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Foreword

In 1998, the editors convinced themselves that it was the right time to take stock of recent research concerning the modern history of number theory, and to evaluate in its light our comprehension of the development of this discipline as a whole. One issue at stake was to bring together historiographical results coming from different disciplines and linguistic domains which, we felt, had remained too often unaware of each other.

We organized two meetings at the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach: first a small RIP-workshop held June 14–19, 1999, among historians of number theory and historians of related topics, and then a larger conference which took place June 17–23, 2001, two hundred years after the publication of Carl Friedrich Gauss’s *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. The latter brought together historians and philosophers of mathematics with number theorists interested in the recent history of their field. Two further meetings, organized by one of us in Vienna and Zürich the following years, continued our venture.

Two concrete projects arose from these activities. One concerned the creation of resources, for scholars and students: we initiated a bibliography of secondary literature on the History of Number Theory since 1800.¹

The present volume is the second result of our work. It aims at answering the question, already raised during the first workshop, on the role of Gauss’s *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* in the definition and evolution of number theory. This role is here appraised in a comparative perspective, with attention both to the mathematical reception of the treatise, and to its role as a model for doing mathematics. The volume is the result of a collective work. Although all authors have kept their proper voices, they have also accepted quite a bit of editorial interference with a view to making the volume as coherent as possible. We have nonetheless left room for original analyses and results, including newly discovered documents.

¹ A preliminary version of this bibliography has been kindly put on line by Franz Lemmermeyer on a website hosted by the University of Heidelberg (http://www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/~hb3/HINTbib.html).
During its rather long elaboration, the present book has greatly profited from the help of many individuals and institutions which we here gratefully acknowledge: the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and its director at the time of the meetings, Matthias Kreck; the Erwin-Schroedinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics at Vienna; the ETH at Zürich, and special encouragement provided by Urs Stammbach; the CIRM at Luminy, which gave us a week’s refuge for our editorial work in the summer of 2003; the Laboratoire de mathématiques de l’Université Paris-Sud, the Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, as well as the Institut de la recherche mathématique avancée at Strasbourg which actively supported our joint work for the preparation of this book. Special thanks go to the Abteilung Handschriften und Alte Drucke der Niedersächsischen Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen, and in particular to Jürgen Rohlfing, for the expert collaboration which made so many documents available to us, some of them as high quality scans. We also express our sincere gratitude to Springer-Verlag and their associated staff at Heidelberg and Leipzig, especially to Joachim Heinze, who believed in this project at an early stage. Our warmest thanks go to Frazer Jarvis for linguistic work on the texts, and to Jim Ritter for constant technical and moral support.

And last but not least, we thank all the participants of the Oberwolfach meetings who have shared their insights and knowledge with us for the benefit of the project: besides the authors of this book, Leo Corry, Hélène Gispert, Jeremy Gray, Ralf Haubrich, Helmut Koch, Martina Schneider, Takase Masahito, Erhard Scholz, Urs Stammbach, and Hans Wussing.

One of the participants at the 2001 conference was Martin Kneser. Despite his serious illness, his intense, visible passion for number theory and its history was a challenging inspiration to all of us, historians and mathematicians alike. Martin Kneser died on February 16, 2004. We dedicate this book to his memory.
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Fig. 1. Title page of Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, 1801 edition
(Private copy)
Part I

A Book’s History

Welche Wichtigkeit Gauß’s Disquisitiones Arithmeticae für die Entwicklung der Mathematik gehabt haben, darüber existiert wohl kein Zweifel. Es ist ein Werk, das ungefähr in der Mathematik dieselbe Stellung einnimmt, wie die Kritik der reinen Vernunft von Kant in der Philosophie.

Carl Itzigsohn, March 23, 1885
Fig. 1.1. A newspaper review of the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*
*Gazette nationale, ou le Moniteur universel*, March 21, 1807
I.1

A Book in Search of a Discipline
(1801–1860)

Catherine Goldstein and Norbert Schappacher

Carl Friedrich Gauss's *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* of 1801 has more than one claim to glory: the contrast between the importance of the book and the youth of its author; the innovative concepts, notations, and results presented therein; the length and subtlety of some of its proofs; and its role in shaping number theory into a distinguished mathematical discipline.

The awe that it inspired in mathematicians was displayed to the cultured public of the *Moniteur universel ou Gazette nationale* as early as March 21, 1807, when Louis Poinsot, who would succeed Joseph-Louis Lagrange at the Academy of Sciences six years later, contributed a full page article about the French translation of the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*:

> The doctrine of numbers, in spite of [the works of previous mathematicians] has remained, so to speak, immobile, as if it were to stay for ever the touchstone of their powers and the measure of their intellectual penetration. This is why a treatise as profound and as novel as his *Arithmetical Investigations* heralds M. Gauss as one of the best mathematical minds in Europe.²

---

1. This French newspaper, created by Charles-Joseph Panckoucke in the first months of the French Revolution, had the goal of informing its readers of administrative, political, and cultural events and of promoting French achievements. It opened its columns regularly to reviews of books recommended by the *Institut national des sciences et des arts*.

2. *Gazette nationale ou Le Moniteur universel* 80 (1807), 312: *La doctrine des nombres malgré leurs travaux [antérieurs] est restée, pour ainsi dire, immobile ; comme pour être dans tous les tems, l'épreuve de leurs forces et la mesure de la pénétration de leur esprit. C'est pourquoi Monsieur Gauss, par un ouvrage aussi profound et aussi neuf que ses Recherches arithmétiques s'annonce certainement comme une des meilleures têtes mathématiques de l'Europe.*
A long string of declarations left by readers of the book, from Niels Henrik Abel to Hermann Minkowski, from Augustin-Louis Cauchy to Henry Smith, bears witness to the profit they derived from it. During the XIXth century, its fame grew to almost mythical dimensions. In 1891, Edouard Lucas referred to the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* as an “imperishable monument [which] unveils the vast expanse and stunning depth of the human mind,” and in his Berlin lecture course on the concept of number, Leopold Kronecker called it “the Book of all Books.” In the process, new ways of seeing the *Disquisitiones* came to the fore; they figure for instance in the presentation given by John Theodore Merz in his celebrated four-volume *History of European Thought in the Nineteenth Century*:

Germany … was already an important power in the Republic of exact science which then had its centre in Paris. Just at the beginning of the nineteenth century two events happened which forebode for the highest branches of the mathematical sciences a revival of the glory which in this department Kepler and Leibniz had already given to their country. … The first was the publication of the ‘Disquisitiones Arithmeticae’ in Latin in 1801. … [Gauss] raised this part of mathematics into an independent science of which the ‘Disquisitiones Arithmeticae’ is the first elaborate and systematic treatise. … It was … through Jacobi, and still more through his contemporary Lejeune-Dirichlet … that the great work of Gauss on the theory of numbers, which for twenty years had remained sealed with seven seals, was drawn into current mathematical literature. … The seals were only gradually broken. Lejeune-Dirichlet did much in this way, others followed, notably Prof. Dedekind, who published the lectures of Dirichlet and added much of his own.

Gauss’s book (hereafter, we shall often use the abbreviation “the D.A.” to designate it) is now seen as having created number theory as a systematic discipline in its own right, with the book, as well as the new discipline, represented as a landmark of German culture. Moreover, a standard history of the book has been elaborated. It stresses the impenetrability of the D.A. at the time of its appearance and integrates it into a sweeping narrative, setting out a continuous unfolding of the book’s content, from Johann Peter Gustav Lejeune-Dirichlet and Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi on.

In this history modern algebraic number theory appears as the natural outgrowth of the discipline founded by the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. Historical studies have accordingly focused on the emergence of this branch of number theory, in particular on the works of Dirichlet, Ernst Eduard Kummer, Richard Dedekind, Leopold Kronecker, and on the specific thread linking the D.A. to the masterpiece of algebraic number theory, David Hilbert’s *Zahlbericht* of 1897. In addition, they have also explored the fate of specific theorems or methods of the D.A. which are relevant for number theorists today.

Yet a full understanding of the impact of the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, at

---

3. [Lucas 1891], p. vi: *Ce livre, monument impérissable dévoile l’immense étendue, l’étonnante profondeur de la pensée humaine.*
5. The second event alluded to by Merz is the computation of Ceres’s orbit, also by Gauss.
all levels, requires more than just a “thicker description”\(^7\) of such milestones; it
requires that light be shed on other patterns of development, other readers, other
mathematical uses of the book – it requires a change in our questionnaire. We need
to answer specific questions, such as: What happened to the book outside Germany?
What were the particularities, if any, of its reception in Germany? Which parts of it
were read and reworked? And when? Which developments, in which domains, did it
stimulate – or hamper? What role did it play in later attempts to found mathematics
on arithmetic?

Such questions suggest narrower foci, which will be adopted in the various
chapters of the present volume. In this first part, however, we take advantage of the
concrete nature of our object of inquiry – a book – to draw a general map of its tracks
while sticking closely to the chronology. That is to say, instead of going backwards,
seeking in the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* hints and origins of more recent priorities,
we will proceed forwards, following Gauss’s text through time with the objective of
surveying and periodizing afresh its manifold effects.\(^8\)

But let us start, first, at the beginning of all beginnings…

1. **The Writing and the Architecture of the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae***

Gauss began to investigate arithmetical questions, at least empirically, as early as
1792, and to prepare a number-theoretical treatise in 1796 (i.e., at age 19 and, if
we understand his mathematical diary correctly, soon after he had proved both the
constructibility of the 17-gon by ruler and compass and the quadratic reciprocity
law). An early version of the treatise was completed a year later.\(^9\) In November
1797, Gauss started rewriting the early version into the more mature text which he
would give to the printer bit by bit. Printing started in April 1798, but proceeded
very slowly for technical reasons on the part of the printer. Gauss resented this very
much, as his letters show; he was looking for a permanent position from 1798. But
he did use the delays to add new text, in particular to sec. 5 on quadratic forms, which
had roughly doubled in length by the time the book finally appeared in the summer
of 1801.\(^10\)

\(^7\) The reference is to Gilbert Ryle and Clifford Geertz, in particular [Geertz 1973].
\(^8\) We have systematically tracked mentions of the D.A. in the main nineteenth-century jour-
nals, and then in the complete works – if published – of the mathematicians encountered.
For want of space (in the text or in the margin …), only part of the evidence used to
establish our main claims can be presented here.

\(^9\) Parts of the manuscript, known as *Analysis residuorum*, were published posthumously in
Gauss’s *Werke*; other parts were identified as such in 1980 by Uta Merzbach in different
German archives; see [Merzbach 1981], also for a global comparison of the early version
with the printed book; for detailed comparisons of specific parts, in particular sec. 2,
see [Bullynck 2006a] and [Bullynck 2006b], appendices A and B. See also [Schlesinger
1922], sec. III.

\(^10\) Basic data on the genesis of the *Disquisitiones* can be derived from Gauss’s mathematical
diary, [Gauss 1796–1814], and from his correspondence. Our quick summary here is
based on [Merzbach 1981]. What exactly Gauss found in his predecessors and how
he was influenced by them remains a difficult question, in spite of his own historical
1.1. The First Sections: Congruences to the Fore

Let us skim through the contents of the Disquisitiones Arithmeticae as they appeared in 1801. Although it may make somewhat tedious reading, we think it useful to indicate the full variety of matters treated by Gauss. The 665 pages and 355 articles of the main text are divided unevenly into seven sections. The first and smallest one (7 pp., 12 arts.) establishes a new notion and notation which, despite its elementary nature, modified the practice of number theory:

If the number \( a \) measures\(^{12} \) the difference of the numbers \( b, c \), then \( b \) and \( c \) are said to be congruent according to \( a \); if not, incongruent; this \( a \) we call the modulus. Each of the numbers \( b, c \) are called a residue of the other in the first case, a nonresidue in the second.\(^{13} \)

The corresponding notation \( b \equiv c \pmod{a} \) is introduced in art. 2. The remainder of sec. 1 contains basic observations on convenient sets of residues modulo \( a \) and on the compatibility of congruences with the arithmetic operations. To consider numbers or equations up to a given integer was not new with Gauss.\(^{14} \) His innovation was to turn this occasional computational device into a topic in its own right.

Section 2 (33 pp., 32 arts.) opens with several theorems on integers including the unique prime factorization of integers (in art. 16), and then treats linear congruences in arts. 29–37, including the Euclidean algorithm and what we call the Chinese remainder theorem. At the end of sec. 2, Gauss added a few results for future reference which had not figured in the 1797 manuscript, among them: (i) properties

---

\(^{11} \) The reader is invited to go back and forth between our rough summary and Gauss’s original detailed table of contents which we reproduce on the double page 10–11. In the present section, expressions in quotation marks, with no explicit reference attached, are the English translations of key words from this Latin table of contents. The table is copied from the 1801 edition of the D.A. except that, for the sake of readability, we have modified the letters “u” and “v” according to current Latin spelling. Other surveys of the book are proposed in [Bachmann 1911], [Rieger 1957], [Neumann 1979–1980], [Bühler 1981], chap. 3, [Neumann 2005].

\(^{12} \) Along with this classical Euclidean term “to measure” (metiri), which, as well as “modulus” (small measure), reminds us of the additive flavour of Euclidean division, Gauss also used “to divide” (dividere) as of sec. 2, art. 13, in the context of a product of natural integers. This diversity of expressions was not always maintained in translations.

\(^{13} \) Our translation of the opening paragraph of D.A., art. 1: Si numerus a numerorum \( b, c \) differentium metitur, \( b \) et \( c \) secundum a congrui dicuntur, sin minus, incongrui: ipsum a modulus appellamus. Uterque numerorum \( b, c \), priori in casu alterius residuum, in posteriori vero nonresiduum vocatur.

\(^{14} \) Gauss acknowledged this fact in the footnote to art. 2, noticing that Legendre had used a simple equality in such situations, and pleading at the same time for his own, unequivocal notation. Other authors are discussed in [Bullynck 2006b], appendix A.
of the number $\varphi(A)$ of prime residues modulo $A$ (arts. 38–39); (ii) in art. 42, a proof that the product of two polynomials with leading coefficient 1 and with rational coefficients that are not all integers cannot have all its coefficients integers;\footnote{This is one of several results known today as “Gauss’s lemma.”} and (iii) in arts. 43 and 44, a proof of Lagrange’s result that a polynomial congruence modulo a prime cannot have more zeros than its degree.\footnote{See [Bullynck 2006a], for a closer study of sec. 2 in comparison to Gauss’s earlier manuscript of the D.A.}

Section 3 (51 pp., 49 arts.) is entitled “On power residues.” As Gauss put it, it treats “geometric progressions” $a, a^2, a^3, \ldots$ modulo a prime number $p$ (for a number $a$ not divisible by $p$), discusses the “period” of $a$ modulo $p$ and Fermat’s theorem, contains two proofs for the existence of “primitive roots” modulo $p$, and promotes the use of the “indices” of $1, \ldots, p-1$ modulo $p$ with respect to a fixed primitive root, in analogy with logarithm tables.\footnote{In modern terms, the period is the order of the element $a$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, Fermat’s theorem states that this order divides $p-1$, a primitive root is a generator of the group and the index of an element is the corresponding exponent with respect to the chosen generator.} After a discussion, in arts. 61–68, of $n^{th}$ roots mod $p$ from the point of view of effective computations, the text returns to calculations with respect to a fixed primitive root, and gives in particular in arts. 75–78 two proofs – and sketches a third one due to Lagrange – of Wilson’s theorem, $1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. The analogous constructions and results for an odd prime power are discussed in arts. 82–89, the exceptional case of the powers of $p=2$ in arts. 90–91. Finally, integers $n$ for which there exists a primitive root modulo $n$ are characterized in art. 92.

Section 4 (73 pp., 59 arts.), “On congruences of degree 2,” develops a systematic theory of “quadratic residues” (i.e., residues of perfect squares). It culminates in the “fundamental theorem” of this theory, from which “can be deduced almost everything that can be said about quadratic residues,”\footnote{D.A., art. 131: \ldots omnia fere quae de residuis quadraticis dici possunt, huic theoremati institutae.} and which Gauss stated as:

\begin{quote}
If $p$ is a prime number of the form $4n+1$, then $+p$, if $p$ is of the form $4n+3$, then $-p$, will be a [quadratic] residue, resp. nonresidue, of any prime number which, taken positively, is a residue, resp. nonresidue of $p$.\footnote{Our translation of D.A., art. 131: Si $p$ est numerus primus formae $4n+1$, exit $+p$, si vero $p$ formae $4n+3$, exit $-p$ residuum vel non residuum cuiusuis numeri primi qui positive acceptus ipsius $p$ est residuum vel non residuum. The supplementary theorems about the quadratic residue behaviour of $-1$ and 2 are treated in parallel.} Gauss motivated this quadratic reciprocity law experimentally, gave the general statement and formalized it in tables of possible cases (arts. 131 and 132), using the notation $a Ra'$, resp. $a Na'$, to mean that $a$ is a quadratic residue, resp. nonresidue, modulo $a'$.\footnote{Today one usually sees this quadratic reciprocity law written in terms of Legendre’s symbol. It is defined, for any integer $a$ and $p$ a prime number not dividing $a$, by} He also gave here the first proof of the law, an elementary one by

15. This is one of several results known today as “Gauss’s lemma.”
16. See [Bullynck 2006a], for a closer study of sec. 2 in comparison to Gauss’s earlier manuscript of the D.A.
induction. A crucial nontrivial ingredient (used in art. 139) is a special case of a theorem stated in art. 125, to the effect that, for every integer which is not a perfect square, there are prime numbers modulo which it is a quadratic nonresidue.

1.2. Quadratic Forms

The focus changes in sec. 5 of the D.A., which treats “forms and indeterminate equations of the second degree,” mostly binary forms, in part also ternary. With its 357 pp. and 156 arts., this section occupies more than half of the whole *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. Leonhard Euler, Joseph-Louis Lagrange, and Adrien-Marie Legendre had forged tools to study the representation of integers by quadratic forms. Gauss, however, moved away from this Diophantine aspect towards a treatment of quadratic forms as objects in their own right, and, as he had done for congruences, explicitly pinpointed and named the key tools. This move is evident already in the opening of sec. 5:

\[
(axx + 2bxy + cyy) \text{ when the indeterminates } x, y \text{ are not at stake, we will write like this, } (a, b, c).
\]

Gauss then immediately singled out the quantity \( bb - ac \) which he called the “determinant” – “on the nature of which, as we will show in the sequel, the prop-

\[
(\frac{2}{p}) = \pm 1 \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}, \text{ that is, } 1 \text{ if } a \text{ is quadratic residue modulo } p, \ -1 \text{ if not; see [Legendre 1788], p. 186, and D.A., art. 106, for the last congruence. Given distinct odd prime numbers } p, q, \text{ the quadratic reciprocity law then says that } \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right). \]

Notwithstanding Dirichlet’s criticism (published after Gauss’s death), [Dirichlet 1889–1897], vol. 2, p. 123, one may point out that Gauss’s formulation stresses the normalization of \( \pm p \), a phenomenon that would recur with higher reciprocity laws; see [Neumann 2005], p. 308.

21. In the late 1960s, John Tate “lifted directly from the argument which was used by Gauss in his first proof of the quadratic reciprocity law” his determination of the second \( K \)-group of the field of rational numbers \( K_2(\mathbb{Q}) \); see [Milnor 1971], p. 102. More generally, Gauss’s argument is seen to provide an inductive procedure to determine successively the local factors at all primes \( p \) of a given Steinberg symbol on \( \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^* \), and the decomposition of the universal continuous Steinberg symbol with values in \( \{\pm 1\} \) is tantamount to the reciprocity law. See [Milnor 1971], p. 101–107; cf. [Tate 1971], § 3.

22. This follows easily from the reciprocity law; Gauss does not even bother to give the details. The difficulty is to prove it directly (arts. 125–129) in a special case which then makes the proof by complete induction of the reciprocity law work: that every \( \pm p \), for a prime \( p \) of the form \( 4n + 1 \), is a quadratic nonresidue modulo some prime \( q < p \).

23. Gauss’s convention that the coefficient of the mixed term be even and its advantages and drawbacks have been much in debate; see J. Schwermer’s chap. VIII.1.

24. D.A., art. 153: *Formam axx + 2bxy + cyy, quando de indeterminatis x, y non agitur, ita designabimus (a, b, c).* In [Kronecker 1891/2001], p. 235, this move is heralded as the first time in history that a system of three discrete quantities was introduced.

25. Nowadays called, sometimes up to a constant, the *discriminant* of the form. For the various normalizations and names of this quantity in the XIX\textsuperscript{th} century, see [Dickson 1919–1923], vol. 3, p. 2. We will usually employ Gauss’s word in this chapter.
properties of the form chiefly depend — showing that it is a quadratic residue of any integer primitively represented by the form (art. 154).

The first part of sec. 5 (arts. 153–222, 146 pp.) is devoted to a vast enterprise of a finer classification of the forms of given determinant, to which the problem of representing numbers by forms is reduced. Gauss defined two quadratic forms (art. 158) to be equivalent if they are transformed into one another under substitutions of the indeterminates, changing \((x, y)\) into \((αx + βy, γx + δy)\), for integral coefficients \(α, β, γ, δ\), with \(αδ − βγ = \pm 1\) or \(= −1\). Two equivalent forms represent the same numbers. If \(αδ − βγ = +1\), the equivalence is said to be “proper,” if \(αδ − βγ = −1\), “improper.” While integral invertible substitutions were already used by Lagrange, this finer distinction is due to Gauss and greatly exploited by him. After generalities relating to these notions and to the representation of numbers by forms — in particular (art. 162), the link between the problem of finding all transformations between two, say, properly equivalent forms, when one is known, and the solutions of the equation \(t^2 − Du^2 = m^2\), where \(D\) is the determinant of the forms and \(m\) the greatest common divisor of their coefficients — the discussion then splits into two very different cases according to whether the determinant is negative or positive. In each case, Gauss showed that any given form is properly equivalent to a so-called “reduced” form (art. 171 for negative, art. 183 for positive discriminants), not necessarily unique, characterized by inequalities imposed on the coefficients. The number of reduced forms — and thus also the number of equivalence classes of forms — of a given determinant is finite. Equivalence among reduced forms is studied — in particular, the distribution of the reduced forms of given positive determinant into “periods” of equivalent reduced forms, art. 185 — and a general procedure is given to determine if two binary quadratic forms with the same determinant are (properly or improperly) equivalent and to find all transformations between them. Using this, Gauss settled the general problem of representing integers by quadratic forms (arts. 180–181, 205, 212), as well as the resolution in integers of quadratic equations with two unknowns and integral coefficients (art. 216). The first half of sec. 5 closes with a brief historical reminder (art. 222).

The classification of forms also ushers the reader into the second half of sec. 5, entitled “further investigations on forms.” Art. 223 fixes an algorithm to find a good representative for every (proper equivalence) class of quadratic forms of a given determinant. Representing classes by reduced forms avoids working with the infinite classes abstractly, just as Gauss never worked with our field \(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\), the elements of which are infinite sets of integers, but with conveniently chosen residues modulo \(p\).

---

26. D.A., art. 154: Numerum \(bb − ac\), a cuius indole proprietates formae \((a, b, c)\) imprinis pendere, in sequentibus docebimus, determinantem huius formae vocabimus.

27. I.e., which can be written as \(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2\), for two coprime integers \(x\) and \(y\).

28. Gauss also handled the general case of arbitrary substitutions with integral coefficients transforming a form into another one which is then said to be “contained” in the first.

29. A reduced form \((A, B, C)\) of determinant \(D < 0\) is such that \(A ≤ 2\sqrt{|D|}/3, B ≤ A/2, C ≥ A\). A reduced form of determinant \(D > 0\) is such that \(0 ≤ B < \sqrt{D}, \sqrt{D} − B ≤ |A| ≤ \sqrt{D} + B\).
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Sectio quarta. De congruentiis secundi gradus.

Sectio sexta. Variae applicationes disquisitionum praecedentium.

Resolutio fractionum in simpliciores 309. Conversione fractionum communium in decimales 312. Soluto congruentiae \[ xx \equiv A \] per methodum exclusionis 319. Soluto aequationis indeterminatarum \[ mx^2 + ny^2 = A \] per exclusiones 323. Alia methodus congruentiam \[ xx \equiv A \] solvendi pro eo casu ubi \( A \) est negativus 327. Duae methodi, numeros compositos a primis dignoscendi, illorumque factores investigandi, 329.

Sectio septima. De aequationibus, circuli sectiones definientibus.

Disquisitio reductur ad casum simplicissimum, ubi multitudo partium, in quas circulum secure oportet, est numerus primus 336. Aequationes pro functionibus trigonometricis arcum qui sunt pars aut partes totius peripheriae; reductio functionum trigonometricarum ad radices aequationis \[ x^n = 1 \] art. 337. Theoria radicum huius aequationis \( x^n = 1 \) ubi supponitur, \( n \) esse numerum primum. Omissi radicem 1, reliqua \( \Omega \) continetur in aequatione \[ X = x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \ldots + x + 1 = 0 \]. Funcio \( X \) resolvi nequit in factores inferiores, in quibus omnes coefficientes sint rationales 341. Propositum disquisitionum sequentium declaratur 342. Omnes radices \( \Omega \) in certas classes (periodos) distribuuntur 343. Varia theoremata de his periodi 344 sqq. His disquisitionibus superstruit solutio aequationis \[ x^n = 0 \] art. 352. Exempla pro \( n = 19 \), ubi negotium ad duas aequationes cubicas unamque quadraticam, et pro \( n = 17 \), ubi ad quatuor quadratricas reductur artt. 353, 354. Disquisitiones ulteriores de hoc argumento. Aggregata, in quibus terminorum multitudo par, sunt quantitates reales 355. De aequatione, per quam distributio radicum \( \Omega \) in duas periodos definitur 356. Demonstratio theorematis in sect. IV commemorat art. 357. De aequatione pro distributione radicum \( \Omega \) in tres periodos 338. Aequationem, per quas radices \( \Omega \) inveniuntur reducto ad puras 359. Applicatio disquisitionum praecedentium ad functiones trigonometricas. Methodus, angulos quibus singulae radices \( \Omega \) respondente dignoscendi 361. Tangentes, cotangentes, secantes et cosecantes e sinus et cosinus absque divisione derivantur 362. Methodus, aequationes pro functionibus trigonometriis successive deprimendae 363. Sectiones circuli, quas per aequationes quadraticas sive per constructiones geometricas perficere dicet 365.

Additamenta.

Tabulae.
In art. 226, certain classes are grouped together into an “order” according to the divisibility properties of their coefficients. 30 There follows (arts. 229–233) a finer grouping of the classes within a given order according to their “genus.” Gauss showed that, for every odd prime divisor \( p \) of the determinant of a form (with coprime coefficients), integers prime to \( p \) that can be represented by the form (and thus by all forms of its class) are either all quadratic residues, or all nonresidues modulo \( p \): recording this information, as well as similar information at \( p = 2 \) for even discriminants, defines the “character” of the form (or of the class of the form). Classes with the same character are put into the same genus. The principal genus for a determinant \( D \) is that of the principal form \((1, 0, -D)\). 31

In art. 235, Gauss defined a form \( F(X, Y) = AXX + 2BXY + CYY \) to be a “composite” of \( f(x, y) = axx + 2bxy + cyy \) and \( f'(x', y') = a'x'x' + 2b'x'y' + c'y'y' \), if \( F(B_1, B_2) = f(x, y) \cdot f'(x', y') \), for certain transformations of the indeterminates \( B_i(x, y; x', y') \), bilinear, as we would say, in \( x, y \) and \( x', y' \). While this definition generalizes time-honoured relations like the following, 32 with \( F = f = f' \):

\[
(xx' - Nyy')^2 + N(xy' + yx')^2 = (x^2 + Ny^2) \cdot (x'^2 + Ny'^2),
\]

the generality of the concept allowed Gauss to enter uncharted territory, for instance, to check – by elaborate computations – formal properties like the commutativity and associativity of the operation, as far as it is defined on the level of forms (arts. 240–241). In the end, the concept yields a multiplicative structure on the set of orders (art. 245) and of genera, with the principal genus acting like a neutral element (arts. 246–248), and indeed of classes (art. 239 and art. 249) of the same determinant. 33

This rich new structure gave Gauss a tremendous leverage: to answer new questions, for instance, on the distribution of the classes among the genera (arts. 251–253); to come back to his favourite theorem, the quadratic reciprocity law, and derive a second proof of it from a consideration of the number of characters that actually correspond to genera of a given discriminant (arts. 261–262); to solve a long-standing conjecture of Fermat’s (art. 293) to the effect that every positive integer is the sum of three triangular numbers. For this last application, as well as for deeper insight into the number of genera, Gauss quickly generalized (art. 266 ff.) the basic theory of reduced forms, classes etc., from binary to ternary quadratic forms. This

30. It is with explicit reference to this terminology that Richard Dedekind would later introduce the notion of order into algebraic number theory in [Dedekind 1930–1932], vol. 1, pp. 105–158.
31. See also §2 of F. Lemmermeyer’s chap. VIII.3 below. Such classificatory schemes, then part and parcel of the natural sciences, already existed in mathematics, with variants, see Hindenburg’s classification in [Bullynck 2006b], pp. 259–260. Note, however, that Gauss put classes below genera and orders.
33. This particularly difficult theory of the composition of forms has been reformulated several times by Gauss’s successors; two different perspectives, emphasizing different aspects of its history and of its current relevance, are proposed in chaps. II.2 and II.3 below, by H.M. Edwards and by D. Fenster and J. Schwermer.
gave him in particular explicit formulae for the number of representations of binary quadratic forms, and of integers, by ternary forms, implying especially that every integer $\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ can be written as the sum of three squares, which is tantamount to Fermat's claim. Sec. 5 closes (arts. 305–307) by open-ended reflections on the analogy between the multiplicative structure of the prime residue classes modulo an integer and of the classes of quadratic forms.

Sec. 5 sometimes displays, and often hides, a tremendous amount of explicit computations performed by Gauss, of numbers of classes, genera, or representations. To mention just one striking example of such extensive computations, which had an intriguing long term history, Gauss observed that any given “classification,” that is, any given pair of numbers, one for the number of genera (which Gauss wrote as a Roman numeral) and one for the number of classes contained in a single genus (Arabic numeral), is realized by at most finitely many negative determinants:

It seems beyond doubt that the sequences written down do indeed break off, and by analogy the same conclusion may be extended to any other classification. For instance, since in the whole tenth thousand of determinants there is none corresponding to a class number less than 24, it is highly probable that the classifications I.23, I.21 etc.; II.11; II.10 etc.; IV.5; IV.4; IV.3; IV.2 stop already before $-9000$, or at least that they contain extremely few determinants beyond $-10000$. However, rigorous proofs of these observations appear to be most difficult.

34. The entry in Gauss’s mathematical diary about this problem is the only one accompanied by Archimedes's exclamation “EYPHKA”; see [Gauss 1796–1814], July 10, 1796.

35. This as well as the composition of orders and genera alluded to above would provide one of the sources for the later development of the abstract concept of group, see [Wussing 1969], I, § 3.3, and [Wussing 2001].


37. Our translation of D.A., art. 303: Nullum dubium esse videtur, quin series a scriptae re vera abruptae sint, et per analogiam conclusionem eandem ad quasuis alias classificationes extendere licebit. E.g. quum in tota milliade decima determinantium nullus se obtulerit, cui multitudo classium infra 24 responderit: maxime est verisimile, classificationes I.23, I.21 etc.; II.11; II.10 etc.; IV.5; IV.4; IV.3; IV.2 iam ante $-9000$ desiisse, aut saltem perpaucis determinantisibus ultra $-10000$ comprehendere. Demonstrations autem rigorosae harum observationum perdifficiles esse videntur. Indeed, for one of the simplest constellations of numbers of classes and genera (corresponding to “orders of class number one” in imaginary quadratic fields, in Richard Dedekind’s terminology of 1877), the proof that the list of determinants found by Gauss (art. 303) is actually complete was given by Kurt Heegner only in 1954 – and at first not accepted – by a method which subsequently would greatly enrich the arithmetic of elliptic curves. A book on Heegner by H. Opolka, S.J. Patterson, and N. Schappacher is in preparation.
1.3. Applications

Explicit calculations had evidently been part and parcel of number theory for Gauss ever since he acquired a copy of [Lambert 1770] at age 15, and launched into counting prime numbers in given intervals in order to guess their asymptotic distribution. In these tables, Johann Heinrich Lambert made the memorable comment:

What one has to note with respect to all factorization methods proposed so far, is that primes take longest, yet cannot be factored. This is because there is no way of knowing beforehand whether a given number has any divisors or not.

The whole D.A. is illustrated by many non-trivial examples and accompanied by numerical tables. Section 6 (52 pp., 27 arts.) is explicitly dedicated to computational applications. In the earlier part of sec. 6, Gauss discussed explicit methods for partial fraction decomposition, decimal expansion, and quadratic congruences. Its latter part (arts. 329–334) takes up Lambert’s problem and proposes two primality tests: one is based on the fact that a number which is a quadratic residue of a given integer \( M \) is also a quadratic residue of its divisors and relies on results of sec. 4; the second method uses the number of values of \( \sqrt{-D} \mod M \), for \(-D\) a quadratic residue of \( M \), and the results on forms of determinant \(-D\) established in sec. 5.

The final Section 7 on cyclotomy (74 pp., 31 arts.) is probably the most famous part of the *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, then and now, because it contains the conditions of constructibility of regular polygons with ruler and compass. After a few reminders on circular functions – in particular (art. 337), the fact that trigonometric functions of the angles \( kP/n \), for a fixed integer \( n \) and for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots n - 1 \), where \( P = 2\pi \) denotes “the circumference of the circle,” are roots of equations of degree \( n \) – Gauss focused on the prime case and the irreducible equation

\[
X = 0, \quad \text{where } X = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1; \quad n > 2 \text{ prime},
\]

which his aim is to “decompose gradually into an increasing number of factors in such a way that the coefficients of these factors can be determined by equations of as
low a degree as possible, until one arrives at simple factors, i.e., at the roots $\Omega$ of $X$.

Art. 353 illustrates the procedure for $n = 19$, which requires solving two equations of degree three and one quadratic equation (because $n - 1 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$); art. 354 does the same for $n = 17$ which leads to four quadratic equations ($n - 1 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$).

All roots of $X = 0$ are powers $r^i$ of one of them, but to solve the equation, Gauss replaced the natural sequence of the exponents $i$, that is $1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, by the more efficient bookkeeping provided by sec. 3:

But in this [natural] form of the roots there is presented no means of distributing them into cyclical periods, nor even of ascertaining the existence of such periods or of determining their laws. It was the happy substitution of a geometrical series formed by the successive powers of a primitive root of $n$ in place of the arithmetical series of natural numbers, as the indices [i.e., exponents] of $r$, which enabled [Gauss] to exhibit not merely all the different roots of the equation $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$, but which also made manifest the cyclical periods which existed among them. Thus if $a$ was a primitive root of $n$ and $n - 1 = mk$, then in the series $r, r^a, r^{2a}, \ldots, r^{k-1}, \ldots, r^{mk-1}$ the $m$ successive series which are formed by the selection of every $k$th term, beginning with the first, the second … are periodical.

Complementary results on the auxiliary equations, i.e., those satisfied by the sums over all the roots of unity in a given period, are given in art. 359, applications to the division of the circle in the final arts. 365 and 366. As a byproduct of his resolution of $X = 0$, Gauss also initiated a study of what are today called “Gauss sums,” i.e., certain (weighted) sums of roots of unity, like the sum of a period, or of special values of circular functions. For instance, he proved (art. 356) that, for an odd prime $n$ and an integer $k$ not divisible by $n$,

$$\sum_R \cos \frac{krP}{n} - \sum_N \cos \frac{knP}{n} = \pm \sqrt{n} \quad \text{or} \quad 0,$$

going according to whether $n \equiv 1$ or $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Here, $R$ varies over the quadratic residues, $N$ over the quadratic non-residues modulo $n$.

1.4. The Disquisitiones Arithmeticae as a System

In the preface of the D.A., Gauss explicitly restricted the objects of arithmetic to be the rational integers; he wrote:

41. Our translation of D.A., art. 342: *Propositum disquisitionum sequentium ... eo tendit, ut $X$ in factores continuo plures GRADA TIM resolvatur, et quidem ita, ut horum coefficientes per aequationes ordinis quam infimi determinentur, usque dum hoc modo ad factores simplices sive ad radices $\Omega$ ipsas perveniatur.*

42. For Gauss’s early announcements of these results and details on the case of the 17-gon, see [Reich 2000]. The impact on the theory of equations is discussed in O. Neumann’s chap. II.1.

43. [Peacock 1834], p. 316. Gauss described his view in his letter to Christian Gerling of January 6, 1819; see [Gauss & Gerling 1927/1975], p. 188.

44. The sign of $\sqrt{n}$ depends on whether $k$ is or is not a quadratic residue modulo $n$, but Gauss did not succeed in proving this fact in the D.A. See S.J. Patterson’s chap. VIII.2 below.
The theory of the division of the circle … which is treated in sec. 7 does not belong by itself to arithmetic, but its principles can only be drawn from higher arithmetic.45

In sec. 7 itself, he promised that the “intimate connection” of the topic with higher arithmetic “will be made abundantly clear by the treatment itself.”46 There is of course the technical link mentioned above, that is, the bookkeeping of the roots of unity via sec. 3. But the “intimate connection” that Gauss announced goes further and also concerns the systemic architecture of the treatise.

Despite the impressive theoretical display of sec. 5, one cannot fully grasp the systemic qualities of the D.A. from the torso that Gauss published in 1801. At several places in the D.A. and in his correspondence a forthcoming volume II is referred to. The only solid piece of evidence we have is what remains of Gauss’s 1796–1797 manuscript of the treatise. This differs from the structure of the published D.A. in that it contains an (incomplete) 8th chapter (caput octavum), devoted to higher congruences, i.e., polynomials with integer coefficients taken modulo a prime and modulo an irreducible polynomial.47 Thus, according to Gauss’s original plan, sec. 7 would not have been so conspicuously isolated, but would have been naturally integrated into a greater, systemic unity. The division of the circle would have provided a model for the topic of the caput octavum, the theory of higher congruences; it would have appeared as part of a theory which, among many other insights, yields two entirely new proofs of the quadratic reciprocity law.48

The treatise would thus have come full circle in several respects: beginning with ordinary congruences and ending with higher congruences; encountering various periodic structures along the way: prime residues, periods of reduced quadratic forms of positive discriminant, classes of quadratic forms which are all multiples of one class, cyclotomic periods and their analogues mod \( p \); and proving quadratic reciprocity four separate times in the process.

That scientificity ought to be expressed by way of a system was a widespread idea in Germany in the second half of the XVIIIth century. Lambert, whose works were well represented in Gauss’s library, wrote, besides his scientific œuvre, several philosophical texts developing this idea, at least two of which Gauss owned personally.49 German idealist philosophers from Immanuel Kant to Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, for instance Johann Gottlieb Fichte, Friedrich Wilhelm Joseph von

45. Our translation of D.A., praefatio: Theoria divisionis circuli …. quae in Sect. VII tractatur, ipsa quidem per se ad Arithmeticae non pertinet, attamen eius principia unice ex Arithmetica Sublimiti petenda sunt.
46. D.A., art. 335: tractatio ipsa abunde declarabit, quam intimo nexu hoc argumentum cum arithmetica sublimiti coniunctum sit.
47. We summarize in this paragraph G. Frei’s analysis, in chap. II.4 below, of the caput octavum and its importance for the economy of the whole treatise that Gauss originally planned.
48. Gauss published them later independently; see G. Frei’s chap. II. 4 below.
49. Maarten Bullynck has drawn our attention to [Lambert 1764] and [Lambert 1771]; see [Bullynck 2006b], p. 278. Unfortunately, the dates of acquisition for these items are not known.
Schelling, Karl Leonhard Reinhold, and Jakob Friedrich Fries, cultivated various systemic programmes. Starting with Fichte, a system with a circular instead of linear architecture – returning to its initial point which thereby receives its higher justification – is called upon to provide a self-justifying foundation for the unfolding of self-consciousness. With Hegel this would become the unfolding of reason; in his first philosophical publication, which appeared in the same year as Gauss’s D.A., Hegel wrote that “the method of the system, which may be called neither analytical nor synthetical, is realized most purely if it appears as the development of reason itself.”

The systemic design of Gauss’s original plan for his arithmetic fits those ambient ideas remarkably well. It makes it possible, for instance, to appreciate the four proofs of the quadratic reciprocity law originally planned for the treatise in a dual way: deducing a theorem at a certain place of the systemic development endows it with a specific theoretical meaning; on the other hand, the various proofs of the same result connect these theoretical frameworks into a system which is not simply a deduction of increasingly complicated theorems from initial axioms. In Gauss’s words,

It is the insight into the marvellous interlinking of the truths of higher arithmetic which constitutes the greatest appeal of these investigations.

Another systemic cyclicity is created precisely by the already mentioned recurrence of periodic structures throughout the treatise. Gauss himself insisted on the analogy between what we call cyclic components of class groups and the multiplicative structure of residues modulo a prime number:

The proof of the preceding theorem will be found to be completely analogous to the proofs of arts. 45, 49, and the theory of the multiplication of classes actually has a very great affinity in every respect with the argument of sec. 3.

50. [Hegel 1801], p. 35: Am reinsten gibt sich die weder synthetisch noch analytisch zu nen- nende Methode des Systems, wenn sie als eine Entwicklung der Vernunft selbst erscheint. For a general orientation about the philosophical ideas alluded to in this paragraph, see [Ritter, Gründer 1998], art. “System,” pp. 835–843.

51. In spite of Gauss’s philosophical interests – e.g., he is said to have read Kant’s *Critique of Pure Reason* several times; see [Dunnington 1955], p. 315; cf. J. Ferreirós’s chap. III.2 and J. Boniface’s chap. V.1 below – we have no evidence of a direct and conscious inspiration; later mentions of Hegel by Gauss are rather critical; see for instance [Gauss & Schumacher 1862], vol. 4, n° 944, p. 337. A reference to Gauss’s idea of science as a system in the not very reliable biographical essay [Waltershausen 1856], p. 97, suggests only a banal deductive structure.

52. From the philosophical point of view, cf. [Hartmann 1972], p. 106: “The point easily lost sight of is that the [systemic] methodological structure provides a new meaning to categories that already have a meaning.”


54. Our translation of D.A., art. 306: *Demonstratio theor. praecl. omnino analoga inventetur*
Gauss thus drew the attention of the reader to the fact that sec. 3 was not only instrumental for decomposing the cyclotomic equation in sec. 7 but also linked the theory of forms to the rest. He also significantly called “irregular” a determinant whose principal genus was not cyclic, i.e., not constituted by the multiples of a single class of forms.

Half a century later, the mathematician Ernst Eduard Kummer reflected upon a suitable system for “the more recent mathematics,” and concluded that it should not be linear but rather like the system of the universe; its goal would be to give not just the deduction of the mathematical truths, but an insight into all the essential relations among them.55

As mentioned above, the subject of the Disquisitiones Arithmeticae was natural numbers and Gauss’s proofs were anchored in intricate computations, both formal (as in the sec. 5) and numerical, ultimately based on integers. The tension between this anchorage of the book and the striving towards a wider theoretical scope, as illustrated in the last section of the D.A., will be a recurring theme in what follows. It explains why the question of the reception of the book is tightly linked to the shaping of number theory as a specific discipline.

2. The Early Years of the Disquisitiones Arithmeticae

Gauss’s own impressions of the early reception of the Disquisitiones Arithmeticae are scattered in his correspondence. A letter of June 16, 1805, to Antoine-Charles Marcel Poullet-Delisle, his French translator,56 summarizes them well:

It is for me as sweet as it is flattering that the investigations contained in my Work, to which I devoted the best part of my youth, and which were the source of my sweetest pleasures, have acquired so many friends in France; a fate quite different from what

---

55. [Kummer 1975], vol. 2, p. 697: *die neuere Mathematik … wird sich erst später ihr eigen-
thümliches System schaffen, und zwar wol nicht mehr nur ein in einer Linie fortlaufendes,
dessen Vollkommenheit allein darin liegt, dass das Folgende überall durch das Vorherge-
hende begründet werde, sondern ein dem Weltsysteme ähnlicheres, dessen Aufgabe es
sein wird, über die blosse Begründung der mathematischen Wahrheiten hinausgehend,
eine allseitige Erkenntnis der wesentlichen Beziehungen derselben zueinander zu geben.
In [Kummer 1975], vol. 2, p. 687, the parallel is made explicit between Hegel’s principle of
the systemic “self-interpretation of content” (Sichselbstauslegen des Inhalts) and the
system required for the new mathematics since Gauss.

56. On his life, see [Boncompagni 1882].
they found in Germany where a taste for the most difficult parts of pure mathematics is the property of a very small number of persons.57

The *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* had in fact been mentioned at the French Academy at least as early as January 1802:

Citizen Legendre communicates a geometrical discovery, made in Germany by M. Charles Frédéric Bruce [sic], from Brunswick, and published by him in his work entitled *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, Leipsik, 1801.58

and was commented upon very positively from all quarters.59 The project of a French translation was supported by arguably the most prominent mathematician of the time, Pierre-Siméon Laplace, and on May 31, 1804, Joseph-Louis Lagrange wrote to Gauss:

Your *Disquisitiones* have put you at once among the first mathematicians, and I consider the last section as one which contains the most beautiful analytic discovery made in a long time. Your work on planets will moreover have the merit of the importance of its topic.60

The beginning of this praise is often quoted, but taken in its entirety, the citation provides important clues about the early reception of the D.A. First, attention focused on the last section, the resolution of $x^n - 1 = 0$ through auxiliary equations and its consequences for the constructibility of regular polygons; this is the part of the book which borders both on the general theory of equations and on geometry. Second, Gauss’s innovation was described as “analytical.” Finally, number theory (and more generally pure mathematics) was considered a subsidiary subject compared to astronomy or mathematical physics.

57. Letter published by Ernest Fauque de Jonquières in 1896, *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des sciences* 122, p. 829: *Il m’est aussi doux que flatteur que les recherches contenues dans mon Ouvrage, auxquelles j’avais dévoué la plus belle partie de ma jeunesse, et qui ont été la source de mes plus douces jouissances, aient acquis tant d’amis en France; sort bien inégal à celui qu’elles ont trouvé en Allemagne où le goût pour les parties plus difficiles des mathématiques pures n’est la propriété que d’un fort petit nombre de personnes.*


59. Gauss’s fame in France was decisive for his connection to Alexander von Humboldt (then in Paris), and for establishing on the German scene, through Humboldt, a place for himself and, afterwards, for other number theorists; see H. Pieper’s chap. III.1 in this volume.

60. [Lagrange 1867–1892], vol. 14, p. 299: *Vos Disquisitiones vous ont mis tout de suite au rang des premiers géomètres et je regarde la dernière section comme contenant la plus belle découverte analytique qui ait été faite depuis longtemps. Votre travail sur les planètes aura de plus le mérite de l’importance de son objet. “Geometer” (géomètre) remains a standard terminology for “mathematician” in French during the XIXth century.*