

# Bulk-boundary correspondence in quantum Hall systems and beyond

Hermann Schulz-Baldes, Erlangen

main collaborators:

Prodan, Kellendonk, Bellissard

Workshop QHE, Köln, December 2015

## Plan of the talk

- explain mathematical principles of bulk-boundary correspondence on a simple one-dimensional model (Su-Schrieffer-Heeger):  
Toeplitz extension, bulk and boundary invariants, index theorems
- $d$ -dimensional disordered systems of independent Fermions (topological insulators from class A and AIII, no real structures)
- bulk and boundary invariants and correspondence:  
examples of QHE and surface QHE in 3d chiral system
- generalized Streda formula
- delocalized edge modes with non-trivial topology
- index theorems

**Tools:**  $K$ -theory, index theory and non-commutative geometry

## Start with concrete model in dimension $d = 1$

Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (1980, conducting polyacetylene polymer)

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2) \otimes S + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2) \otimes S^* + m\sigma_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

where  $S$  bilateral shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  mass and Pauli matrices.  
In their grading

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S - im \\ S^* + im & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$$

Off-diagonal  $\cong$  chiral symmetry  $\sigma_3^* H \sigma_3 = -H$ . In Fourier space:

$$H = \int^{\oplus} dk H_k \quad H_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-ik} - im \\ e^{ik} + im & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Topological invariant for  $m \neq -1, 1$

$$\text{Wind}(k \mapsto e^{ik} + im) = \delta(m \in (-1, 1))$$

## Chiral bound states

Half-space Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{S} - im \\ \hat{S}^* + im & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$$

where  $\hat{S}$  unilateral right shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$

Still chiral symmetry  $\sigma_3^* \hat{H} \sigma_3 = -\hat{H}$

If  $m = 0$ , simple bound state at  $E = 0$  with eigenvector  $\psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Perturbations, e.g. in  $m$ , cannot move or lift this bound state  $\psi_m!$

Positive chirality conserved:  $\sigma_3 \psi_m = \psi_m$

**Theorem (Basic bulk-boundary correspondence)**

If  $\hat{P}$  projection on bound states of  $\hat{H}$ , then

$$\text{Wind}(k \mapsto e^{ik} + im) = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}\sigma_3)$$

## Disordered model

Add i.i.d. random mass term  $\omega = (m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ :

$$H_\omega = H + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} m_n \sigma_2 \otimes |n\rangle\langle n|$$

Still chiral symmetry  $\sigma_3^* H_\omega \sigma_3 = -H_\omega$  so

$$H_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_\omega^* \\ A_\omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bulk gap at  $E = 0 \implies A_\omega$  invertible

Non-commutative winding number, also called first Chern number:

$$\text{Wind} = \text{Ch}_1(A) = i \mathbf{E}_\omega \text{Tr} \langle 0 | A_\omega^{-1} i[X, A_\omega] | 0 \rangle$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_\omega$  is average over probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on i.i.d. masses

# Index theorem and bulk-boundary correspondence

## Theorem (Disordered Noether-Gohberg-Krein Theorem)

If  $\Pi$  is Hardy projection on positive half-space, then  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely

$$\text{Wind} = \text{Ch}_1(A) = -\text{Ind}(\Pi A_\omega \Pi)$$

For periodic model as above,  $A_\omega = e^{ik} \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$

Fredholm operator  $\Pi A_\omega \Pi$  is then standard Toeplitz operator

## Theorem (Disordered bulk-boundary correspondence)

If  $\hat{P}_\omega$  projection on bound states of  $\hat{H}_\omega$ , then

$$\text{Wind} = \text{Ch}_1(A) = \text{Ch}_0(\hat{P}_\omega) = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}_\omega \sigma_3)$$

Structural robust result:

holds for chiral Hamiltonians with larger fiber, other disorder, etc.

## Structure: Toeplitz extension (no disorder)

$S$  bilateral shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $C^*(S) \cong C(\mathbb{S}^1)$

$\widehat{S}$  unilateral shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , only partial isometry with a defect:

$$\widehat{S}^* \widehat{S} = \mathbf{1} \quad \widehat{S} \widehat{S}^* = \mathbf{1} - |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

Then  $C^*(\widehat{S}) = \mathcal{T}$  Toeplitz algebra with exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0$$

$K$ -groups for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (only rough definition):

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) = \{[P] - [Q] : \text{projections in some } M_n(\mathcal{A})\}$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{[U] : \text{unitary in some } M_n(\mathcal{A})\}$$

Abelian group operation: Whitney sum

Example:  $K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z} = K_0(\mathcal{K})$  with invariant  $\dim(P)$

Example:  $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}$  with invariant given by winding number

## 6-term exact sequence for Toeplitz extension

$C^*$ -algebra short exact sequence  $\implies$   $K$ -theory 6-term sequence

$$K_0(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \longrightarrow \quad K_0(\mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \longrightarrow \quad K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}$$

Ind  $\uparrow$

$\downarrow$  Exp

$$K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \longleftarrow \quad K_1(\mathcal{T}) = 0 \quad \longleftarrow \quad K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$$

Here:  $[A]_1 \in K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1))$  and  $[\widehat{P}\sigma_3]_0 = [\widehat{P}_+]_0 - [\widehat{P}_-]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{K})$

$$\text{Ind}([A]_1) = [\widehat{P}_+]_0 - [\widehat{P}_-]_0 \quad (\text{bulk-boundary for } K\text{-theory})$$

$$\text{Ch}_0(\text{Ind}(A)) = \text{Ch}_1(A) \quad (\text{bulk-boundary for invariants})$$

Disordered case: analogous



## Tight-binding toy models in dimension $d$

One-particle Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L$

Fiber  $\mathbb{C}^L = \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$  with spin  $s$  and  $r$  internal degrees

e.g.  $\mathbb{C}^r = \mathbb{C}_{\text{ph}}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\text{sl}}^2$  particle-hole space and sublattice space

Typical Hamiltonian

$$H_\omega = \Delta^B + W_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^d (t_i^* S_i^B + t_i (S_i^B)^*) + W_\omega$$

Magnetic translations  $S_j^B S_i^B = e^{iB_{i,j}} S_i^B S_j^B$  in Landau gauge:

$$S_1^B = S_1 \quad S_2^B = e^{iB_{1,2}X_1} S_2 \quad S_3^B = e^{iB_{1,3}X_1 + iB_{2,3}X_2} S_3$$

$t_i$  matrices  $L \times L$ , e.g. spin orbit coupling, (anti)particle creation

matrix potential  $W_\omega = W_\omega^* = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |n\rangle \omega_n \langle n|$  with matrices  $\omega_n$

## Observable algebra

Configurations  $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \Omega$  compact probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$

$\mathbb{P}$  invariant and ergodic w.r.t.  $T : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

Covariance w.r.t. to dual magnetic translations  $V_a S_j^B = S_j^B V_a$

$$V_a H_\omega V_a^* = H_{T_a \omega} \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

$\|A\| = \sup_\omega \|A_\omega\|$  is  $C^*$ -norm on

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_d &= C^* \{ A = (A_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega} \text{ finite range covariant operators} \} \\ &\cong \text{twisted crossed product } C(\Omega) \rtimes_B \mathbb{Z}^d \end{aligned}$$

**Fact:** Suppose  $\Omega$  contractible

$\implies$  rotation algebra  $C^*(S_j^B)$  is deformation retract of  $\mathcal{A}_d$

**In particular:**  $K$ -groups of  $C^*(S_j^B)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_d$  coincide

# Pimsner-Voiculescu (1980)

## Theorem

$$K(\mathcal{A}_d) = K_0(\mathcal{A}_d) \oplus K_1(\mathcal{A}_d) = \mathbb{Z}^{2^{d-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2^{d-1}} = \mathbb{Z}^{2^d}$$

Explicit generators  $[G_I]$  of  $K$ -groups labelled by  $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$

*Top generator*  $I = \{1, \dots, d\}$  identified with  $K_j(C(\mathbb{S}^d)) = \mathbb{Z}$

**Example**  $G_{\{1,2\}}$  Powers-Rieffel projection and Bott projection

In general, any projection  $P \in M_n(\mathcal{A}_d)$  can be decomposed as

$$[P] = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} n_I [G_I] \quad n_I \in \mathbb{Z}, |I| \text{ even}$$

Invariants  $n_I$ , top invariant  $n_{\{1, \dots, d\}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  called *strong*, others weak

**Questions:** calculate  $n_I = c_I \text{Ch}_I(P)$ , physical significance

## $K$ -group elements of physical interest

Fermi level  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  in spectral or mobility gap of  $H_\omega$

$$P_\omega = \chi(H_\omega \leq \mu) \quad \text{covariant Fermi projection}$$

**Hence:**  $P = (P_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{A}_d$  fixes element in  $K_0(\mathcal{A}_d)$  (if gapped)

**If chiral symmetry present:** Fermi invertible (or unitary)

$$H_\omega = -J^* H_\omega J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_\omega \\ A_\omega^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $\mu = 0$  in gap,  $A = (A_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{A}_d$  invertible and  $[A]_1 \in K_1(\mathcal{A}_d)$

**Remark** Sufficient to have an approximate chiral symmetry

$$H_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} B_\omega & A_\omega \\ A_\omega^* & C_\omega \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with invertible } A_\omega$$

## Definition of topological invariants

For invertible  $A \in \mathcal{A}_d$  and odd  $|I|$ , with  $\rho : \{1, \dots, |I|\} \rightarrow I$ :

$$\text{Ch}_I(A) = \frac{i(i\pi)^{\frac{|I|-1}{2}}}{|I|!!} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_I} (-1)^\rho \mathcal{T} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{|I|} A^{-1} \nabla_{\rho_j} A \right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}(A) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \text{Tr}_L \langle 0|A_\omega|0 \rangle$  and  $\nabla_j A_\omega = i[X_j, A_\omega]$

For even  $|I|$  and projection  $P \in \mathcal{A}_d$ :

$$\text{Ch}_I(P) = \frac{(2i\pi)^{\frac{|I|}{2}}}{\frac{|I|}{2}!} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_I} (-1)^\rho \mathcal{T} \left( P \prod_{j=1}^{|I|} \nabla_{\rho_j} P \right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Theorem (Connes 1985)**

$\text{Ch}_I(A)$  and  $\text{Ch}_I(P)$  homotopy invariants; pairings with  $K(\mathcal{A}_d)$

# Bulk-boundary via Toeplitz extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \text{edge} & & \text{half-space} & & \text{bulk} \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E}_d & \rightarrow & \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_d) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A}_d \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Moreover:  $\mathcal{E}_d \cong \mathcal{A}_{d-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$  so  $\text{Ch}_I$  same with extra trace

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(\mathcal{A}_{d-1}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_d)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{A}_d) \\
 \\ 
 \text{Ind} \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \text{Exp} \\
 \\ 
 K_1(\mathcal{A}_d) & \longleftarrow & K_1(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_d)) & \longleftarrow & K_1(\mathcal{A}_{d-1})
 \end{array}$$

## Theorem

$$\text{Ch}_{I \cup \{d\}}(A) = \text{Ch}_I(\text{Ind}(A)) \quad |I| \text{ even}, [A] \in K_1(\mathcal{A}_d)$$

$$\text{Ch}_{I \cup \{d\}}(P) = \text{Ch}_I(\text{Exp}(P)) \quad |I| \text{ odd}, [P] \in K_0(\mathcal{A}_d)$$

## Physical implication in $d = 2$ : QHE

$P$  Fermi projection below a bulk gap  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Kubo formula:

$$\text{Hall conductance} = \text{Ch}_{\{1,2\}}(P)$$

Bulk-boundary:

$$\text{Ch}_{\{1,2\}}(P) = \text{Ch}_{\{1\}}(\text{Exp}(P)) = \text{Wind}(\text{Exp}(P))$$

With continuous  $g(E) = 1$  for  $E < \Delta$  and  $g(E) = 0$  for  $E > \Delta$ :

$$\text{Exp}(P) = \exp(-2\pi i g(\hat{H}))$$

### Theorem (Quantization of boundary currents)

$$\text{Ch}_{\{1,2\}}(P) = \mathbb{E} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \langle 0, n_2 | g'(\hat{H}) i[X_2, \hat{H}] | 0, n_2 \rangle$$

## Chiral system in $d = 3$ : anomalous surface QHE

Chiral Fermi projection  $P$  (off-diagonal)  $\implies$  Fermi unitary  $A$

$$\text{Ch}_{\{1,2,3\}}(A) = \text{Ch}_{\{1,2\}}(\text{Ind}(A))$$

Magnetic field perpendicular to surface opens gap in surface spec.

With  $\hat{P} = \hat{P}_+ + \hat{P}_-$  projection on central surface band, as in SSH:

$$\text{Ind}(A) = [\hat{P}_+] - [\hat{P}_-]$$

### Theorem

*Suppose either  $\hat{P}_+ = 0$  or  $\hat{P}_- = 0$  (conjectured to hold). Then:*

*$\text{Ch}_{\{1,2,3\}}(A) \neq 0 \implies$  surface QHE, Hall cond. imposed by bulk*

Experiment? No chiral topological material known



## Generalized Streda formulæ

In QHE: integrated density of states grows linearly in magnetic field

integrated density of states:  $\mathbf{E} \langle 0|P|0 \rangle = \text{Ch}_\emptyset(P)$

$$\partial_{B_{1,2}} \text{Ch}_\emptyset(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Ch}_{\{1,2\}}(P)$$

### Theorem

$$\partial_{B_{i,j}} \text{Ch}_I(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Ch}_{I \cup \{i,j\}}(P) \quad |I| \text{ even, } i, j \notin I$$

$$\partial_{B_{i,j}} \text{Ch}_I(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Ch}_{I \cup \{i,j\}}(A) \quad |I| \text{ odd, } i, j \notin I$$

**Application:** magneto-electric effects in  $d = 3$

Time is 4th direction needed for calculation of polarization

Non-linear response is derivative w.r.t.  $B$  given by  $\text{Ch}_{\{1,2,3,4\}}(P)$

## Link to Volovik-Essin-Gurarie invariants

Express the invariants in terms of the Green function/resolvent

Consider path  $z : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$  encircling  $(-\infty, \mu] \cap \sigma(H)$

Set

$$G(t) = (H - z(t))^{-1}$$

### Theorem

For  $|I|$  even and with  $\nabla_0 = \partial_t$ ,

$$\text{Ch}_I(P_\mu) = \frac{(i\pi)^{\frac{|I|}{2}}}{i(|I| - 1)!!} \sum_{\rho \in S_{I \cup \{0\}}} (-1)^\rho \int_0^1 dt \mathcal{T} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{|I|} G(t)^{-1} \nabla_{\rho_j} G(t) \right)$$

Proof by suspension. Similar formula for odd pairings.

## Delocalization of boundary states

Hypothesis: bulk gap at Fermi level  $\mu$

Disorder: in arbitrary finite strip along boundary hypersurface

### Theorem

*For even  $d$ , if strong invariant  $\text{Ch}_{\{1,\dots,d\}}(P) \neq 0$ ,  
then no Anderson localization of boundary states in bulk gap.  
Technically: Aizenman-Molcanov bound for no energy in bulk gap.*

### Theorem

*For odd  $d \geq 3$ , if strong invariant  $\text{Ch}_{\{1,\dots,d\}}(A) \neq 0$ ,  
then no Anderson localization at  $\mu = 0$ .*

## Index theorem for strong invariants and odd $d$

$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  irrep of Clifford  $C_d$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2^{(d-1)/2}}$

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^d X_j \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma_j \quad \text{Dirac operator on } \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{(d-1)/2}}$$

Dirac phase  $F = \frac{D}{|D|}$  provides odd Fredholm module on  $\mathcal{A}_d$ :

$$F^2 = \mathbf{1} \quad [F, A_\omega] \text{ compact and in } \mathcal{L}^{d+\epsilon} \text{ f\"ur } A = (A_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{A}_d$$

**Theorem (Local index = generalizes Noether-Gohberg-Krein)**

Let  $E = \frac{1}{2}(F + \mathbf{1})$  be Hardy Projektion for  $F$ . For invertible  $A_\omega$

$$\text{Ch}_{\{1, \dots, d\}}(A) = \text{Ind}(E A_\omega E)$$

*The index is  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely constant.*

## Local index theorem for even dimension $d$

As above  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  Clifford, grading  $\Gamma = -i^{-d/2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d$

Dirac  $D = -\Gamma D \Gamma = |D| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ F^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  even Fredholm module

Theorem (Connes  $d = 2$ , Prodan, Leung, Bellissard 2013)

*Almost sure index  $\text{Ind}(P_\omega F P_\omega)$  equal to  $\text{Ch}_{\{1, \dots, d\}}(P)$*

**Special case  $d = 2$ :**  $F = \frac{X_1 + iX_2}{|X_1 + iX_2|}$  and

$$\text{Ind}(P_\omega F P_\omega) = 2\pi i \mathcal{T}(P[[X_1, P], [X_2, P]])$$

**Proofs:** geometric identity of high-dimensional simplexes

**Advantages:** phase label also for dynamical localized regime  
implementation of discrete symmetries (CPT)

## Résumé

- invariants for bulk and boundary
- bulk-boundary correspondence
- index theorems for strong invariants in complex classes
- proof of delocalized boundary states

### **Current aims:**

- Index theory for weak invariants via  $KK$ -theory
- bulk-edge correspondence in real cases
- stability of invariants w.r.t. interactions

## Related works and references

Other groups (each with personal point of view):

- Essin, Gurarie
- Carey, Rennie, Bourne, Kellendonk
- Mathai, Thiang, Hanabus
- Zirnbauer, Kennedy
- Loring, Hastings, Boersema
- Graf, Porta
- many theoretical physics groups

Kellendonk, Richter, Schulz-Baldes: *Edge channels & Chern nbs*  
(Rev. Math. Phys. 2002, see arXiv)

Prodan, Schulz-Baldes: *Bulk and Boundary Invariants for complex topological insulators* (Springer Monograph 2016, see arXiv)