

About the Ingham type proof for the boundary observability of a square and its generalization in N -d

M. Mehrenberger

University of Strasbourg (France), INRIA TONUS team

Rome 2015

Rome, September 30, 2015

Seminario Dipartimento S.B.A.I. - Sapienza

Many thanks to Paola Loreti

Wave equation on a product of intervals

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, reals $L_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^N]0, L_i[$ and $u(t, x)$ solution of (1).

$$\begin{cases} u'' = \Delta u, & 0 < t < T, & x \in \Omega \\ u = 0, & 0 < t < T, & x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & u'(0, x) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The system is well defined for initial conditions satisfying $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$.

We have the classical proposition

Proposition

It exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for $T > T_0$, system (1) is observable: there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that we have (2)

$$\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |\partial_\nu u(t, x)|^2 d\Gamma dt, \quad (2)$$

for all $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$.

$$\Gamma = \cup_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} [0, L_i] \times \{0\} \times \prod_{i=j+1}^N [0, L_i] \right)$$

The aim here is to prove this Proposition with Ingham techniques

It is a result of 2008

It follows the extension of the case of a square, presented in Rome, in 2006

Ingham's theorem (1936)

Let $\gamma > 0$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the gap condition

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > \gamma,$$

then for all $T > \frac{2\pi}{\gamma}$, we have

$$c \sum_k |a_k|^2 \leq \int_0^T \left| \sum_k a_k e^{i\lambda_k t} \right|^2 dt \leq C \sum_k |a_k|^2,$$

where constants $c, C > 0$ are independent of the sequence (a_k) .

- The gap is needed :

$$2c \leq \int_0^T |1 \cdot e^{i\lambda_n t} - 1 \cdot e^{i\lambda_{n+1} t}|^2 dt \leq |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}|^2 \int_0^T t^2 dt$$

Expression of the solution

Notations

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad \omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{k_j \pi}{L_j}\right)^2}.$$

Expression of the solution of (1)

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^N} \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} + \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} \right) \prod_{j=1}^N \sin \left(\frac{\pi k_j x_j}{L_j} \right), \quad (3)$$

with adequate coefficients $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Outline

- Give a Ingham type theorem
- Apply it to prove the Proposition
- Conclusion

A Ingham type theorem (1/2)

Hypothesis

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, reals $(\lambda_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d}$, and complex numbers $(\rho_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$.

We suppose that we have the following spectral gap: for $j = 1, \dots, d$, it exists $\gamma_j > 0$ such that

$$\left| \lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d} - \lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k'_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d} \right| \geq \gamma_j \left| k_j - k'_j \right|, \quad (4)$$

$$\left| \lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d} + \lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k'_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d} \right| \geq \gamma_j \left| k_j + k'_j \right|, \quad (5)$$

for all indices $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d$ and $k'_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that the weights $(\rho_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ satisfy

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, d, i \neq j} |\rho_{k_i}| \leq \max \left(\left| \rho_{k_j} \right|, \left| \rho_{k'_j} \right| \right). \quad (6)$$

A Ingham type theorem (2/2)

Conclusion

Then, for $T > 2\pi\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\gamma_i^2}}$, it exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_{k_j} \left(\beta_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} + \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} \right) \right|^2 dt$$

$$\geq c_1 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d} \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d |p_{k_j}|^2 \right), \quad (7)$$

for all complex numbers $(\beta_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d}$ and $(\beta_{-\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d}$, such that the sums in consideration are finite.

Classical Ingham material (1/3)

First Ingham function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \cos \frac{\pi t}{T} & \text{if } |t| \leq T/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| > T/2 \end{cases},$$

Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{ixt} dt = -\frac{2T\pi \cos(xT/2)}{x^2 T^2 - \pi^2}.$$

Classical Ingham material (2/3)

Diagonal dominant type estimates

$$\hat{f}(0) = \frac{2T}{\pi}$$

For $\gamma > \frac{2\pi}{T}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $|x| \geq \ell\gamma$,

$$|\hat{f}(x)| \leq \frac{2T}{\pi} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{x^2 T^2}{\pi^2} - 1 \right|} = \frac{2T}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma T} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\left| 4 \left(\frac{x}{\gamma} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma T} \right)^2 \right|} \leq \frac{2T}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma T} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4\ell^2 - 1}. \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\ell^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\ell - 1} - \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \right) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (9)$$

Classical Ingham material (3/3)

- As $f(t) \leq 1_{[-T/2, T/2]}$, we begin with

$$A := \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \left| \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_{k_j} b_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \right|^2 dt \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \left| \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_{k_j} b_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \right|^2 dt,$$

with

$$b_{\mathbf{k}}(t) := \beta_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} + \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t}.$$

First step: decomposition

- We decompose

$$\sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} = \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \delta_j \leq |p_{k_j}|} + \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \delta_j > |p_{k_j}|},$$

with

$$\delta_j := \max_{i=1, \dots, d, i \neq j} |p_{k_i}|.$$

Second step: decompose the products

$$\Lambda := \left\{ (k_j, k'_j) \in \mathbb{N}^*, \delta_j \leq |p_{k_j}| \text{ or } \delta_j \leq |p_{k'_j}| \right\},$$

$$\Lambda := \left\{ (k_j, k'_j) \in \mathbb{N}^*, \delta_j > |p_{k_j}|, \delta_j > |p_{k'_j}| \right\},$$

$$\sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \mathbb{N}^*} = \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} + \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda}.$$

No gap estimates on red terms

Third step: neglect red terms

Positivity of f

$$A \geq \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j}, \quad B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) p_{k_j} b_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \overline{p_{k'_j} b_{\mathbf{k}'}(t)} dt,$$

with

$$\mathbf{k}' = (k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k'_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d).$$

Original idea of Loreti-Valente, for partial observability

Also used for two-grid semi-discrete observability, in Loreti-M

Fourth step: separate two cases

Uniform gap on Λ

$$|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{k}'}| \geq \gamma_j |k_j - k'_j|, \quad |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda_{\mathbf{k}'}| \geq \gamma_j |k_j + k'_j|, \quad (k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda.$$

Development of $B_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}$: as $\hat{f}(x) = \hat{f}(-x)$, we have

$$B_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} = \rho_{k_j} \overline{\rho_{k'_j}} ((\beta_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\beta_{\mathbf{k}'}} + \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \overline{\beta_{-\mathbf{k}'}})) \hat{f}(\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{k}'}) \\ + (\beta_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\beta_{-\mathbf{k}'}} + \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \overline{\beta_{\mathbf{k}'}}) \hat{f}(\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda_{\mathbf{k}'}).$$

- treat first $(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda$
- treat then $(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda, k_j \neq k'_j$

Step 5: Ingham estimates for first case

- For $(k_j, k_j) \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\mathbf{k}, k_j} &\geq \frac{2T}{\pi} \left| p_{k_j} \right|^2 \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 - 2 |\beta_{\mathbf{k}} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}}| \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4(k_j + k_j)^2 - 1} \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{2T}{\pi} \left| p_{k_j} \right|^2 \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4(k_j + k_j)^2 - 1} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Ingham estimates for second case

- For $(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda$, $k_j \neq k'_j$,

$$B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} \geq \frac{-2T}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \left| \rho_{k_j} \rho_{k'_j} \right|$$

$$\left((|\beta_{\mathbf{k}} \beta_{\mathbf{k}'}| + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}'}|) \frac{1}{4(k_j - k'_j)^2 - 1} \right.$$

$$\left. + (|\beta_{\mathbf{k}} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}'}| + |\beta_{\mathbf{k}'} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|) \frac{1}{4(k_j + k'_j)^2 - 1} \right)$$

$$B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} \geq \frac{-2T}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{k_j} \right|^2 + \left| \beta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rho_{k'_j} \right|^2 + \left| \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \rho_{k_j} \right|^2 + \left| \beta_{-\mathbf{k}'} \rho_{k'_j} \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{4(k_j - k'_j)^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{4(k_j + k'_j)^2 - 1} \right)$$

Step 6: make the sum (1/3)

Summation of the $B_{\mathbf{k},k'_j}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2T} \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} &\geq \sum_{(k_j, k_j) \in \Lambda} \left| \rho_{k_j} \right|^2 \left(\left| \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 + \left| \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda, k_j \neq k'_j} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \left(\left| \rho_{k_j} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 + \left| \rho_{k_j} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \right) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \frac{1}{4(k_j - k'_j)^2 - 1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \left(\left| \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{k_j} \right|^2 + \left| \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} \rho_{k_j} \right|^2 \right) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \frac{1}{4(k_j + k'_j)^2 - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 6: make the sum (2/3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2T} \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} &\geq \sum_{(k_j, k_j) \in \Lambda} |\rho_{k_j}|^2 \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(|\rho_{k_j} \beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\rho_{k_j} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{k'_j \neq k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1}{4(k_j - k'_j)^2 - 1} + \sum_{k'_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1}{4(k_j + k'_j)^2 - 1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 6: make the sum (3/3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2T} \sum_{(k_j, k'_j) \in \Lambda} B_{\mathbf{k}, k'_j} &\geq \sum_{(k_j, k_j) \in \Lambda} |p_{k_j}|^2 \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_j T} \right)^2 \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(|p_{k_j} \beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |p_{k_j} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} |p_{k_j}|^2 \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \left(1_{\delta_j \leq |p_{k_j}|} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{T \gamma_j} \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 7: make the sum over j

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &:= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \left| \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \rho_{k_j} \left(\beta_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} + \beta_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} \right) \right|^2 dt \\
 &\geq \frac{2T}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d} \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\mathbf{1}_{\delta_j \leq |\rho_{k_j}|} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{T\gamma_j} \right)^2 \right) |\rho_{k_j}|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{1}_{\delta_j \leq |\rho_{k_j}|} |\rho_{k_j}|^2 \geq \max_{j=1, \dots, d} |\rho_{k_j}|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \frac{\rho_{k_j}}{\gamma_j} \right|^2 / \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\gamma_j^2}, \text{ we get}$$

$$B \geq \frac{2T}{\pi} \left(\mathbf{1} / \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\gamma_j^2} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{T} \right)^2 \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d} \left(|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\beta_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \left| \frac{\rho_{k_j}}{\gamma_j} \right|^2 \right).$$

Application

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |\partial_\nu u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\Gamma dt = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^T \int_0^{L_1} \cdots \int_0^{L_{j-1}} \int_0^{L_{j+1}} \cdots \int_0^{L_N} \left| \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^N} \frac{\pi k_j}{L_j} (\alpha_k e^{i\omega_k t} + \alpha_{-k} e^{-i\omega_k t}) \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq j}^N \sin\left(\frac{\pi k_\ell x_\ell}{L_\ell}\right) \right|^2 dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_N dt.$$

Orthogonality of $\left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi k_\ell x_\ell}{L_\ell}\right)\right)_{k_\ell \geq 1}$ **in** $L^2(0, L_\ell)$

$$\int_0^T \int_\Gamma |\partial_\nu u(t, x)|^2 d\Gamma dt \asymp \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*} k_j \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} + \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} \right) \right|^2 dt. \quad (10)$$

Energie

$$\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \asymp \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |k_j|^2 \right) \left(|\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |\alpha_{-\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right). \quad (11)$$

$d = N$, $\lambda_k = \omega_k = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{k_j \pi}{L_j}\right)^2}$, for $k \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^N$ and $p_\ell = \ell$, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Verification of (4)-(5)-(6), for $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We consider $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^N$ and $k'_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that

$$0 < k_i \leq \max(k_j, k'_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad i \neq j, \quad (12)$$

and we have to get (4)-(5), for a certain γ_j .

We have $|\omega_k + \omega_{k'}| \geq \left| k_j + k'_j \right| \frac{\pi}{L_j}$.

$$|\omega_k - \omega_{k'}| = \left| k_j - k'_j \right| \frac{\pi^2}{L_j^2} \frac{\left| k_j + k'_j \right|}{\sqrt{D + \left(\frac{k_j \pi}{L_j} \right)^2} + \sqrt{D + \left(\frac{k'_j \pi}{L_j} \right)^2}},$$

with $D = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^N \left(\frac{k_i \pi}{L_i} \right)^2$.

We can suppose that $k_j < k'_j$ and we have with (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\omega_k - \omega_{k'}|}{|k_j - k'_j|} &\geq \frac{\pi^2}{L_j^2} \frac{|1 + (k_j/k'_j)|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^d \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i}\right)^2 + (k_j/k'_j)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{L_j^2}} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i}\right)^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi^2}{(L_j)^2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i}\right)^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We then get (4)-(5) taking $\gamma_j = \frac{\pi^2}{L_j^2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i}\right)^2}} < \frac{\pi}{L_j}$. From (10) and

(11), we find (2) with $T > 2\pi\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j^2} = 4\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N (L_j)^4} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N L_j^{-2}}$.

Conclusion/Remarks/perspectives

- Ingham proof of observability for product of intervals
- This technique can be used for other applications: works of Komornik-Loreti, Komornik-Miara, Mauffrey-Münch.
- Improvements have also been done
- Can we have the optimal time with such technique?
- Treatment of other geometries.
- Numerical approach