, *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras*, Translations of Monographs, 87 Amer. Math. Soc., 1991.

C. Procesi, *Rings with polynomial identities*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.

L. Rowen, *Polynomial identities in ring theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

## References on recent developments

E. Aljadeff, D. Haile, M. Natapov, *Graded identities of matrix algebras and the universal graded algebra*, arXiv:0710.5568, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. in press.

E. Aljadeff, A. Kanel-Belov, *Representability and Specht problem for G-graded algebras*, arXiv:0903.0362.

E. Aljadeff, C. Kassel, *Polynomial identities and noncommutative versal torsors*, Adv. Math. 218 (2008), 1453–1495.

Yu. A. Bahturin, S. K. Sehgal, M. V. Zaicev, *Group gradings on associative algebras*, J. Algebra 241 (2001), 677–698.

Yu. A. Bahturin, M. V. Zaicev, *Group gradings on matrix algebras*, Can. Math. Bull. 45 (2002), 499–508.

R. Howlett, I. Isaacs, *On groups of central type*, Math. Z. 179 (1982) 555–569.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION