

# Towards a two-fluid model without gyroviscous cancellation

E. Franck<sup>12</sup>

Jorek Meeting 2019, Munich, Germany

---

<sup>1</sup>Inria Nancy Grand Est, France

<sup>2</sup>IRMA, Strasbourg university, France



# Kinetic models

- We begin by introduce the mesoscopic model to describe two species ( ion, electron) plasma.
- $f_s(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  is the density of particles (ion, electron) at time  $t$ , space  $\mathbf{x}$  and velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ .

## Vlasov-Maxwell 2 species

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f_s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}(f_s) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_s = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} C_s + C_{s,s'} \\ \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \mathbf{E} - \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon_0} \end{array} \right.$$

with  $\sigma = q_i \int f_i + q_e \int f_e$  and  $\mathbf{J} = q_i \int \mathbf{v} f_i + q_e \int \mathbf{v} f_e$

- $\varepsilon$  is homogeneous to the collisional frequency.
- **Collisional limit:** we take  $f_s = f_s^0 + \varepsilon f_s^1 + O(\varepsilon^2)$ .
- Keeping only zero order terms we obtain two fluid Euler-Maxwell equations.
- Keeping only zero and first order terms we obtain two fluid Viscous Euler-Maxwell equations.

# Two fluid Euler-Maxwell equations

## Euler-Maxwell 2 species

### Final equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i) = 0 \\ \partial_t \rho_e + \nabla \cdot (\rho_e \mathbf{u}_e) = 0 \\ \partial_t (\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla p_i = \sigma_i \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_i \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_i + \mathbf{R}_i \\ \partial_t (\rho_e \mathbf{u}_e) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_e \mathbf{u}_e \otimes \mathbf{u}_e) + \nabla p_e = \sigma_e \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_e \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_e + \mathbf{R}_e \\ \partial_t \rho_i \epsilon_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{u}_i + p_i \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_i + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) = \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{R}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_i + Q_{\Delta_i} \\ \partial_t \rho_e \epsilon_e + \nabla \cdot (\rho_e \epsilon_e \mathbf{u}_e + p_e \mathbf{u}_e) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_e + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_e \cdot \mathbf{u}_e) = \sigma_e \mathbf{u}_e \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{R}_e \cdot \mathbf{u}_e + Q_{\Delta_e} \\ \epsilon^2 \partial_t \mathbf{E} - \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{J} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \\ \epsilon^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = n_i - n_e \end{array} \right.$$

■ with  $\epsilon \approx \frac{V_0}{c} \ll 1$ .

### Quasi neutral limit: $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

■ The generalized Ohm law is obtain using electron momentum equation:

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} = \eta \mathbf{J} - \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_e + \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \nabla p_e + O\left(\frac{m_e}{m_i}\right).$$

■ Taking  $\frac{m_e}{m_i} \rightarrow 0$  and quai neutral limit we will obtain **Extended MHD**.

# Extended MHD equations

- We take the **two limits** introduced previously.
- We define global quantities:

$$\rho = m_i n_i + m_e n_e, \quad \mathbf{u} = \frac{m_i n_i \mathbf{u}_i + m_e n_e \mathbf{u}_e}{m_i n_i + m_e n_e}, \quad p = p_i + p_e$$

## Extended MHD

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + -\nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} \\ \partial_t p_i + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p_i + \gamma p_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \kappa_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_i + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} : \nabla \mathbf{u} + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} : \nabla \mathbf{u} \\ = 3(\gamma - 1) \frac{\rho_e}{\tau_e m_i} (T_i - T_e) \\ \partial_t p_e + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p_e + \gamma p_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \kappa_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_e \\ = \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \mathbf{J} \cdot \left( \nabla p_e - \gamma p_e \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) - 3(\gamma - 1) \frac{\rho_e}{\tau_e m_i} (T_i - T_e) + \eta |\mathbf{J}|^2 \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \left( -\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \mathbf{J} - \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \nabla p_e + \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \right) \\ \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- Additionally we can assume that  $T_i < T_e$  such that  $p_i \approx p_e$ .

# Velocity approximation (D. Schnack)

- We introduce the Spatial ratio:  $\delta = \frac{\rho_i^*}{L}$  with  $\rho_i^*$  the ion Larmor radius.
- Time ratio assumption:  $\varepsilon = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_{ci}} \approx \delta^2$  (idem for collisional frequency).
- Velocity small parameter:  $\xi = \frac{V_0}{V_{Ti}} \approx \delta$

Firstly we take the ion velocity equation to obtain:

$$m_i n_i \partial_t \mathbf{u}_i + m_i n_i \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_i + \nabla p_i = e n_i (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_i \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}_i + \mathbf{R}_i$$

After small computations we obtain which gives

$$\mathbf{u}_i = (\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}) \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{m_i}{e |\mathbf{B}|^2} \mathbf{B} \times (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_i) + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{n_i e |\mathbf{B}|^2} \times (\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}_i - \mathbf{R}_i)$$

After the Ordering we obtain

$$\delta \mathbf{u} = \delta \frac{(\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \delta \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \delta^3 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_i) + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{n |\mathbf{B}|^2} \times \left( \delta \nabla p_i + \underbrace{\delta^2 \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}_i}_{?} - \underbrace{\frac{\delta}{R_m} \mathbf{R}_i}_{\approx \delta^2} \right)$$

Final velocity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx (\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}) \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{n |\mathbf{B}|^2} \times \nabla p_i$$

## Final velocity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} v_{\parallel} + \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{n |\mathbf{B}|^2} \times \nabla p_i$$

- Classical decomposition of viscous tensor:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}} = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} + \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_c + \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{\perp} \approx \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} + \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{gyro}$$

- Proposition of simplification by A. Zeiler (IPP report):

- Viscosity:

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} = G \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \frac{1}{3} \nabla G + \nabla_{\parallel} G$$

- Viscous heating:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} : \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{3\eta_0} G^2$$

with  $G = -\eta_0(2\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v_{\parallel} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\perp}))$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|}$ .

- We can neglect the viscous heating and in this we obtain a dissipation linked to  $G^2$ .

# Viscous tensor approximation II

## Final velocity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} v_{\parallel} + \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{n |\mathbf{B}|^2} \times \nabla p_i$$

- Proposition of simplification by A. Zeiler (IPP report):

- ☐ Viscosity

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} = & -\rho \mathbf{u}_i^* \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + p_i \left( \nabla \times \frac{m_i \mathbf{b}}{e \|\mathbf{B}\|} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \\ & + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left( \frac{m_i p_i}{2e \|\mathbf{B}\|} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{u} \right) + \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{m_i p_i}{2e \|\mathbf{B}\|} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- ☐ Principle of the Gyro-viscous cancelation: **neglect the three last terms and kill the first one with a part of the advection part.**
  - ☐ No Gyro viscous heating.
  - ☐ Proposition simple: **no simplification** or energy conserving simplification.
- We can prove that

$$\int \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} \mathbf{u} = 0$$

- It is also true for **the two first terms**. We can keep only the two first terms.



# Final model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} - \nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} \\ \partial_t \frac{1}{\gamma-1} p_i + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p_i + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_i + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{\parallel} : \nabla \mathbf{u} + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}_{gv} : \nabla \mathbf{u} \\ = 3 \frac{\rho_e}{\tau_e m_i} (T_i - T_e) \\ \partial_t \frac{1}{\gamma-1} p_e + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p_e + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_e \\ = \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \mathbf{J} \cdot \left( \nabla p_e - \gamma p_e \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) - 3 \frac{\rho_e}{\tau_e m_i} (T_i - T_e) + \eta |\mathbf{J}|^2 \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{E} = \left( -\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \mathbf{J} - \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} \nabla p_e + \frac{m_i}{\rho_e} (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \right) \\ \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\perp} + \mathbf{u}_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{\perp} = \mathbf{u}_E + \mathbf{u}_i^*, \quad \mathbf{u}_i^* = \frac{m_i}{e\rho} \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla p_i}{|\mathbf{B}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{u}_E = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{\parallel} = v_{\parallel} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|} \end{array} \right.$$

# Reduction assumption

- To obtain a reduced model we write the equation as a potential decomposition and we write the equation on the potential.

## Jorek Reduction

- For  $\mathbf{B}$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{F_0}{R^2} \mathbf{e}_\phi + \frac{1}{R} \nabla \psi \times \mathbf{e}_\phi$$

- For  $\mathbf{u}$

$$\mathbf{u} = -R \nabla u \times \mathbf{e}_\phi + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{B} + \frac{m_i R}{\rho e F_0} \mathbf{e}_\phi \times \nabla p$$

## M3DC1 Reduction

- For  $\mathbf{B}$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{F}{R} \mathbf{e}_\phi + \nabla \psi \times \mathbf{e}_\phi$$

- For  $\mathbf{u}$

$$\mathbf{u} = R^2 \nabla u \times \mathbf{e}_\phi + R \omega \mathbf{e}_\phi + \frac{1}{R^2} \nabla_{\perp} \chi$$

- The first term to  $\mathbf{u}$  seems equivalent to say that  $\mathbf{E} = F_0 \nabla u$ .
- The diamagnetic term are not explicitly put in the M3DC1 velocity. The velocity in M3DC1 is linear compare to the scalar variables **not the case in JOREK**.

# Projection assumption

- As say before we need projection to conclude the reduction

## Jorek Projection

- for  $\psi$ : we take the equation on  $\mathbf{A} = \phi \mathbf{e}_\phi$  and multiply by  $\mathbf{e}_\phi$  ?
- for  $\mathbf{u}$  to obtain poloidal velocity we apply

$$\mathbf{e}_\phi \nabla \times (R^2 \dots)$$

and to obtain parallel velocity we apply  $\mathbf{B} \cdot ()$

# Compute the reduce model

## Principle

- We put the reduction  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  in the full equation, put the projections and neglect some small terms.
- **Problem:** make that keeping the momentum and the energy conservation.
- Simplify some terms and keep the energy is difficult (for me).

## Possible ways (for me)

- Write all the terms in pressure/velocity/ $\mathbf{B}/\rho$  equations and hope that the projection does not broke the energy conservation.
- Take the conservation energy momentum and energy and after apply the reduction. After that compute the equations on pressure and potential.
- New model proposed by Nikulsin talk's correspond of these possibilities ?
- Work in the full variables and project with the weak form ( B. Nkonga proposition) + no simplification.

# Third way I

- B. Nkonga way to derivate the equation.
- We consider

$$\rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0$$

- on the weak form

$$\int \rho \partial_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) dW + \int (\mathbf{u}, \nabla p) dW = 0$$

with  $\mathbf{v}$  a test function and  $dW = R dV$

- We choose the test function as

$$\mathbf{v} = -R \nabla v_i \times \mathbf{e}_\phi$$

with  $v_i$  a scalar basis function. We obtain

$$\int \rho \partial_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) dW = \int R^2 (\rho \nabla u, \nabla v_i) dW$$

and

$$\int (\mathbf{u}, \nabla p) = \int (-R \nabla v_i \times \mathbf{e}_\phi, \nabla p) R dV = \int \frac{1}{R} \mathbf{e}_\phi \cdot \nabla \times (R^2 p) v_i R dV = \int \frac{1}{R} [R^2, p] v_i dW$$

- We obtain the weak form of JOREK.
- The choice of  $\mathbf{v}$  can be view as the choice of projection.
- This way allow to derive the the model with the same way (only choice of velocity and projection can change)
- Without simplification we should be obtain a energy conserving weak for the previous full model.

- To finish: property that i understand for the other models.
- Model 303 (no diamagnetic terms)
  - No conservation in energy for the model: missign some small cross between the velocities.
  - Conservation in energy for time scheme if it is the case for the model.
  - No conservation in the linearization: we need to converge Newton/picard process for that.
- Model 199
  - Conservation in energy for the model.
  - Conservation in energy for time scheme.
  - No conservation in the linearization: we need to converge Newton/picard process for that.

# Conclusion

- The full model introduced before seems a good candidate to begin all the reduction
- Construction of reduced using Boniface method seems good to obtain the different reduced models with energy conservation (it is depend of the simplification)
- With simplification we will obtain model in JOEREK. Without we will obtain additional terms.
- Other possible advantage: To derivate all the models we begin with the full MHD. A interesting point will be to write the time scheme for full MHD (Crank-Nicolson, Semi implicit closed M3DC1, splitting scheme etc) which is more simple and reduced after.
- I can help Boniface, Guido, Javier, Matthias etc for the derivation of these models