

RESEARCH STATEMENT

ROMÉO TROUBAT

My research interests lie at the intersection between geometry and representation theory. My goal is, in a broad sense, the study of pseudo-Riemannian geometry in non-Lorentzian signature. Part of my work consists in generalizing the concepts of causality and global hyperbolicity to pseudo-Riemannian spaces of general signature, while the other part consists in the study of Anosov subgroups of $SO(p, q)$ and their associated geometric structures.

1. CONTEXT

Let (M, g) be a smooth conformal Lorentzian manifold. A smooth path $c : I \rightarrow M$ is said to be *causal* if for each $t \in I$, $g(\dot{c}(t)) \leq 0$. It is said to be *inextendible* if c does not converge at the boundaries of the interval I . When M admits a *time orientation*, one may also ask for causal paths to be *future oriented* or *past oriented*. The notion of future oriented causal path can be extended to a family of non-smooth curves. We shall say that a subset $S \subset M$ is a *Cauchy surface* of M if for each inextendible future oriented causal curve c , there exists a unique $t \in I$ such that $c(t) \in S$. It is a well known fact in Lorentzian geometry due to Geroch ([Ger70]) that in a causal space M , the following properties are equivalent :

- (1) For each pair of points $x, y \in M$, the space of non-parametrized future oriented causal curves from x to y is either empty or compact for the uniform topology,
- (2) There exists a Cauchy surface $S \subset M$,
- (3) There exists a map $T : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $T^{-1}(t)$ is a Cauchy surface. Equivalently, for each inextendible future oriented causal curve c , $T \circ c : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bijection.

When M satisfies one of those properties, it is said to be *globally hyperbolic* and the map T is called a *Cauchy time function*. Conformal Lorentzian spaces of signature $(p, 1)$ are geometric spaces which are infinitesimally modeled on $\text{Ein}^{p,1}$ in the sense of Cartan geometries, $\text{Ein}^{p,1}$ being the space of isotropic lines in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,2}$. It is one of the three parabolic spaces associated with $SO_0(p+1, 2)$ ($p \geq 2$), the two others being the space of photons and pointed photons in $\text{Ein}^{p,1}$.

Let $p \geq q$ be two positive integers and let $SO_0(p, q)$ be the neutral component of the indefinite orthogonal group $O(p, q)$. It is split if and only if $p = q$ or $p = q + 1$. Let $\Theta = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the roots of $SO_0(p, q)$. The associated parabolic space $SO_0(p, q)/P_\Theta$ is the space of partial flags of isotropic sub-spaces of \mathbb{R}^{p+q} , $V_{i_1} \subset \dots \subset V_{i_k}$ with $\dim(V_{i_\ell}) = i_\ell$ for all ℓ . Of particular interests are the following spaces :

- (1) $\Theta = \{1\}$, $SO_0(p, q)/P_1$ is the space of isotropic lines in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, commonly referred to as the *Einstein space* $\text{Ein}^{p-1,q-1}$. The spaces whose geometry are locally modeled on $\text{Ein}^{p-1,q-1}$ in the Cartan sense are the conformal pseudo-Riemannian spaces of signature $(p-1, q-1)$.
- (2) $\Theta = \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, $SO_0(p, q)/P_{1, \dots, q-1}$ is the space of sub-maximal flags of isotropic sub-spaces of \mathbb{R}^{p+q} , $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{q-1}$. We know from the works of Guichard and Wienhard ([GW18]) that this space admits a Θ -*positive structure*, i.e a family of connected components of the double Schubert cells on which a sub-semigroup of the radical unipotent U_Θ acts transitively.

- (3) $\Theta = \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $p = q + 1$, $\text{SO}_0(q + 1, q)/P_{1, \dots, q}$ is the space of complete flags of isotropic subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{q+1+q} . In this case, $\text{SO}_0(q + 1, q)$ is split and P_Θ is one of its Borel subgroups, meaning that the associated space also admits a Θ -positive structure.

The case $q = 2$, $\Theta = \{1\}$ brings us back to conformal Lorentzian geometry. This falls in the first two cases we considered, meaning that the space $\text{Ein}^{p-1,1}$ of isotropic lines of $\mathbb{R}^{p,2}$ admits a Θ -positive structure. In this case, this structure is only the causal structure on $\text{Ein}^{p-1,1}$ in the Lorentzian sense. My goal is two study the parabolic spaces associated with $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ and the spaces onto which they are modeled, with particular attention given to $\text{Ein}^{p-1, q-1}$ with an attempt to generalize the notions of global hyperbolicity for non-Lorentzian signatures.

2. GLOBAL HYPERBOLICITY IN PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN SPACES

In a work not yet published, I extend the notion of global hyperbolicity to the conformal pseudo-Riemannian spaces of signature (p, q) for $q \geq 2$. Let N_0 be a q -dimensional smooth manifold and let $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$ be an immersion. It is said to be *causal* if for each $x \in N_0$, the restriction of $g_{f(x)}$ to $\text{Im}(df_x)$ is non-positive, potentially degenerate. The bundle $Gr_q^{\leq 0}(TM)^+ \rightarrow M$ of oriented non-positive q tangent vector spaces has every fiber made of two connected components; when the bundle is trivial, M is said to be *time orientable* and the choice of a connected component gives a *time orientation* of M . A causal immersion $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$ where N_0 is oriented is said to be future oriented if for each $x \in N_0$, $\text{Im}(df_x)$ is in the right connected component of $Gr_q^{\leq 0}(T_x M)^+$. As in the Lorentzian case, we then extend this notion to a family of non-necessarily smooth maps f .

Finally, we will say that f is *inextendible* if for each inextendible curve c in N_0 , $f \circ c$ is inextendible in M . We may then define the notion of Cauchy surface.

Definition 2.1. A subset $S \subset M$ is a *Cauchy surface* if for each inextendible future oriented causal map $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$, there exists a unique $x \in N_0$ such that $f(x) \in S$.

Definition 2.2. Let N be a q -dimensional manifold. A map $T : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a *Cauchy time function* if for each $y \in N$, $T^{-1}(y)$ is a Cauchy surface. Equivalently, for each inextendible future oriented causal map $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$, the map $T \circ f : N_0 \rightarrow N$ is bijective. When such a map exists, M is said to be *globally hyperbolic*.

We provide examples of globally hyperbolic spaces, as well a spaces admitting a Cauchy surface which are not globally hyperbolic, showing that the equivalence no longer holds in higher signature.

- Proposition 2.3.**
- $M = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, the projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ is a Cauchy time function,
 - $M = P \times N$ where P is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension p , N is a simply connected anti-Riemannian manifold of dimension q , the projection $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a Cauchy time function,
 - Let $\pi : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a complete Riemannian submersion with N simply connected and for each $x \in M$, $v \in T_x M$, let $g(v) = g_M(v) - 2g_M(p|_{\text{Ker}(\pi)^\perp}(v))$ where $p|_{\text{Ker}(\pi)^\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection. Then (M, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a Cauchy time function. In particular, every fiber bundle over a simply connected base can be endowed with a conformal pseudo-Riemannian metric for which the projection is a Cauchy time function.
 - Let $q \geq 3$ and $M = \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \setminus \{0\}$. Then every non-trivial translation of $\mathbb{R}^{p,0}$ is a Cauchy surface of M but M is not globally hyperbolic.

I prove a result regarding the topological structure of globally hyperbolic spaces, which is to be compared to a result of Geroch ([Ger70]) in the Lorentzian setting. Let $T : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth Cauchy time function on M admitting at least one compact level set and let $\gamma : I \rightarrow N$ be a smooth path in N . Let $\gamma^* M = \bigsqcup_{t \in I} T^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ endowed with the Lorentzian metric coming from the decomposition $T_x \gamma^* M = \text{Ker}(dT) \oplus^\perp \text{Vect}(dT^{-1}(\dot{\gamma}(t)))$ and the projection $\gamma^* T : \gamma^* M \rightarrow I$.

Theorem 2.4. *Under those assumptions, the map γ^*T is a Cauchy time function in the Lorentzian sense on the space γ^*M . Since this holds for each γ , in particular, $T : M \rightarrow N$ is a fiber bundle on N .*

I also introduce the notion of causal diamonds in higher signature. Let $g : N_1 \rightarrow M$ be a causal immersion with N_1 a closed smooth manifold of dimension $q - 1$. Let $J(g)$ be the set of maps $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$ where N_0 is a smooth compact q -dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial N_0 \simeq N_1$, $f|_{N_0}$ is a causal future oriented map and $f|_{\partial N_0} = g$ quotiented by composition with diffeomorphisms of N_0 . The set $J(g)$ endowed with the uniform topology is called the causal diamond of g .

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be a globally hyperbolic space. Then the causal diamonds of M are either empty or compact.*

Unlike in the Lorentzian case, the converse is not true; counter examples emerge from the works of Collier, Tholozan and Toulisse ([CTT19]). In the pseudo-Riemannian spaces where all causal diamonds are compact, which now include globally hyperbolic spaces, this compactness can be used to deduce a result regarding the Plateau problem which should be considered an analogue to the existence of causal geodesics in the Lorentzian setting. Let $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$ be a causal future oriented map. Since f is smooth almost everywhere, we may define the q -dimensional volume $Vol(f)$ of f as the volume of N_0 with the pullback metric of M by f .

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be a space with compact diamonds and let $g : N_1 \rightarrow M$ be a causal immersion with N_1 a smooth closed manifold of dimension $q - 1$. Then there exists a map $f \in J(g)$ such that $-Vol(f)$ is maximal.*

3. ANOSOV SUBGROUPS IN $SO_0(p, q)$

In this section I will discuss a joint work with Clarence Kineider. The goal of our paper ([KT24]) has been to count the number of connected components in the space of triples of transverse flags for any parabolic subgroup P_Θ and to use this count to obtain rigidity results regarding the P_Θ -Anosov subgroups of $SO_0(p, q)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty$ be two transverse points in $SO_0(p, q)/P_\Theta$ and let Ω be the set of point \mathcal{F} which are transverse to both \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_∞ . The space Ω usually contains multiple connected components.

Theorem 3.1 (with C. Kineider). *The number of connected component of $\Omega \subset SO_0(p, q)/P_\Theta$ is known for all p, q and all Θ . Furthermore, we give a local parametrisation of those connected components and a global parametrisation of the Θ -positive ones.*

By using results from Dey, Greenberg and Riestenberg (see [Dey24], [DGR23]) we then prove the following rigidity results :

Theorem 3.2 (with C. Kineider).

- When $p = q + 1$, $q = 1$ or $q = 2 \pmod{4}$ and Θ contains the last root, any P_Θ -Anosov subgroup of $SO_0(q + 1, q)$ is virtually isomorphic to either a free group or a surface group.
- When $p = q$, $q = 2 \pmod{4}$ and Θ contains any of the last two roots, any P_Θ -Anosov subgroup of $SO_0(q, q)$ is virtually isomorphic to either a free group or a surface group.

We build counter examples to some of the cases not covered by the theorem using a combination result by Dey and Kapovitch ([DK23]):

Theorem 3.3 (with C. Kineider). *There exists a subgroup Γ of $SO_0(p, q)$ which is $P_{1, \dots, q-2}$ -Anosov and is isomorphic to the free product of a surface group and a cyclic group.*

4. FUTURE RESEARCH

4.1. Θ -positive subgroups in $\mathrm{SO}_0(q+1, q)$ which are Borel Anosov are Hitchin. In his paper [Dav24], Davalo proves that any subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SO}_0(3, 2)$ which is both maximal and Borel Anosov is Hitchin. A natural generalization of this result would be to prove that any subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SO}_0(q+1, q)$ which is both Θ -positive for $\Theta = \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ and Borel Anosov has to be Hitchin, i.e Θ -positive for $\Theta = \{1, \dots, q\}$. I would like to try and combine the knowledge obtained from my study of the double Schubert cells of $\mathrm{SO}_0(q+1, q)$ and the methods employed in [Dav24] to prove this result.

4.2. P_1 -Anosov representations in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ as holonomies of $\mathbb{H}^{p, q-1}$ -spaces. Let Λ be a positive $p-1$ -dimensional sphere in $\mathrm{Ein}^{p-1, q-1}$ seen as the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^{p, q-1}$. Let $\Omega(\Lambda)$ be the set of points in $\mathbb{H}^{p, q-1}$ which are not causally related to any point of Λ . Finally, let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(p, 2)$ be a representation preserving Λ . It is known that when $\Omega(\Lambda)$ is non-empty, ρ acts properly discontinuously on $\Omega(\Lambda)$.

I would like to use the notion of global hyperbolicity I introduced in [Tro24] to generalize a result by Mess, proven in [Mes07] for $p=2$ and in [And+07] for $p \geq 3$:

Theorem 4.1 (Mess). *Let M be a maximal globally hyperbolic Lorentzian space locally modeled on $\mathbb{H}^{p, 1}$ with a complete Cauchy surface. Then there exists a representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(p, 2)$ which preserves a positive $(p-1)$ -sphere Λ in $\partial\mathbb{H}^{p, 1}$ such that the quotient $\Omega(\Lambda)/\rho$ is isometric to M . Inversely, any such quotient is globally hyperbolic with a complete Cauchy surface.*

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) will be said to be *causally convex* if for each inextendible immersion $f : N_0 \rightarrow M$ which is locally totally geodesic, any two points in (N_0, f^*g) have a geodesic coming from one to the other.

Conjecture 4.2. *Let M be a maximal causally convex pseudo-Riemannian space locally modeled on $\mathbb{H}^{p, q-1}$ with a complete Cauchy surface. Then there exists a representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ which preserves a positive $(p-1)$ -sphere Λ in $\partial\mathbb{H}^{p, q-1}$ such that the quotient $\Omega(\Lambda)/\rho$ is isometric to M . Inversely, any such quotient is causally convex with a complete Cauchy surface.*

4.3. Θ -causality. Let $\Theta = \{1, \dots, q-1\}$. The notion of Θ -positivity gives us a nice notion of future oriented and past oriented paths in $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)/P_{1, \dots, q-1}$. Let M be a conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(p-1, q-1)$ and let $\mathrm{Pho}_{0, \dots, q-2}(M)$ be the space of sub-maximal flags of photons in M , $\{x\} \subset Q_1 \subset \dots \subset Q_{q-2}$. Since M is a $(\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q), \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)/P_1)$ -structure, $\mathrm{Pho}_{0, \dots, q-2}$ must then be a $(\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q), \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)/P_{1, \dots, q-1})$ -structure, which endows $\mathrm{Pho}_{0, \dots, q-2}$ with a notion of future oriented and past-oriented paths. I would be interested in studying the nature of this " Θ -causality" structure on M , if it can be related to the notions introduced in [Tro24], what some reasonable Θ -causality conditions on M may tell us on its geometric properties. I would also like to generalize this notion to non-conformally flat manifolds by considering the fiber bundle of sub-maximal photon flags in tangent spaces of M , $\mathrm{Pho}_{0, \dots, q-2}(M) = \{(x, Q_1, \dots, Q_{q-2}), x \in M, Q_1 \subset \dots \subset Q_{q-2} \subset T_x M\}$ which should be endowed with a Cartan geometry modeled on $(\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q), \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)/P_{1, \dots, q-1})$ inherited from M .

4.4. Proper open space associated to a Θ -positive representation in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(p, 2)$ be a Θ -positive representation. The method used by Collier and Tholozan, then by Barbot and Danciger-Gu eritaud-Kassel for higher dimensional lattices (see [Bar15], [CTT19], [DGK17]), is to embed $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, 2)$ in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, 3)$ diagonally and to consider the set of points transverse to every point in the image of the Anosov map $\xi : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ein}^{p-1, 1} \subset \mathrm{Ein}^{p-1, 2}$. This gives us two proper connected components, one of which is the set $\Omega(\xi(\Gamma)) \subset \mathbb{H}^{2, p-1}$ discussed before. I would like to adapt those methods by considering a Θ -positive representation ρ in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$, embedding it in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q+1)$ and considering the set of points transverse to every

point of the image of ξ in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q + 1)/P_{1, \dots, q-1}$. This should yield a union of 2^{q-1} connected components, all of which are proper in $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q + 1)/P_{1, \dots, q-1}$. I would like to study those spaces and their quotient by the action of ρ .

4.5. Splitting theorem in higher signature. The Cheeger–Gromoll splitting theorem is a well known result in Riemannian geometry giving sufficient conditions for a space to be isometric to a splitting $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + dt^2)$. A Lorentzian analogue has been proven by Eschenburg in [Esc88] :

Theorem 4.3 (Eschenburg). *Let (M, g) be a connected, time orientable Lorentzian manifold admitting a complete timelike geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ and such that $\mathrm{Ric}(v, v) \geq 0$ for each timelike vector v . Then M is isometric to $(S \times \mathbb{R}, g_S - dt^2)$ where (S, g_S) is a complete Riemannian manifold and the factor $(\mathbb{R}, -dt^2)$ is represented by γ .*

I would like to see if the notion of global hyperbolicity I introduced in [Tro24] could be used to give a nice translation of this theorem and of others into the pseudo-Riemannian setting.

REFERENCES

- [And+07] Lars Andersson et al. *Notes on a paper of Mess*. 2007. URL: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10711-007-9164-6>.
- [Bar15] Thierry Barbot. “Deformations of Fuchsian AdS representations are quasi-Fuchsian”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 101.1 (2015), pp. 1–46. DOI: 10.4310/jdg/1433975482. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1433975482>.
- [CTT19] Brian Collier, Nicolas Tholozan, and Jérémy Toulisse. “The geometry of maximal representations of surface groups into $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, n)$ ”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 168.15 (2019), pp. 2873–2949. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2019-0052. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2019-0052>.
- [Dav24] Colin Davalo. “Maximal and Borel Anosov representations into $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ ”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 442 (Apr. 2024), p. 109578. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2024.109578. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2024.109578>.
- [Dey24] Subhadip Dey. *On Borel Anosov subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$* . 2024. arXiv: 2208.02109 [math.GT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.02109>.
- [DGK17] Jeffrey Danciger, François Guéritaud, and Fanny Kassel. *Convex cocompactness in pseudo-Riemannian hyperbolic spaces*. 2017.
- [DGR23] Subhadip Dey, Zachary Greenberg, and J. Maxwell Riestenberg. *Restrictions on Anosov subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$* . 2023. arXiv: 2304.13564 [math.GT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.13564>.
- [DK23] Subhadip Dey and Michael Kapovich. “Klein–Maskit combination theorem for Anosov subgroups: free products”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 305.2 (Sept. 2023). ISSN: 1432-1823. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-023-03365-9. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-023-03365-9>.
- [Esc88] J.-H. Eschenburg. “The splitting theorem for space-times with strong energy condition”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 27.3 (1988), pp. 477–491. DOI: 10.4310/jdg/1214442005. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214442005>.
- [Ger70] Robert Geroch. “Domain of Dependence”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 11.2 (Feb. 1970), pp. 437–449. DOI: 10.1063/1.1665157.
- [GW18] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard. *Positivity and higher Teichmüller theory*. 2018. arXiv: 1802.02833 [math.DG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.02833>.
- [KT24] Clarence Kineider and Roméo Troubat. *Connected components of the space of triples of transverse partial flags in $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ and Anosov representations*. 2024.
- [Mes07] Geoffrey Mess. *Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature*. 2007.
- [Tro24] Roméo Troubat. *Global hyperbolicity in higher signatures*. 2024.